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Abstract: The objective of this paper was to investigate flammability and moisture absorption capacity of polyurethane foam produced from methylene di-isocyanate (MDI) and polyether polyol (PP). Four types of polyurethane foam specimen were prepared using different ratios of methylene di-isocyanate (MDI) and polyether polyol. Flammability and moisture absorption tests were conducted as per ASTM D standards.

The test was carried out by igniting polyurethane foam with a Bunsen burner for 10 sec and in absorption test the specimen is immersed completely in water for a specified time and temperature, then the specimen is removed, dried and weighed. Water absorption is expressed in terms of percentage of weight gain.

From the results it is noticed that for 55/45 proportion flame height traveled is more than other proportion in case of flammability test. This may be due to irregular and improper distribution of cells which will prolong the burning period hence higher will be the extinguish time and higher MDI proportion results higher the weight loss. In case of water absorption test, 55/45 proportion has maximum absorption capacity at the end of 15 days of immersion in water and the percentage of moisture absorption is found to be minimum for 50/50 proportion.
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1. Introduction
The invention of polyurethanes was made by Otto Bayer and coworkers at I. G.Farbenindustrie, Germany in 1937. This discovery was Germanys’ competitive response to Carothers' work on polyamides, or nyons, at E. I. du Pont. The successful development of high molecular weight polyamides at E. I. du Pont stimulated Bayer to investigate similar materials that were not covered by Du Pont's patents. Du Pont and ICI soon recognized the desirable elastic properties of polyurethanes. The industrial scale production of polyurethane started in 1940.[1] But subsequent market growth of these materials was seriously impacted by World War II.
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In 1958, Schollenberger of BF Goodrich introduced a new “virtually crosslinked” thermoplastic polyurethane elastomer. At approximately the same time, du Pont announced a Spandex fiber called Lycra, which is a polyureaurethane based on PTMO, Diphenylmethylene diisocyanate (MDI) and ethylene diamine. By the early 1960s, BF Goodrich produced Estane, Mobay marketed Texin, and Upjohn marketed Pellethane in the United States. Bayer and Elastgran marketed Desmopan and Elastollan, respectively, in Europe[2]. Polyurethanes can also be produced as foams (rigid and flexible), adhesives, binders, coatings, and paints. Because of their unique properties, polyurethanes have found a wide variety of applications in the automotive, furniture, construction, and footwear industries, as seating, exterior panels, structural foam, furniture, housing for electric equipment, shoe and boot soles, and refrigerator insulation.

In 1990, the world production of plastics exceeded 100 million tons. Following the high volume production of polyethylene (PE), polyvinylchloride (PVC), polypropylene (PP), and polystyrene (PS), polyurethanes rank 5th with over 5% of the world’s total plastics production.[2-3] Polyurethanes are a versatile group of multi-phase segmented polymers that have excellent mechanical and elastic properties, good hardness, high abrasion and chemical resistance. However, poor fire resistance restricts some of their applications. The increasing demands for fire resistant systems have stimulated the development of new materials. Recently, interest in halogen free fire retardant polyurethanes has begun to focus on polymer systems, which display significantly reduced heat release characteristics [4-7]. This study aimed at developing a polyurethane foam produced from methylene di-isocyanate (MDI) and polyether polyol (PP) in different proportion and tested for flammability and moisture absorption.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Materials used

The materials used in making the polyurethane foam. The specimens were fabricated according to standard specifications. The primary chemicals used to produce the polyurethane foam were methylene di-isocyanate (MDI) and polyether polyol (PP). The different ratios of methylene di-isocyanate (MDI) and polyether polyol are given in table.1
2.2 Fabrication of specimen
The procedure followed the preparation of polyurethane foam specimens:

1. Calculated amount of MDI and PP liquids were taken in separate clean and dry glass cups to produce polyurethane foam (PUF) of density 0.8 gm/cc.
2. Inner surface of the wooden die fabricated to suit the impact specimens (63.5mm x 12.5mm x 3 mm) was covered with teflon sheet.
3. MDI and PP were mixed by vigorous mechanical stirring
4. The mixture was poured into the die.
5. The die was covered with a teflon coated metal plate and a pressure of 0.5 MPa was applied.
6. Polyurethane rigid foam was taken out of the die after curing for 20 minutes.

Experimental study was conducted to determine the flammability and moisture absorption capacity of Polyurethane rigid foam. The specimens were mounted vertically on a stand and ignite using Bunsen burner for 10 sec. The flame height, burning time and weight percent retained by the specimen is determined. In case of absorption test the specimen is immersed completely in water for a specified time and temperature. Then the specimen is removed, dried and weighed. Water absorption is reported in terms of percentage of weight gain.

