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Abstract—The challenge faced by each author in the treatment of subjects who have descriptive significance and reflection on contemporary society, surely is the impulse to rediscover the nuances of the given problem. But before we pass in the analysis of social policies, it is advisable that to analyze the notion of the welfare state. Bearing in mind that this is a very dynamic and very actual problem which is directly related to the life and welfare of the people, in this paper, we analyze the various models of the welfare state in the European soil, treated the birth, development and reforming these states, analyze the ideas of the most important writers and thinkers of that time did this issue, focus their effort to classify these states. In the literature, we encounter different definitions of the concept of "state protector" and "welfare state". The concept of "social welfare" expresses the view of an institution to change, and in this context there are many definitions for it. One of these is the definition of the International Labor Organization (ILO), which says: "The social security system allows societies to advance the welfare and security of its citizens, protecting them from vulnerability and poverty, so that they can continue to live a better life!".
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1 INTRODUCTION

The term "welfare state" or "social state" comes from the German word Wohlfahrstaat, which dates back to the late 1920's. For the first time this term is used by the first German Chancellor Otto Von Bismarck who is also known as a pioneer in the creation of social policies, on the other hand the concept of Welfare State originates from the UK, used at the beginning of 1940. Later, Winston Churchill (1874 - 1965), gave a new political vision for the state, with an emphasis on well-being and social and economic rights of citizens should be provided within the state, which according to him will avoid catastrophic risks coming from the Marxist socialists. Expressing confidence in modern liberalism (liberal democracy), he wanted the state to be bound by the care for its citizens. According to Churchill, this is one of the main goals of liberal democracy. He had great confidence in liberal democracy as a rescue society from destructive Marxist socialism and communism, so they said: "... There are hundreds of thousands of people who Socialists will take violent revolution will trample the freedoms of the media and opens the way for bureaucratic dictatorship ". (Fillo, 2006: 12).

Evident efforts of world leaders this time not only in Germany and the United Kingdom and other countries before world powers for change and to introduce an element of social welfare as an integral part of the state. This new element in reforming and developing countries, some authors interpret as fear of the expansion of Marxist socialism. In fact cite the statement of Franklin Roosevelt (1892 -1945), president of the United States in the 30-ies of the last century "... The government has predetermined task to use all of the power and its resources to deal with new social problems using new social controls to guarantee citizens the right to economic and political life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. " After World War II the concept of welfare state spread in almost all European countries. (Ibid).

2 WELFARE STATE AND BEVERIDGE

In the fourth decade of the twentieth century, a well-known economist who is also a pioneer in the creation and reform of social policies in the United Kingdom William Beveridge (1879-1963) in his speech in the British Parliament shall determine the functions of the welfare state. According to him, the welfare state is that state which will fighting against the "five evils of mankind" to achieve common prosperity, where everyone will have sufficient financial income will be healthy, there will be good conditions for housing, adequate education and regular vacation. (George & Wilding, 1994: 17 - 19).

The Beveridge definition can be interpreted widely, that is, by his definition clearly coming to an expression of the universality of welfare state where all citizens should enjoy the same rights, regardless of class or their position in the social relations.

Under the doctrine of the famous three U Beveridge actually suggested a unification of all social security regimes under a single command, extending insurance to all citizens in respect of all risks introducing a unique contribution to all as a model for the funding and payment of identical payment for everyone in case the citizen to lose revenue and alimony.

Dimension unity the Beveridge doctrine identified in two forms: first of all the factors of uncertainty must be covered with a unified social security system that is supposed to unify the various branches of social welfare; and second, the management of social security should be concentrated in a single body - as in organizational and geographical sense. This dimension Beveridge in his doctrine anticipated the creation of the Ministry of Labor and Social Policy. As a consequence, the management of the social sphere 'was left to the administration.

