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ABSTRACT- One of the important problems in data mining is discovering association rules from databases of transactions where each transaction consists of a set of items. Many industries are interested in developing the association rules from their databases due to continuous retrieval and storage of huge amount of data. The discovery of interesting association relationship among business transaction records in many business decision making process such as catalog decision, cross-marketing, and loss-leader analysis. The most time consuming operation in this discovery process is the computation of the frequency of interesting subset of items (called candidates) in the database of transactions. Hence, it is has become vital to develop a method that may avoid or reduce candidate generation and test and utilize some novel data structures to reduce the cost in frequent pattern mining. In this paper, We have proposed An Integrated approach of Parallel Computing and ARM for mining Association Rules in Generalized data set that is fundamentally different from all the previous algorithms in that it uses database in transposed form and database transposition is done using Parallel transposition algorithm (Mesh Transpose) so to generate all significant association rules number of passes required is reduced. We will compare proposed algorithm with Apriori algorithm for frequent item sets generation. The CPU and I/O overhead can be reduced in our proposed algorithm and it is much faster than other Association Rule Mining algorithms.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of computer technology, especially increased capacities and decreased costs of storage media, has led businesses to store huge amounts of external and internal information in large databases at low cost. Mining useful information and helpful knowledge from these large databases has thus evolved into an important research area [3, 2, 1].

Association rule mining (ARM) [18] has become one of the core data mining tasks and has attracted tremendous interest among data mining researchers. ARM is an undirected or unsupervised data mining technique which works on variable length data, and produces clear and understandable results. Association Rule Mining (ARM) algorithms [17] are defined into two categories; namely, algorithms respectively with candidate generation and algorithms without candidate generation. In the first category, those algorithms which are similar to Apriori algorithm for candidate generation are considered. Eclat may also be considered in the first category [8]. In the second category, the FP-Growth algorithm is the best-known algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Data Preprocessing</th>
<th>Scan</th>
<th>Data Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apriori</td>
<td>No Facility</td>
<td>Repeated Scan</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Algorithm</td>
<td>Parallel Preprocessing</td>
<td>One Time Scan</td>
<td>Generalized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main drawback of earlier algorithms is the repeated scans over large database. This may be a cause of decrement in CPU performance, memory and increment in I/O overheads. The performance and efficiency of ARM algorithms mainly depend on three factors; namely candidate sets generated, data structure used and details of implementations [8]. In this paper we have proposed an Algorithm which uses these three factors. Suppose if there are 104 frequent 1 itemsets, Apriori algorithm may produce 107 candidate 2 itemsets, count them and judge their frequency [11]. Besides, it may produce as many as 2100 (about 1030) candidate itemsets in order to find the frequent itemset which includes 100 items. What’s more, it may scan the database many times to check a larger candidate through matching mode. Table 1 represents the comparison between the apriori and our algorithm.

Transactional database is considered as a two dimension array which works on generalized value dataset. The main difference between proposed algorithm and other algorithms is that instead of using transactional array in its natural form,
our algorithm uses transpose of array i.e. rows and columns of array are interchanged and transposition is done using parallel matrix transpose algorithm (Mesh Transpose) [20]. The parallel architecture that lends itself most naturally to matrix operations is the mesh. Indeed, an n x n mesh of processors can be regarded as a matrix and is therefore perfectly fitted to accommodate an n x n data matrix, one element per processor. This is precisely the approach we shall use to compute the transpose of an n x n matrix initially stored in an n x n mesh of processors. We find that the time taken for matrix transpose decreases with an increase in the number of processors. We also observe that the speedup is very high for small as well as very large size of matrix when we increase the number of processors. The idea of our algorithm is quite simple. Since the diagonal elements are not affected during the transposition, that is, element aii of A equals element aii of AT, the data in the diagonal processors will stay stationary.

