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Abstract—Companies apply supply chain management system to gain and preserve their positions in today's competitive market world. Supply chain is a united network of supply, production, storage, distribution and sales units. The aim of the control system is to keep the supply chain in an optimal set point in presence of the uncertainties and delays which results in customer satisfaction in least time, with minimum cost and best product quality. Model predictive control (MPC) is an effective method in supply chain systems, but it lacks the capability of dealing with system model uncertainties. In supply chains, model uncertainties are significant and can easily degrade the supply chain performance. In this paper uncertainties will be added to regular supply chain models and two kind of uncertainties (plant model mismatches and bounded input uncertainties) will be controlled by distributed robust Model Predictive Controllers (DRMPCs).
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1 INTRODUCTION

Supply chain consists of suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, warehouses and retailers to transform products from raw materials into finished goods and deliver those goods into the hand of end customers in least time, with minimum cost and the best product quality. So the supply chain is a large scale system with different subsystems that are highly coupled with each other. There are two important concepts in supply chains. One of them is safety stock (safety inventory) and the other is Bullwhip effect. Safety stock is a term used by logisticians to describe a level of extra stock that is maintained to mitigate risk of stockouts due to uncertainties in supply and demand. Adequate safety stock levels permit business operations to proceed according to their plans. Safety stock is held when there is uncertainty in the demand level or lead time for the product; it serves as an insurance against stockouts. Another concept in the supply chains is bullwhip effect. The bullwhip effect can be explained as an occurrence detected by the supply chain where orders sent to the manufacturer and supplier create larger variance than the sales to the end customer. This variance can interrupt the smoothness of the supply chain process as each subsystem in the supply chain will over or underestimate the product demand resulting in exaggerated fluctuations.

During the last half century many mathematical tools emerging from the control literature have been applied to the supply chain management problem. These tools vary from classical transfer function analysis, optimal control methods and PID controllers to highly sophisticated control methodologies, such as model predictive control [1]. Vassian proposed an inventory control framework based on z-transform methodology [2]. Kapsiotis and Tzafestas were the first to implement MPC to an inventory management problem [3]; Dunbar and Desa applied a recently developed distributed implementation of MPC to the problem of dynamic supply chain management problem, using “Beer Game” theory [4]; Jie Li and Mian Peng have implemented a time stamped MPC to control a supply chain with uncertainties in customer order transmittal delay [5].

However MPC is a powerful mean in industry and supply chain, but it lacks the capability of dealing with system model uncertainties. Many methods have been proposed to solve this problem, where the LMI based Robust predictive control is quite common among them. Supply chain is a large scale problem which has uncertainties in its various parts. For example manufacturing rate and distribution rate are considered to be constant in most papers, however in practical cases these assumptions may not be hold and distribution rate and manufacturing rate may belong to uncertain but bounded sets. Another uncertainty is Customer demand which may be stochastic and have no specific structure, but is known to be bounded. Ignoring these uncertainties could have serious effects on the system. Reference number [6] is among the few papers which has considered uncertainties in supply chain model and used a centralized feedback robust model predictive controller for the system. However, for large scale systems it is better to use distributed controllers. One of the main reasons is that the state feedback controller for each subsystem in decentralized control is obviously of smaller dimensions than a state feedback controller of the centralized control strategy and this will speed up the simulations. Moreover inventory control (safety storage control) is an important issue in supply chains which is not considered in the mentioned paper. In this paper, uncertainties in distribution rate and manufacturing rate are considered as polynomial uncertainties or plant model mismatches and customer stochastic demand is considered as a bounded input uncertainty. Supply chain model is divided into different subsections, so that robust MPCs based on LMI and convex optimization can be applied for the subsystems with
polyomial uncertainties and the subsystem (Retailer) which includes bounded input uncertainty will be controlled by a robust MPC in which a robust counter part of the uncertain optimization problem will be derived by using YALMIPs robust optimization feature in MATLAB software. In general each controller will do its own local optimization and will send its optimized signals to the other coupled controllers.

2 SUPPLY CHAIN MODELING AND CONTROL STRATEGY

2.1 Supply chain model

Fig.1 illustrates a supply chain system. The supply chain consists of suppliers (S), manufacturers (M), distributors (D), retailers (R) and final customers (shoppers) as its subsystems. For each subsystem k, an upstream subsystem k' (on the left) and a downstream subsystem k" (on the right) is determined. Node k' will supply node k and node K" will be supplied by node k.

Equations 1-3 are representing the system model in each of the retailer, distributor and manufacturing units.

