Mathematical modelling and Performance analysis of Quadratic Boost Converter
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Abstract—The output voltage from the sustainable energy like photovoltaic (PV) arrays and fuel cells will be at less amount of level. This must be boost considerably for practical utilization or grid connection. A conventional boost converter will provide low voltage gain while Quadratic boost converter (QBC) provides high voltage gain. QBC is able to regulate the output voltage and the choice of second inductor can give its current as positive and whereas for boost increases in the voltage will not able to regulate the output voltage. It has low semiconductor device voltage stress and switching factor is high. Analysis and design modeling of Quadratic boost converter is proposed in this paper. A power with 200 W is developed with 12 V input voltage and yield 60 V output voltage and the outcomes are approved through recreation utilizing MATLAB/SIMULINK MODEL.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The traditional quadratic boost converter surrenders booting transformation change without high increment due the hindrance of conduction hardships in the circuit parameters. The gain depends upon the I2R adversities in the inductors and the impact electronic gadget related [6]. The voltage pick up is difficult to secure with standard lift topologies in perspective of the parasitic portions, which limits the repeat and the structure appraise as the fuel cell voltage is of low voltage Quadratic boost converter is utilized as an interface for high voltage applications [3]. Theoretically, conventional boost converters can accomplish low voltage gain Modified Quadratic boost converter offer significant advantages, including simplicity, size, reduction, especially in high-powered applications [2].

A. Efficiency of DC-DC Converter

DC-DC converter comprises components like inductor, capacitors and semiconductor devices like diodes, MOSFET, IGBT.

The components don't work in a perfect world. An appropriate choice of parts for modeling is portrayed.

B. DC-DC Converter applications

Single fuel cell voltage output is roughly 1.5V. To increase the voltage fuel cells arranged in a stacked manner The voltage is then fed to DC-DC boost converter. Further the boosted voltage is fed to an inverter to achieve desired ac voltage and frequency for utility grid. Conventional boost converter works at high duty period for higher gain. At high duty cycle, inductor may get saturates. QBC boost converter works at low duty cycle. The need of recent days is to lessen the employments of non-sustainable sources [1]. The change of oil based vehicles to half breed electrical vehicles and afterward to electric vehicles has been seen. Recent advancements in DC-DC converters check them appropriate for electric vehicles. Number of dc supplies is expected to charge electrical vehicles. A QBC DC-DC converter is inferred to fill the need.

II. QUADRATIC BOOST CONVERTER (QBC)

A. Operation of quadratic boost converter

The capacity of a quadratic boost converter to venture up the input voltage (Vo= 1/(1-K)*Vs) is restricted by the debasement of the proficiency and the impact of the parasitic protections everywhere estimations of D [7]. Fig 1 demonstrates the displaying and control of Quadratic boost converter and Fig 2 and 3 predicts on and off an area of CBC and If the application requires a greater voltage change proportion and the minimum troublesome game plan is to use the two sorts of converters in course [4] [9]. Regardless, however it isn't worth in light of the fact that the dynamic and detached part check is duplicated which prompts high cost and flightiness. The circuit outline of a quadratic boost converter is appeared in Fig 1. The circuit includes a solitary switch S, three diodes D1, D2 and D3, two
capacitors C1 and C2, two inductors L1 and L2 and a heap resistor R [8].
The circuit operation is totally in perspective of the supposition that the switch S is impeccable in operation and capacitors C1 and C2 are believed to be significant. So, the voltage over the capacitors VC1 and VC2 are relatively steady finished an exchanging period. at the point when switch S is turned on D2 is forward uneven, while D1 and D3 upset uneven. Streams are given to L1 and L2 by Vin besides, C1
In the side of the point at the transform is OFF: in this state D1 Furthermore D3 would ahead biased, same time D2 switch will be reverse predisposition. L1 What's more L2 are charging C1 What's more C2 separately. Throughout this state, iL1 Furthermore iL2 will be reduced separately.