The advantage of using transposed array is to calculate support count for particular item. There is no need to repeatedly scan array. Only by finding the row sum of the array will give the required support count for particular item, which ultimately results in increased efficiency of the algorithm. The major Advantages of our proposed algorithm are as follows:-

- Candidate generation becomes easy and fast.
- Association rules are produced much faster, since retrieving a support of an itemset is quicker.
- The original file isn’t influenced by the pruning process where its role ends as soon as data is stored in 2-d array.
- It reduces the I/O overhead
- The retrieval of support of an itemset is quicker

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides a brief review of the related work. In Section 3, we explain Frequent Itemset and Association Rule Mining through Apriori Algorithm. In Section 4, we introduce our approach of frequent itemset generation using parallel preprocessing. An illustration of the algorithm and experiment analysis is presented in section 5 and section 6 respectively. Finally, we concluded our work.

## 2 RELATED WORK

One of the most well known and popular data mining techniques is the Association rules or frequent item sets mining algorithm. The algorithm was originally proposed by Agrawal et al. [4] [5] for market basket analysis. Because of its significant applicability, many revised algorithms have been introduced since then, and Association rule mining is still a widely researched area.

Agrawal et. al. presented an AIS algorithm in [4] which generates candidate item sets on-the-fly during each pass of the database scan. Large item sets from previous pass are checked if they are present in the current transaction. Thus new item sets are formed by extending existing item sets. This algorithm turns out to be ineffective because it generates too many candidate item sets. It requires more space and at the same time this algorithm requires too many passes over the whole database and also it generates rules with one consequent item.

Agrawal et. al. [5] developed various versions of Apriori algorithm such as Apriori, AprioriTid, and AprioriHybrid. Apriori and AprioriTid generate item sets using the large item sets found in the previous pass, without considering the transactions. AprioriTid improves Apriori by using the database at the first pass. Counting in subsequent passes is done using encodings created in the first pass, which is much smaller than the database. This leads to a dramatic performance improvement of three times faster than AIS.

Scalability is another important area of data mining because of its huge size. Hence, algorithms must be able to "scale up" to handle large amount of data. Eui-Hong et. al [16] tried to make data distribution and candidate generation scalable by Intelligent Data Distribution (IDD) algorithm and Hybrid Distribution (HD) algorithm respectively. IDD addresses the issues of communication overhead and redundant computation by using aggregate memory to partition candidates and move data efficiently. HD improves over IDD by dynamically partitioning the candidate set to maintain good load balance. Different works are reported in the literature to modify the Apriori logic so as to improve the efficiency of generating rules. These methods even though focused on reducing time and space, in real time still needs improvement.

## 3 FREQUENT ITEM SET AND ASSOCIATION RULE

The aim of Association rule mining is exploring relations and important rules in large datasets. A dataset is considered as a sequence of entries consisting of attribute values also known as items. A set of such item sets is called an item set. Frequent item sets are sets of pages which are visited frequently together in a single server session.

Let I = {I1, I2, … , Im} be a set of items. Let D, the task-relevant data, be a set of database transactions where each transaction T is a set of items such that T ⊆ I. Each transaction is associated with an identifier, called TID. Let A be a set of items. A transaction T is said to contain A if and only if A ⊆ T. An association rule is an implication of the form A ⇒ B, where A ∩ B = ∅. The rule A ⇒ B holds in the transaction set D with support s, where s is the percentage of transactions in D that contain A∪B (i.e., the union of sets A and B, or say, both A and B). This is taken to be the probability, P(A∪B). The rule A ⇒ B has confidence c in the transaction set D, where c is the percentage of transactions in D containing A that also contain B. This is taken to be the conditional probability, P(B|A). That is,
support(A⇒B) = P(A∪B)……………………..(2.1)
confidence(A⇒B) = P(B | A)……………………..(2.2)

A set of items is referred to as an itemset. An itemset that
contains k items is a k-itemset. The set [bread, butter] is a 2-
itemset. The occurrence frequency of an itemset is the number
of transactions that contain the itemset, it is also known, as
the frequency, or support count. If the relative support of an
itemset I satisfies a pre specified minimum support threshold
then I is a frequent itemset. The set of frequent k-itemsets is
commonly denoted by Lk. From Equation (2.2), we have

\[
\text{confidence}(A \Rightarrow B) = \frac{\text{support}(A \cup B)}{\text{support}(A)} = \frac{\text{support}_\text{count}(A \cup B)}{\text{support}_\text{count}(A)} \quad (2.3)
\]

Let \( \tau = I_1, I_2... I_m \) be a set of binary attributes, called items.
Let T be a database of transactions. Each transaction t is
represented as a binary vector, with \( t[k] = 1 \) if t bought the
item \( I_k \), and \( t[k] = 0 \) otherwise. There is one tuple in the
database for each transaction. Let X be a set of some items in
\( \tau \). We say that a transaction t satisfies X if for all items \( I_k \) in X,
\( t[k] = 1 \).

