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Abstract- The objective of Image fusion is to combine information from multiple images of the same scene in to a single image retaining the important and required features from each of the original image. Nowadays, with the rapid development in high-technology and modern instrumentations, medical imaging has become a vital component of a large number of applications, including diagnosis, research, and treatment. Medical image fusion has been used to derive useful information from multimodality medical image data. For medical diagnosis, Computed Tomography (CT) provides the best information on denser tissue with less distortion. Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) provides better information on soft tissue with more distortion [1]. In this case, only one kind of image may not be sufficient to provide accurate clinical requirements for the physicians. Therefore, the fusion of the multimodal medical images is necessary [3]. This paper aims to demonstrate the application of wavelet transformation to multimodality medical image fusion. This work covers the selection of wavelet function, the use of wavelet based fusion algorithms on medical image fusion of CT and MRI, implementation of fusion rules and the fusion image quality evaluation. The fusion performance is evaluated on the basis of the root mean square error (RMSE) and peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR).
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1 INTRODUCTION

The term fusion means in general an approach to extraction of information acquired in several domains. The objective of Image fusion is to combine information from multiple images of the same scene in to a single image retaining the important and required features from each of the original image. The main task of image fusion is integrating complementary information from multiple images in to single image [6]. The resultant fused image will be more informative and complete than any of the input image and is more suitable for human visual and machine perception. Image fusion is the process that combines information from multiple images of the same scene. The object of the image fusion is to retain the most desirable characteristics of each image. Thus the new image contains a more accurate description of the scene than any of the individual image. It also reduces the storage cost by storing just the single fused image instead of multiple images. For medical image fusion, the fusion of image provides additional clinical information which is otherwise not apparent in the separate images. However, the instruments are not capable of providing such information either by design or because of observational constraints, one possible solution for this is image fusion.

Medical image fusion is the technology that could compound two mutual images in to one according to certain rules to achieve clear visual effect. By observing medical fusion image, doctor could easily confirm the position of illness. Medical imaging provides a variety of modes of image information for clinical diagnosis, such as CT, X-ray, DSA, MRI, PET, SPECT etc. Different medical images have different characteristics, which can provide structural information of different organs. For example, CT (Computed tomography) and MRI (Magnetic resonance image) with high spatial resolution can provide anatomical structure information of organs. And PET (Positive electron tomography) and SPECT (Emission computed tomography) with relatively poor spatial resolution, but provides information on organ metabolism [3] [6]. Thus, a variety of imaging for the same organ, they are contradictory, but complementary and interconnected. Therefore the appropriate image fusion of different features becomes urgent requirement for clinical diagnosis.

Doctors can annually combine the CT and MRI medical images of a patient with a tumor to make a more accurate diagnosis, but it is inconvenient and tedious to finish this job. And more importantly, using the same images, doctors with different experiences make inconsistent decisions. Thus, it is necessary to develop the efficiently automatic image fusion system to decrease doctor’s workload and improve the consistence of diagnosis. Image fusion has wide application domain in Medicinal diagnosis.

In this paper, a novel approach for the fusion of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance images (MR) images based on wavelet transform has been presented. Different fusion rules are then performed on the wavelet coefficients of low and high frequency portions [12]. The registered computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images of the same people and same spatial parts have been used for the analysis.

II. IMAGE FUSION BASED ON WAVELET TRANSFORM

(A) WAVELET TRANSFORM
Wavelet theory is an extension of Fourier theory in many aspects and it is introduced as an alternative to the short-time Fourier transform (STFT). In Fourier theory, the signal is decomposed into sines and cosines but in wavelets the signal is projected on a set of wavelet functions. Fourier transform would provide good resolution in frequency domain and wavelet would provide good resolution in both time and frequency domains. The wavelet that provides a multi-resolution decomposition of an image in a biorthogonal basis and results in a non-redundant image representation. The basis is called wavelets and these are functions generated by translation and dilation of mother wavelet. In Fourier analysis the signal is decomposed into sine waves of different frequencies. In wavelet analysis the signal is decomposed into scaled (dilated or expanded) and shifted (translated) versions of the chosen mother wavelet or function. A wavelet as its name implies is a small wave that grows and decays essentially in a limited time period [2] [8].