III. STEADY STATE ANALYSIS OF QUADRATIC BOOST CONVERTER

The fundamental circuit of QBC constitutes a boost converter with a supposition of totally resistive load, consummate switch and a reliable quick voltage Vd [5]. The circuit operation is entirely in light of the presumption that the switch S is perfect in operation and capacitors C1 and C2 is thought to be extensive with the goal that the voltage over the capacitors VC1 and V C2 are about steady finished an exchanging period. The state variable for relentless state investigation are IL1, IL2, VC1 and VC2 (V0).

\[ \Delta i_L_1 = \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} \quad (1) \]
\[ \Delta i_L_2 = \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_2} \quad (2) \]
\[ \Delta V_{C_1} = -\frac{i_{L_2}}{C_1} \quad (3) \]
\[ \Delta V_{C_2} = -\frac{V_{out}}{R C_2} \quad (4) \]

The identical circuit schematic of the QBC between the OFF state is appeared in Fig 3. Diode D2 get switch one-sided and D1 and D3 get forward one-sided. The inductor released its put away vitality through C1 and C2.

\[ \Delta i_L_1 = \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} - \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_1} (1 - D) \quad (5) \]
\[ \Delta i_L_2 = \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_2} - \frac{V_{out}}{L_2} (1 - D) \quad (6) \]
\[ \Delta V_{C_1} = \frac{i_{L_1}}{C_1} (1 - D) - \frac{i_{L_2}}{C_1} \quad (7) \]
\[ \Delta V_{out} = \frac{V_{out}}{R C_2} \quad (8) \]

Average Model Equation of QBC

\[ \Delta i_L_1 = \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} - \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_1} (1 - D) \quad (9) \]
\[ \Delta i_L_2 = \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_2} - \frac{V_{out}}{L_2} (1 - D) \quad (10) \]
\[ \Delta V_{C_1} = \frac{i_{L_1}}{C_1} (1 - D) - \frac{i_{L_2}}{C_1} \quad (11) \]
\[ \Delta V_{out} = \frac{I L_2}{C_2} - \frac{V_{out}}{R C_2} \]  

(12)

For steady state operation of an inductor in a DC-DC Converter, net inductor voltage in a switching period must be zero.

For inductor L1

\[ \Delta i L_{1(closed)} + \Delta i L_{1(open)} = 0 \]  

(13)

\[ \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} DT + \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} (1 - D)T - \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_1} (1 - D) = 0 \]

\[ \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} T = \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_1} (1 - D)T \]

\[ V_{C_1} = \frac{V_{in}}{1 - D} \]  

(15)

For Inductor L2

\[ \Delta i L_{2(on)} + \Delta i L_{2(off)} = 0 \]  

(16)

\[ \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_2} DT + \frac{V_{C_1}}{L_2} (1 - D)T - \frac{V_{out}}{L_2} (1 - D)T = 0 \]

\[ V_{C_1}D + V_{C_1}(1 - D) - V_{C_1}D = V_{out}(1 - D) \]

\[ V_{C_1} = V_{out}(1 - D) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{V_{in}}{1 - D} = V_{out}(1 - D) \]

\[ V_{out} = \frac{V_{in}}{1 - D} \]  

(17)

Average current passing through any ‘i’ is zero

\[ -i L_2 \frac{(DT)}{C_1} + i L_1 \frac{(1 - D)T}{C_1} - i L_2 \frac{(1 - D)T}{C_1} = 0 \]

\[ -i L_2 D + i L_1 - i L_1 D - i L_2 + i L_2 D = 0 \]

\[ i L_1 (1 - D) = i L_2 \]

\[ i L_1 = - \frac{i L_2}{(1 - D)} \]

\[ i L_2 = \frac{i L_0}{(1 - D)} \]

\[ V_s L = \frac{V_{out}}{R} = \frac{V_s^2}{R} \]

\[ L_{L1} = \frac{V_{in}}{(1 - D)^4 R} \]

From equation

\[ \Delta I_{L1} = \frac{V_{in}}{L_1} DT \]

\[ V_{out} = \frac{V_{in}}{(1 - D)^2} \]

\[ V_{C_1} = \frac{V_{in}}{1 - D} = \frac{V_0}{L - D} \]

\[ \Delta I_{L1} = \frac{V_{out}(1 - D)^2 D}{\Delta I L_1 f} \]

similarly From equation 2

\[ \Delta I_{L2} = \frac{V_{C_1} DT}{L_2} \]

\[ V_{C_1} = \frac{V_{in}}{1 - D} = \frac{V_0(1 - D)^2}{L} \]

\[ \Delta I_{L2} = \frac{V_0(1 - D) DT}{L_2} \]

\[ L_2 = \frac{V_0(1 - D) D}{\Delta I L_2 f} \]