By an association rule, we mean an implication of the form
\( X \Rightarrow I_j \), where X is a set of some items in \( \tau \), and \( I_j \) is a single
item in \( \tau \) that is not present in X. The rule \( X \Rightarrow I_j \) is satisfied in the
set of transactions T with the confidence factor 0 ≤ c ≤ 1 if
at least c% of transactions in T that satisfy X also satisfy \( I_j \). We
will use the notation \( X \Rightarrow I_j \mid c \) to specify that the rule \( X \Rightarrow I_j \)
has a confidence factor of c.[3]

3.1 Apriori Algorithm

The Apriori algorithm is one of the most popular
algorithms for mining frequent patterns and association rules
[4]. It introduces a method to generate candidate itemsets Ck
in the pass k of a transaction database using only frequent
itemset Lk−1 in the previous pass. The idea rests on the fact
that any subset of a frequent itemset must be frequent as well.
Hence, Ck can be generated by joining two itemsets in Lk−1
and pruning those that contain any subset that is not frequent
as shown in Fig1.

Figure1 : Apriori Algorithm

4 OUR APPROACH

Association rule and frequent itemset mining has become
now a widely research area and hence, faster and faster
algorithms have been presented. The Association Rule Mining
algorithms such as Apriori, FP-Growth requires repeated
scans over the entire database. All the input/output
overheads that are being generated during repeated scanning
the entire database decrease the performance of CPU,
memory and I/O overheads.

Much work has been carried out on improving the
efficiency of the apriori algorithm by reducing the I/O time
and minimizing the set of candidate itemsets. However, all
these works suffer from problem of scans over the database at
least once. The efficiency of these algorithms can still be
improved by reducing the time required for counting the
supports of candidate itemsets. We aim to obtain an efficient
algorithm which reduces the time needed to count the
supports of candidate itemsets.

4.1 Parallel Data Preprocessing

The idea of our algorithm is quite simple. Since the
diagonal elements are not affected during the transposition,
that is, element aii of A equals element aii of AT, the data in
the diagonal processors will stay stationary. Those below the
diagonal are sent to occupy symmetrical positions above the
diagonal (solid arrows in Fig. 2). Simultaneously, the
elements above the diagonal are sent to occupy symmetrical
positions below the diagonal (dashed arrows in Fig.2).
4.2 Our Proposed Algorithm

We propose a new algorithm called in which Transactional database is considered as a two dimension array which works on generalized value dataset. The main difference between proposed algorithm and other algorithms is that instead of using transactional array in its natural form, our algorithm uses transpose of array i.e. rows and columns of array are interchanged and transposition is achieved using parallel matrix transpose algorithm.

Procedure EPTA()

// Transpose the transactional database
1. Transpose(Data Set)
2. Read the database to count the support of C1 to determine L1 using sum of rows.
3. L1:= Frequent 1-itemsets and k:= 2
4. While (k-1 ≠ NULL set) do
   Begin
   C_k:= Call Gen_candidate_itemsets (L_{k-1})
   Call Prune (C_k)
   for all itemsets i ∈ I do
   Calculate the support values using dot-multiplication of array;
   L_k := All candidates in C_k with a minimum support;
   k:=k+1
   End
5. End of step-4

End Procedure

Procedure TRANSPOSE (A)

Step 1: do steps 1.1 and 1.2 in parallel
   (1.1) for i = 2 to n do in parallel
   for j = 1 to i - 1 do in parallel
   C(i - 1, j) := (a, j)  
   end for
   end for
   (1.2) for i = 1 to n - 1 do in parallel
   for j = i + 1 to n do in parallel
   B(i, j) := (a, j, i)  
   end for
   end for