Quintessence of this doctrine is considered the dimension of universality that Beveridge envisaged social insurance protection to cover all kind of social risks. The main point of the dimension of universality is providing guarantee of financial
resources that are more than necessary for the persons affected by social risks: unemployment, sickness or an accident at work, disability, death of the insured or the death of a person of child support, alimony, child, and so on. Beveridge hereby established its own social plan to cover the entire population, regardless of the amount of wages, not only for the employees who were paid less than the threshold (typical Bismarck model). Beveridge population divided the six social categories, each category and recognized the specific needs and from there foretold appropriate contributions. Certain authors this kind "stratification" The Beveridge doctrine tempted to label as selective universality (ibid: 50).

Dimension uniformity in the Beveridge doctrine emphasizes "the desire to recognize the protected persons of the same category, equality obligations and the law of various components covered by social insurance." This dimension is most evident through the equalization of contributions that differ only by extending protection guarantees (cannot be seen in this place best called selective universality), and not by the amount of earnings of the privileged and the uniformity contributions with identical value.

In terms of this dimension Beveridge in his report insists that social insurance guaranteed low rate allocation does not depend on previous earnings versus poor contribution that is imposed on all insured. The Beveridge social security plan connects horizontal redistribution - following the logic that the healthy pay for the sick, the young pay for the old - and vertical redistribution - following the logic that the rich pay for the poor through taxes, and especially through the financing of social assistance (ibid: 51).

There is another theory among the scientific circles that the term "welfare state" was first used by William Temple, a priest from New York in his book "Citizen and Churchman" from 1941. Temple in his book suggests that "welfare states" that will be set up after the Second World War to serve the common interests of all citizens, as opposed to the former situation where he states served only tyrants. The notion of the welfare state would gain great popularity especially in the UK, where Beveridge divided the six social categories, each category and recognized the specific needs and from there foretold appropriate contributions.
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Three elements which are directly related to the welfare of the citizens:

1. by providing a minimum income for individuals and families, regardless of their market value.
2. by reducing the uncertainty by enabling individuals and families to cope with social problems, such as illness, old age and unemployment.
3. by providing appropriate standards in terms of a number of social services for all citizens. (Heidenheimer & Flora, 1998).

Social protection programs or assistance for the poor, as a key objective, which is followed by the transfer of pensions, unemployment compensation, disability care, family allowances, etc. Redistribution is not only a matter of transfer of resources
from the rich to the poor, but it represents a much more complex process. Serve the welfare state and to achieve greater equity between generations, to improve perception of differences such as race and gender, or differences in health status. Historically, the main task of the welfare state was not only to integrate the "classes" as was the Marshall concept, but also to integrate different areas with different ethnic populations. Bismarck was aware of the great diversity of the German Empire, which stretched from the Alsace-Lorraine to East Prussia and Rhenland until Silesia. Various forms of social security have served not only to reduce class differences in Bismarck Reich, but also as tools to build loyalty in a society with different ethnic groups with that empire would provide legitimacy. (Crepaz, 2008: 138). Generally these programs intend to help people through the conversion of earnings over the life cycle, thus to secure against events or periods that cause loss of revenue and in such a case to secure the citizens' sense of security. (Barnes, 1999: 5).

Modern social welfare programs dating from 1930 to 1940, when for the first time in the U.S., UK and other Western European countries have implemented comprehensive policies for social inclusion and anti-poverty policies. According to some theories, such programs have the following features: providing "last hope" for people who do not have sources of support, every citizen has a legal right to compensation which shall be determined by special legislation, the monthly salary should guarantee a normal life and should be sufficient cost of living. (Odekon, 2006: 1163).

The issue of the welfare state is of particular importance in recent decades both in political circles and in scientific debates. Need initially and methodological determination of the most important terms of category apparatus of science of social work and social policy does not mean avoiding the rumors that exist among contemporary authors about the definition of them. Such dissent, except that natural element scientist freedom in expression and authenticity when creating, in some cases leading to such terms, we begin to lose the sense of hearing of them. Welfare state or welfare state is only one of the forms of social policy, in addition to other crucial agent represents the state, mostly in the form of state interventionism. (Stated in Rustemi, 2008:7).