Wavelets were first introduced in seismology to provide a time dimension to seismic analysis that Fourier analysis lacked. Fourier analysis is ideal for studying stationary data (data whose statistical properties are invariant over time) but is not well suited for studying data with transient events that cannot be statistically predicted from the data’s past. Wavelets were designed with such non-stationary data.

“Wavelet transforms allow time – frequency localization”

Wavelet means “small wave” so wavelet analysis is about analyzing signal with short duration finite energy functions. They transform the signal under investigation in to another representation which presents the signal in a more useful form.

A wavelet to be a small wave, it has to satisfy two basic properties:

(i) Time integral must be zero

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t) dt = 0 \]  

(ii) Square of wavelet integrated over time is unity

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^2(t) dt = 1 \]  

Wavelet transform are two types

(a) CONTINUOUS WAVELET TRANSFORM (CWT)

Mathematically – the process of Fourier analysis is represented by the Fourier transform

\[ F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-j\omega t} dt \]  

Which is the sum over all time of signal \( f(t) \) multiplied by a complex exponential (complex exponential can be broken down in to real and imaginary sinusoidal components).

The results of the transform are the Fourier Co-efficient \( F(\omega) \), which when multiplied by a sinusoidal of frequency \( \omega \), yields the constituent sinusoidal components of the original signals.

Similarly, the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) is defined as the sum over all time of the signal multiplied by scale, shifted version of the wavelet function

\[ \psi C(scale, position) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \psi(scale, position, t) dt \]

The results of the CWT are many wavelet co-efficient \( C \), which are a function of scale and position.

Multiplying each co-efficient by the appropriately scaled and shifted wavelet yields the constituent wavelets of the original signals.

We denote a wavelet as

\[ \psi_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \psi((t-b)/a) \]  

Where \( b \) = is location parameter
\( a \) = is scaling parameter

For a given scaling parameter \( a \), we translate the wavelet by varying the parameter \( b \). we define the wavelet transform as

\[ w(a,b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \psi((t-b)/a) \]  

According equation (4), for every \( (a, b) \), we have a wavelet transform co-efficient, representing how much the scaled wavelet is similar to the function at location, \( t = b/a \). [18]

“If scale and position is varied very smoothly, then transform is called continuous wavelet transform.”

Calculating wavelet co-efficient at every possible scale is a fair amount of work, and it generates an awful lot of data. What if we choose only a subset of scales and position at which to make our calculation. If we choose scales and position based on power of two so called dyadic scales and position then our analysis will be much more efficient and just as accurate. We obtain such an analysis from the discrete wavelet transform (DWT).

(b) DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM (DWT)

An efficient way to implement (DWT) is- using filters. The DWT of a signal is calculated by passing it through a series of filters. First the signal is passed through a low pass filter and high pass
The outputs give the detail coefficients (from the high-pass filter) and approximation coefficients (from the low-pass). This decomposition is repeated to further increase the frequency resolution.

\[ a_{m,n} = \sum_k h_{2n-k} 2^{(n-k)} a_{m-1,k} \]  
\[ C_{m,n} = \sum_k g_{2n-k} 2^{(n-k)} a_{m-1,k} \]  

Where, \( h_n \) is a low pass FIR filter and \( g_n \) is related high pass FIR filter. To reconstruct the original signal the analysis filters can be selected from a biorthogonal set which have a related set of synthesis filters. These synthesis filters \( \tilde{h} \) and \( \tilde{g} \) can be used to perfectly reconstruct the signal using the reconstruction formula:

\[ a_{m-1,l}(f) = \sum_n [\tilde{h}_{2n-l} a_{m,n}(f) + \tilde{g}_{2n-l} C_{m,n}(f)] \]  

Structures of 2-D DWT:
III IMAGE FUSION METHOD

Image fusion method can be generally grouped into three categories:

(i) Pixel level
(ii) Feature level
(iii) Decision level

(1) PIXEL LEVEL

Pixel level fusion is carried out on a pixel-by-pixel basis.

(2) FEATURE LEVEL

Feature level fusion requires an extraction of objects recognized in the various data sources. It operates on the salient features of the image such as size, shape, edge, pixel intensities or textures. These similar features from input images are fused.

(3) DECISION LEVEL

Decision-level fusion consists of integrating information at a higher level of abstraction, combines the results from multiple algorithms to yield a final fused decision. To extract information, input images are processed. The obtained information is then combined applying decision rules to reinforce common interpretation. Decision level fusion which deals with symbolic representation of images.