2.3 Flammability test
The test procedure for Flammability test of rigid polyurethane foam was according to ASTM D 3014 standards. The test is carried out by mounting a specimen vertically on vertical stand and ignites it with a Bunsen burner for 10 sec. The flame height, time of burning and weight percent retained by the specimen is determined.

The test procedure for Flammability test of composite laminates in vertical and horizontal direction was according to UL 94 V and UL 94 HB respectively. For vertical direction test procedure as follows a small 3/4\textsuperscript{th} inch high blue flame is applied to the bottom of the specimen for 10 sec withdrawn then reapplied for an additional 10 sec the duration of flaming and glowing is noted as soon as the specimen is extinguished. A layer of cotton is placed beneath specimen to determine whether dripping material will ignite it during the test period. For Horizontal direction test procedure as follows burner ignited to produce 1 inch high blue flame. A depth of 1/4\textsuperscript{th} inch flame applied to specimen for 30
seconds without changing the position of the burner and is removed from the burner. If the specimen burns to the 1 inch mark before 30sec the flame is withdrawn. If the specimen continues to burn after removal of the flame, the time for the flame front to travel from the mark 1 inch from the free end to the mark 4.0 inch from the free end is determined and rate of burning is calculated.

2.4 Moisture Absorption Test

The test procedure for Moisture Absorption was according to ASTM C272 standards. After properly conditioning and weighing the specimen, it is immersed completely in water at a specified temperature and time. After immersion period, the specimen is removed, dried and weighed. Water absorption is reported as percentage of weight gain.

3. Results and discussion

Table 1. Flammability testing of PUF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of PUF (MDI/PE ratio)</th>
<th>Flame height (mm)</th>
<th>Extinguish time (sec)</th>
<th>Loss of weight (grams)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60/40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45/55</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55/45</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Water absorption of PUF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration in days</th>
<th>Water absorption in Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>0.89550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>1.22230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>1.42470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>1.90037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>2.14800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>2.37920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>2.48540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>2.54750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>2.79460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.04124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.28250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.33300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.44230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.47950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.51150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparative chart of Flame height v/s type of rigid PUF in Flammability test

Figure 3
Comparative chart of Extinguish time v/s type of rigid PUF in Flammability test
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Comparative chart of Loss of weight v/s type of rigid PUF in Flammability test
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From figure 3, it is noticed that for 55/45 proportion flame height traveled is more. For 50/50 proportion flame height is found to be least. Flame height of 50/50 proportion is 0.5230, 0.9444, 0.4533 times lower than 60/40, 45/55, 55/45 proportions respectively. From figure 4, it is observed higher the MDI proportion higher will be the extinguish time, since irregular, improperly distributed cells will prolongs burning. Extinguish time of 50/50 proportion is 0.2662, 0.900, 0.4090 times lower than 60/40, 45/55, 55/45 proportions respectively. From figure 5, it is noticed that loss of weight is least in case 45/55 proportion. Weight loss of 50/50 proportion is 0.5934, 0.5367 times lower than 60/40, 55/45 proportions respectively, but 1.058 times higher than 45/55 proportion. From this it can be conclude that higher the MDI proportion higher the weight loss.

The variation of percentage of moisture absorption v/s Duration in days of 4 different proportion of rigid PUF is as shown in figure 6. It is clearly observed from the figure for 55/45 proportion percentage of moisture absorption is found to be more at the end of 15 days of immersion in water. For 50/50 Proportion percentage of moisture absorption is found to be least. The Percentage of moisture absorption of 50/50 proportion is 0.4682, 0.1308, 0.1057 times lower than 60/40, 45/55, 55/45 proportions respectively.
4. CONCLUSIONS

- The proportion flame height traveled is more for 55/45 and is found to be least for 50/50.
- Higher the MDI proportion higher will be the extinguish time, since irregular, improperly distributed cells will prolongs burning.
- Higher the MDI proportion higher the weight loss.
- Absorption percentage varies linearly with duration.
- Minimum water absorption capacity is found to be 50/50 proportion and that of 55/45 has maximum absorption capacity at the end of 15 days.
- The Percentage of moisture absorption of 50/50 proportion is 0.4682, 0.1308, 0.1057 times lower than 60/40, 45/55, 55/45 proportions respectively.

REFERENCES