Retailer unit model:

\[
\frac{d(\text{inv}_{k}(t))}{dt} = p_{k,k'}(t) - W_{k}(t)
\]

\[
W_{\text{min}} \leq W_{k}(t) \leq W_{\text{max}}
\]

\[
k \in \{R\}, k' \in \{D\}
\]

Where \(\text{inv}_{k}(t)\) shows the inventory level of node k (retailer center), \(p_{k,k'}(t)\) is the transferred product through (k, k) channel and \(W_{k}(t)\) represents the consumer demand which belongs to an uncertain but bounded set.

Distributor unit model:

\[
\frac{d(\text{inv}_{k}(t))}{dt} = p_{D,k'}(t) - p_{k,k'}(t)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{D,k'} &= R_{D,k}N_{D} \\
p_{k,k'} &= p_{D,k} - R_{D,k}N_{D} \\
R_{\text{min}} &\leq R_{D,k} \leq R_{\text{max}} \\
k &\in \{D\}, k' \in \{M\}, k'' \in \{R\}
\end{align*}
\]

Where \(\text{inv}_{k}(t)\) shows the inventory level of node k (distribution center), \(p_{D,k}(t)\) is the number of the products (units) which should be distributed by distribution center at rate \(R_{D,k}\) (units/van). \(R_{D,k}\) belongs to an uncertain but bounded set and \(N_{D}\) represents the number of the vans which are needed.

Manufacturer unit model:

\[
\frac{d(\text{inv}_{k}(t))}{dt} = p_{M}(t) - p_{k,k'}(t)
\]

\[
p_{M} = R_{M}t_{M}
\]

\[
R_{\text{min}} \leq R_{M} \leq R_{\text{max}}
\]

\[
k \in \{M\}, k'' \in \{D\}
\]

Where \(\text{inv}_{k}(t)\), shows the inventory level of node k (manufacturing unit), \(p_{M}(t)\) is the number of the products which is produced by manufacturer. \(R_{M}\) is the manufacturing rate (units/hour) which belongs to an uncertain but bounded set and \(t_{M}\) is machine running time.

2.2 Supply chain control method

In this paper distributed Robust MPCs (DRMPCs) are used to control the supply chain. First controller corresponds to the retailer unit. This controller performs its optimization process based on customer demand and sends the optimized signals to the distribution unit as input disturbances. Second controller corresponds to the distributor unit. In distribution center despite the model uncertainties, the local optimizations will be performed and the optimized inputs will be sent to the next controller as input disturbances. Third and final controller corresponds to the Manufacturer unit. Such as two other controllers, after finishing the optimization process despite the model uncertainties, the last controller sends its optimized inputs to raw material supply unit. So all of the subsections will be controlled and the uncertainties cannot interrupt the system smoothness anymore.

3 ROBUST MPC

3.1 Bounded input uncertainty

Consider the following system model

\[
x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + W(k)
\]

\[
y(k) = cx(k)
\]

\[
W_{\text{min}} \leq W(K) \leq W_{\text{max}}
\]

In many cases, control engineers do not have a-priori knowledge of the disturbance but only know its range. Equation (4) describes these systems. We are looking for a control law that gives good performance for every possible disturbance from this uncertainty model. Equation (1) is a practical example of these systems. After discretizing (1), its total structure will be similar to (4). The objective is to stay output as close as possible to the desired level while guaranteeing that \(y \leq y_{\text{max}}\) is satisfied despite the unknown but bounded disturbances \(W\).

Various formulations for robust MPC and handling bounded input uncertainties was introduced in [7]. To speed up the simulations we will use YALMIPs robust optimization feature in MATLAB software (Using "ROBUSTIFY" command) to derive a robust counter part of the uncertain optimization problem and to handle uncertain inequalities. For more information the reader is referred to YALMIP WIKI Site.
3.2 Polynomial uncertainty

Kothare et al. (1996) proposed a methodology for robust centralized constrained MPC design that maintains robust stability and minimizes a bound on performance in the presence of model errors. The problem is formulated as a convex optimization problem with linear matrix inequalities (LMI). [8]

Consider the following system model

\[
x(k+1) = A(k)x(k) + B(k)u(k)
\]

\[
y(k) = cx(k)
\]

Matrices \( A(k) \) and \( B(k) \) belong to the following convex set \( \Omega \) with \( L \) vertices.

\[
\Omega = \text{CO}(\{A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, ..., A_L, B_L\})
\]

Where "CO" refers to the convex hull and the following equations are satisfied

\[
[A \ B] = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_i [A_i \ B_i], \quad \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_i = 1
\]