Pixel level fusion has the advantage that the images used contain the original measured quantities, and the algorithms are computationally efficient and easy to implement, the most image fusion applications employ pixel level based methods. Therefore, in this paper, we are still concerned about pixel level fusion [14].

Fusion methods are:

(A) AVERAGE METHOD

In this method, the resultant fused image is obtained by taking the average intensity of corresponding pixels from both the input images.

\[
F(x, y) = \frac{A(x, y) + B(x, y)}{2}
\]

Where \(A(x, y), B(x, y)\) are input images and \(F(x, y)\) is the fused image. And point \((x, y)\) is the pixel value.

For weighted average method:

\[
F(x, y) = \sum_{x=0}^{m} \sum_{y=0}^{n} (WA(x, y) + (1-W)B(x, y))
\]

Where \(W\) is weight factor and point \((x, y)\) is the pixel value.

(B) SELECT MAXIMUM

In this method, the resultant fused image is obtained by selecting the maximum intensity of corresponding pixels from both the input image.

\[
F(x, y) = \sum_{x=0}^{m} \sum_{y=0}^{n} \max(A(x, y), B(x, y))
\]
Where A (x, y), B (x, y) are input image and F (x, y) is fused image, and point (x, y) is the pixel value.

(C) SELECT MINIMUM

In this method, the resultant fused image is obtained by selecting the minimum intensity of corresponding pixels from both the input image

\[ F(x, y) = \sum_{x=0}^{m} \sum_{y=0}^{n} \min(A(x, y) + B(x, y)) \]  

(14)

Where A (x, y), B (x, y) are input image and F (x, y) is fused image, and point (x, y) is the pixel value.

IV. IMAGE FUSION BY WAVELET

A wavelet transform is applied to the image resulting in a four-component image: a low-resolution approximation component (LL) and three images of horizontal (HL), vertical (LH), and diagonal (HH) wavelet coefficients which contain information of local spatial detail. The low resolution component is then replaced by a selected band of the multispectral image. This process is repeated for each band until all bands are transformed. A reverse wavelet transform is applied to the fused components to create the fused multispectral image. Image fusion method is shown in above figure (5) [21].

The following steps are performed

1- The source images \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are decomposed into discrete wavelet.

2- The decomposition coefficients: LL (approximations), LH, HL and HH (details) at each level before fusion rules are applied.

3- The decision map is formulated based on the fusion rules.

4- The resulting fused transform is reconstructed to fused image by inverse wavelet transformation.

V. PERFORMANCE MEASURE PARAMETER

The two parameters used in my project to compare the fusion result.

1- The root mean square error (RMSE)

2- The peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR)

Let P (i, j) is the original image, F (i, j) is the fused image, (i, j) is the pixel row and column index and M and N are the dimension of the image. Then –

1- The root mean square error (RMSE) is given by-

\[ \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} [P(i, j) - F(i, j)]^2} \]  

(15)

The smaller the value of the RMSE, the better the fusion performance [12].

2- The peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) is given by-

\[ \text{PSNR} = 10 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{f_{\text{max}}}{\text{RMSE}} \right) \]  

(16)

Where \( f_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum gray scale value of the pixels in the fused image. The higher the value of the PSNR, the better the fusion performance [16].

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We considered five wavelet families namely Haar, Daubechies (db), Symlets, Coiflets and Biorsplines for fusing CT and MRI medical images. The filter - Daubechies (db) which produced the smallest RMSE was chosen for further analysis. Different fusion rules were tested, including the mean rule, maximum rule, and minimum rule. Here average rule gives better result, so average rule is selected. Here we applied average fusion rule to CT and MRI images. Figure 8(a) and 7(b) shows the resultant fusion of CT and MRI images.
We have combined the wavelet transform and various fusion rules to fuse CT and MRI images. This method gives encouraging results in terms of smaller RMSE and higher PSNR values. Among all the fusion rules, the maximum fusion rule performs better as it achieved least MSE and highest PSNR values. Using this method we have fused other head and abdomen images. The
images used here are grayscale CT and MRI images. However, the images of other modalities (like PET, SPECT, X-ray etc) with their true color nature may also be fused using the same method.
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