It is evident that there is no definitive definition of the welfare state. Therefore we will try to clarify this concept with the help of interpretations and definitions of eminent scientists who at that time did this issue. General definition of a hundred represents welfare state gives Anton Ravnijk according whom the welfare state is that state in which regulates the composition of the social security and which controls social processes. In a word, control through planning, joint decision making, centralization, decentralization and even communication. So, facing the crisis and stabilize the economic and social conditions. (Ravnjik, 1996: 239-40). On the other hand, another Croatian author, Professor Milichikj citizens believes that the welfare state should provide, establish and accomplish the quantity and quality of their collective needs, which would include, inter alia, social security. According to him, the modern welfare state should have the authority indisputable authority, which will have a different view of social conditions, social change, social tensions and the special social needs; its essence should be located in the provision of social wealth, opportunities a community of power in the state, then in the will for social justice, equitable redistribution of material values and help the weakest and most vulnerable (Milichić, 1998: 2). There are differences in the interpretation of the notion of the welfare state between the so-called Continental-European authors and Anglo-Scandinavian authors. This better clarifies Bonoli diversification. According to him, in much of the literature of the Anglo-Saxon tradition attention when classifying social policies directed to the quantity "measures of well-being", i.e. the dimension that answers the question, How?, While continental European authors (primarily French) focuses attention on the different models of welfare measures, the dimension that answers the question, What?", and at the same time neglect the quantity measures allow different systems (Bonoli, 1997: 352).

According to Bonoli, any serious classification systems of the welfare state - the state of being, should contain both dimensions previously criticized as inappropriate in mutually-exclusive use. The dimension of quantity and quality can contribute towards crystallization durable classification systems of the welfare state, because it allows historical analysis and understanding of the current change. After all, contemporary social policy or welfare state - welfare state is characterized by two development directions: on the one hand, the expansion (or reduction) of the welfare state and on the other hand the convergences (or divergence) to the central model of social protection. Therefore it is more than clear that the combination of the two approaches, the Anglo-Saxon model of classification that is based on quantity and continental-European or French component model that emphasizes quality in the welfare state, would produce the desired fertility classification. (Stated in Rustemi, 2008:11).

The welfare state and the economy cannot be divided and analyzed as such. In capitalist history, has always been necessary to adapt national policies to the economy in order to avoid possible social crises caused by the economy and to set equality that will not jeopardize social cohesion. Individual elements that society adapt to the priorities and needs of the economy, the elements with which the welfare state deal with phenomena such as poverty and social inequality are unstable invariant but more historically determined. (Odekon, 2006:1168).

The definition of social policies covers policies and programs operating in the public sector, carried out by the government at central and local level. But there are also other social organizations that are not government, non-profit organizations, associations, etc., which provide services in the area of welfare. The line between public and private social programs has always been somewhat unclear or was not a well-defined boundary to where the competencies of private programs and initiatives. This is mainly due to the funds of which are funded social services that are served by private or non-profit organizations and profit-making organizations. (Blau, 2003: 20).

As an example can be taken and a decision by President Bush in 2001 for repayment of the costs of drugs for the elderly. Bush's plan to give the option of elders to join clubs that are administered by profitable organizations where you will gain...
membership cards that will allow a discount of 15 to 25%. (Denhardt & Grubbs, 2007: 92).

4 Conclusion
By so far the analyze has resulted in the expected: it is difficult to define just a single definition problems of the welfare state from the fact that there is no unified model of these countries and that it is different in all countries, and we can say that each state has its own unique system of social protection policy and that little or a lot different than other states and that each state represents a "sui generis" welfare state, which as such is a product of the historical, political, cultural and economic factors that acted intersect in the creation and shaping welfare state.

The paper also derived the fact that different social theories have a different interpretation of what is and what features it has the welfare state. According to some authors, the central idea of the concept of "welfare state" is that it knows the responsibility of society in the planning and supplement the main needs of the population, using all possible means and human resources.
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