Cost function is defined as a Min-Max optimization problem.

\[
J = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x(k+i)^T Q_i x(k+i) + u(k+i)^T R u(k+i)
\]

\[
\min_{u(k+i)} \max_{[A(k+i) \ B(k+i)] \in \Omega} J(k)
\]

It is proved that this problem can be converted into a LMI based robust control problem as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & x(k)^T \\
x(k) & Q
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Q & (A Q + B Y)^T & Q (Q_i)^{1/2} & (R^{1/2} Y)^T \\
B Q + A Y & Q & 0 & 0 \\
(Q_i)^{1/2} & 0 & I & 0 \\
R^{1/2} Y & 0 & 0 & I
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

\[
[ u_{\text{max}}^2 \\
Y^T \\
Q
\]

\[
\geq 0, \quad \|u(k+i)|k\| \leq u_{\text{max}}
\]

After solving the convex optimization problem, the matrices \( Y \) and \( Q \) are achieved and control gain can be calculated using [10].

\[
F = Y^{-1} Q
\]

Thus, the overall LMI based robust model predictive control algorithm can be expressed as following steps:

Step1: Specify the initial value \( x(0) \)

Step2: calculate the control gain using equation (10).

Step3: calculate the state and input variables using equations (11):

\[
x(k+1) = (A + BF)x(k)
\]

Step 4: \( k+1 \leftarrow K \) and go to step 2

4 SIMULATIONS

A three echelon supply chain system is used in simulated example. The echelons are manufacturer, distributor, and retailer. Whole simulation time is 60 time unit (day), the prediction horizon time is 30 and the control horizon time is 10. The model uncertainties such as distribution rate (\( R_{\text{dist}} \)), manufacturing rate (\( R_{\text{man}} \)) and customer order rate (\( R_{\text{ord}} \)) belong to unknown but bounded sets between 70-120 (units/day), 50-100 (units/van) and 70-100 (units/day) respectively. The nominal rates for \( R_{\text{dist}} \) and \( R_{\text{man}} \) are 80 and 100.

Output and input weights that are used to tune Model predictive controllers, Inventory set points (safety storage), and initial inventory levels are given in table one

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Echelons</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Distributer</th>
<th>Retailer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulations will be done with two Control methods. one with implementation of distributed Robust MPCs and considering all model uncertainties (Fig.2) and the another one is implementation of distributed standard MPCs and nominal rates (Fig.3)

![Fig.2 Method 1 Implementation of Distributed Robust MPCs on Supply Chain Management](http://www.ijser.org)

![Fig.3 Method 2 Implementation of Distributed standard MPCs on Supply Chain Management](http://www.ijser.org)
Fig 4 shows Inventory levels in all echelons which are achieved by two control methods. It can be seen that by using RMPCs, the inventory level in first echelon (Retailer) is fluctuating in certain small domains around its predetermined safety stock (desired reference) and for two other echelons (Distributor and Manufacturer), the desired inventory levels are tracked after around three days; however, by implementing regular MPCs, the desired inventory levels are tracked after long time horizon (more than 60 days for first echelon and 50 days for two other echelons). It means that, in the presence of model uncertainties, the distributed RMPCs can easily handle inventory and safety stock control and play better performance on inventory control than the method with regular MPCs and nominal rates. Customer orders which are sent to upstream echelons are illustrated in Fig.5. As it mentioned before, from control engineering perspective, these orders are manipulated inputs (signal controls) which are achieved by local optimization of Model Predictive Controllers. As it can be seen, the signal controls which are achieved by two methods are similar to each other and the only considerable difference is in the initial control efforts which are stronger in first method (DRMPCs) than second method (regular DMPCs). To conclude, Demand variability rate from customer to supplier or the bullwhip effect has been successfully controlled by two methods, but the first method (implementation of DRMPCs) has used these signal controls in a more efficient way than second method (regular DMPCs) to handle inventory control.
5. CONCLUSION

Supply chain is a large scale problem which has uncertainties in its various parts. Uncertainties in supply chain model could seriously affect the performance of the system. In this paper, model uncertainties are added to regular supply chain models. Due to these model uncertainties and large scale characteristic of supply chain, distributed robust Model Predictive Controllers (DRMPCs) are implemented. The simulation results show that in the presence of model uncertainties the distributed RMPCs play better performance on inventory control than regular distributed MPCs. Also optimal customer orders in each echelon and bullwhip effect control are successfully achieved in the presence of model parametric uncertainties.
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