Step 2: do steps 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 in parallel
(2.1) for i = 2 to n do in parallel
for j = 1 to i - 1 do in parallel
while P(i, j) receives input from its neighbors do
  (i) if (ak, m, k) is received from P(i + I, j)
  then send it to P(i - 1, j)
  end if
  (ii) if (ak, m, k) is received from P(i - I, j)
  then if i = m and j = k
  then A(i, j) := a_k, (ak, has reached its destination)
  else send (aki, m, k) to P(i + 1, j)
  end if
  end if
end while
end for
end for
(2.2) for i = 1 to n do in parallel
while P(i, j) receives input from its neighbors do
  (i) if (ak, m, k) is received from P(i + I, i)
  then send it to P(i, i + 1)
  end if
  (ii) if (ak, m, k) is received from P(i, i + 1)
  then send it to P(i + I, i)
  end if
end while
end for
(2.3) for i = 1 to n - 1 do in parallel
for j = i + 1 to n do in parallel
while P(i, j) receives input from its neighbors do
  (i) if (ak, m, k) is received from P(i, j + 1)
  then send it to P(i, j - 1)
  end if
  (ii) if (ak, m, k) is received from P(i, j - 1)
  then if i = m and j = k
  then A(i, j) := a_k, (ak, has reached its destination)
  else send (aki, m, k) to P(i, j + 1)
  end if
  end if
end while
end for
End Procedure//TRANSPOSE
Suppose we have a transactional database in which the user transactions from T1 to T5 and items from A1 to A5 are stored in the form of generalized values, which is shown in Table-1 (Fig 3).

Consider the transpose of transactional database as shown in Table-1 is stored in Table-2 by applying Parallel Transposition that can be used in our proposed algorithm. Assume the user specified minimum support is 40%, and then the steps for generating all frequent item sets in proposed algorithm will be repeated until NULL set is reached. In our algorithm, transactional dataset will be used in the transposed form. Therefore, candidate set and frequent itemset generation process will be changed as compared to Apriori algorithm.

Then the candidate 2-itemset will be generated by performing dot-multiplication of rows of array, as array consist of generalized values, the resultant cell will be produce in the form of 1. If the corresponding cells of the respective rows have 1, otherwise 0 will be in the resultant cell. In this approach, we will receive a new array consisting of candidate 2-itemsets to get the higher order of itemsets. The above process between rows of array can be performed to find out the results.

5 AN ILLUSTRATION

6 EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATIONS

The performance comparison of our mining algorithm with classical frequent pattern-mining algorithm Apriori is shown in Fig 4. All the experiments are performed on 1.50Ghz Pentium-iv desktop machine with 256 MB main memory, running on Windows-XP operating system. The program for Apriori and our proposed algorithm were developed in Java JDK1.5 environment.
itemsets and patterns from large databases. In this project, we have designed an Algorithm for generation of frequent itemsets similar to Apriori algorithm. The proposed algorithm can improve the efficiency of Apriori algorithm and it is observed to be very fast. Our algorithm is not only efficient but also very fast for finding association rules in large databases. The proposed algorithm drastically reduces the I/O overhead associated with Apriori algorithm and retrieval of support of an itemset is quicker as compared to Apriori algorithm. This algorithm may be useful for many real-life database mining scenarios where the data is stored in generalized form. Our algorithm uses parallel transposition of generalized 2D data set, so the data preprocessing goes faster. This algorithm cannot be used with multimedia dataset.

7 CONCLUSIONS

ARM algorithms are important to discover frequent itemsets and patterns from large databases. In this project, we have designed an Algorithm for generation of frequent itemsets similar to Apriori algorithm. The proposed algorithm can improve the efficiency of Apriori algorithm and it is observed to be very fast. Our algorithm is not only efficient but also very fast for finding association rules in large databases. The proposed algorithm drastically reduces the I/O overhead associated with Apriori algorithm and retrieval of support of an itemset is quicker as compared to Apriori algorithm. This algorithm may be useful for many real-life database mining scenarios where the data is stored in generalized form. Our algorithm uses parallel transposition of generalized 2D data set, so the data preprocessing goes faster. This algorithm cannot be used with multimedia dataset.
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