For steady state operation of a capacitor in a DC-DC Converter, net capacitor current in a switching period must be zero

\[ -\frac{I_0}{C_2} (DT) + i C_2 (1 - D) - (1 - D) \frac{I_0}{C_2} = 0 \]

\[ -I_0 D + i C_2 (1 - D) - I_0 (1 - D) = 0 \]

\[ -I_0 D - I_0 + I_0 D + I L_2 (1 - D) = 0 \]

\[ I L_2 = \frac{I_0}{(1 - D)} \]

\[ \Delta V C_1 = \frac{D T I L_2}{C_1} \]

\[ C_1 = \frac{D I_0}{f (1 - D) \Delta V C_1} \]

\[ \Delta V C_2 = \frac{D T I_0}{C_2} \]

\[ L_1 = \frac{V_0}{\Delta I L_1 f} \]
\[ L_1 = \frac{V_{in}D}{\Delta I_{L1}f} \]
\[ L_2 = \frac{V_0(1 - D)d}{\Delta I_{L2}f} \]
\[ L_2 = \frac{V_{in}}{(1-D)^2} \frac{(1 - D)D}{\Delta I_{L2}f} \]

IV. SPECIFICATION OF QUADRATIC BOOST CONVERTER

The Quadratic boost converter was analyzed by MATLAB SIMULINK model. The circuit parameters for the simulation are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage</td>
<td>12V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output voltage</td>
<td>60V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching Frequency</td>
<td>100Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta I_{L1} )</td>
<td>20% of ( I_{L1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta I_{L2} )</td>
<td>20% of ( I_{L2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta V_{C1} )</td>
<td>2% of ( V_{C1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta V_{C2} )</td>
<td>2% of ( V_{C2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design of load resistor

\[ P = 100Hz \]
\[ I_0 = \frac{P}{V} = \frac{100}{60} = 1.67A \]
\[ R_{load} = \frac{V}{I} = \frac{60v}{1.67A} = 35.9 \Omega \]

Duty ratio

\[ D = 1 - \frac{V_{in}}{V_0} = 0.55 \]
\[ V_0 = \frac{V_{in}}{(1-D)^2} \]

Rate of change of inductor \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) values

To find the maximum inductor current values

\[ iL_{1(max)} = \frac{\Delta I_{L1}}{2} + I_1 \]
\[ = 0.825 + 8.25 \]
\[ = 9.75A \]

To find the value for minimum inductors current

\[ iL_{1(min)} = \frac{\Delta I_{L1}}{2} - I_1 \]
\[ = 7.425A \]

Value of inductor and capacitor

To find the value for \( L_1 \)

\[ L_1 = \frac{V_{in}D}{\Delta I_{L1}f} \]
\[ = \frac{12 * 0.55}{1.65 * 100k} \]
\[ = 40\mu H \]

To find the value for \( L_2 \)

\[ L_2 = \frac{V_{in}D}{(1-D)\Delta I_{L2}f} \]
\[ = \frac{12 * 0.55}{(1 - 0.55) * 0.742 * 100k} \]
\[ = 197\mu H \]

Ω 200\mu H
To find the value for C1
\[ C_1 = \frac{I_0 \cdot D}{f(1 - D)\Delta V_{c_1}} \]
\[ \Delta V_{c_1} = 2\% \text{ of } V_{c_1} \]
\[ = \frac{2}{100} \cdot \frac{V_{in}}{1 - D} \]
\[ \Delta V_{c_2} = 2\% \text{ of } V_{c_2} \]
\[ = 0.53\% \]

Capacitor voltage \( V_{c_2} \) is given by
\[ V_{c_2} = V_0 = \frac{V_{in}}{(1 - D)^2} = 60V \]
\[ \Delta V_{c_2} = \frac{2}{100} \cdot 60 = 2V \]

The value for C1 is
\[ C_1 = \frac{1.67 \cdot 0.55}{100k \cdot 0.45 \cdot 0.53} = 38.51\phi f \]
\[ = 40\phi f \]

The value of C2 is given by
\[ C_2 = \frac{D I_0}{f\Delta V_{c_2}} \]
\[ = \frac{0.55 \cdot 1.67}{100k \cdot 2V} = 4.6\phi f \]

To find \( L_{\text{critical}} \) and \( C_{\text{critical}} \)

\[ I_{L(\text{min})} = 0 = I_{L_1} - \frac{\Delta I_{L_1}}{2} = 0 \]
\[ \Delta I_{L_1} = 2I_{L_1} \]

\[ \frac{V_{in}D}{L_{1(\text{rst})}f} = 2I_{L_1} \]
\[ L_{1(\text{rst})} = \frac{V_{in}D}{2I_{L_1}f} = \frac{12 \cdot 0.55}{2 \cdot 2.25 \cdot 100k} \]
\[ L_{1(\text{rst})} = 4\mu H \]
\[ I_{L_2(\text{min})} = 0 \]
\[ \Delta I_{L_2} = 2I_{L_2} \]
\[ \frac{V_0(1 - D)D}{L_{2(\text{rst})}f} = 2I_{L_2} \]
\[ L_{2(\text{rst})} = \frac{V_0(1 - D)D}{2I_{L_2}f} \]

Dynamic control of the output voltage
\[ \frac{dV_0}{dt} = \frac{(1 - d)}{C_2} i_{L_2} = \frac{1}{RC_2} V_0 \]

\[ C_2 \frac{dV_0}{dt} + \frac{1}{R} V_0 = 1 - d \]
\[ \mu_2 = \text{output of PI controller} \]
\[ d = 1 - \frac{\mu}{i_{L_2}} \]

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulation of quadratic boost converter (QBC)
Substitute the design values, obtain the mathematical model of QBC and validate the design values with simulation results in MATLAB/SIMULINK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>Design specification of Quadratic boost converter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input Voltage(( V_i ))</td>
<td>12 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Voltage(( V_o ))</td>
<td>60 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Power(( P_o ))</td>
<td>250 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripple voltage (( \Delta V_{c_1} ))</td>
<td>2% of ( V_{c_1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripple voltage (( \Delta V_{c_2} ))</td>
<td>2% of ( V_{c_2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripple current (( \Delta I_{L_1} ))</td>
<td>20% of ( I_{L_1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripple current (( \Delta I_{L_2} ))</td>
<td>20% of ( I_{L_2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching Frequency(( f_c ))</td>
<td>100 KHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulink Model of Quadratic Boost Converter:
The averaged large signal equations of QBC is modelled as
TABLE III
Parameters of Quadratic boost converter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output Current(L)</td>
<td>1.67A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty Cycle(D)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Resistance(Ro)</td>
<td>36 ohm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductor L1</td>
<td>40µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductor L2</td>
<td>200 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor C1</td>
<td>40 µf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor C2</td>
<td>4.6 µf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max IL1 &amp; Min IL1</td>
<td>9.075A &amp; 7.425A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inductor Ripple (ΔI_L1):
Inductor ripple current for L1 is (IL1(max) – IL1(min)) = 7.4 - 8.9 = 1.5A

Inductor Ripple (ΔI_L2):
Inductor ripple current for L2 is (IL2(max) – IL2(min)) = 4.1 - 3.4 = 0.7A

Output voltage Ripple (ΔV_o):
Output voltage Ripple (ΔV0) 2% of Vo = 60.2 - 58.2 = 2V

Inductor L1 = 40e-6
Inductor L2 = 200e-6
Capacitor C1 = 40e-6
Capacitor C2 = 4.6e-6
R = 36
Vin = 12V
Vo = 60V
f = 100KHz
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Fig 5 Mathematic Model of QBC

Fig 6 Inductor Ripple (ΔI_L1)

Fig 7 Inductor Ripple (ΔI_L2)

Fig 8 Output voltage Ripple (ΔV_o)

Fig 9 Closed Loop Model of QBC
Simulation Results for Step change in reference voltage:

Output voltage and output current response for a step change in Voltage reference 25V to 80V at 0.005s

![Graph showing voltage and current response](image)

Fig 10 Voltage and current response for step change in Voltage reference

VI. CONCLUSION

The Proposed Converter has a gain relies upon the outline utilized as a part of the topology. The most extreme power can be followed in the Photovoltaic system for better execution. The Outline of the converter decides the execution of the system. The smaller estimation of duty cycle builds the powerful working of the photovoltaic framework. The distinctive techniques are relevant for different application, for example, decrease in conduction losses, voltage stress over the switch, spillage energy reusing, better productivity and gain. Further, the model of the proposed converters design, test, and indictment is to be inspected tentatively in future.
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