Effect of Electroless Cu Coating on the Mechanical properties of Al6061/SiC/Gr based Hybrid Composite


Abstract — Al6061 alloy reinforced with both Cu coated SiC particles and Cu coated Graphite powder are prepared by stir casting technique. SiC particles are used to increase the hardness of composite while Graphite powder acts as a solid lubricant. SiC particles and Graphite powder are coated with a copper layer through electroless deposition method. The effect of PdCl₂ concentration and time of stirring of the activated particles in electroless solution are reported. XRD analysis indicated the presence of copper on both the surfaces of SiC and Gr. It was found that density, hardness and tensile strength of the hybrid composites increased when coated reinforcements were used in compare to uncoated reinforcements. Dimple formation, voids, fractured particles and areas of brittle fracture are observed on the fracture surfaces of the tensile specimens. Copper coating on the reinforcements improved the ductility due to improved wettability.

Index Terms—Cu coated SiC, Cu coated Gr, Dimple formation, Electroless, hybrid composite, stir casting, wettability.

1 INTRODUCTION

Hybrid composites, particularly Al/SiC/Gr have attracted greater importance in recent years to improve or modify properties such as strength, stiffness, wear resistance and thermal expansion characteristics of monolithic aluminium alloys [1-3]. Al/SiC/Gr hybrid composite are used in automobile industry such as manufacture of pistons, cylinder liners, brake disks, bushings and brake rotors. An important consideration in the manufacture of metal matrix composites is to prevent the chemical reaction between SiC and Gr with Al matrix which leads to the formation of brittle Al₄C₃ phase.

4Al + 3SiC = Al₄C₃ + 3Si

This hygroscopic carbide results in the degradation of mechanical properties even if is present in small quantities [4-6]. Graphite which acts like a solid lubricant improves not only antifriction properties but also wear and machining properties [7, 8]. Rohatgi et al. have concluded that friction coefficient of Al-10%SiC-6%Gr is very low due to combined addition of SiC which increases bulk mechanical properties and formation of graphite film [9]. Basavarajappa et al. on Al-15%SiC-3%Gr composites have reported that wear rate in graphite composites is less than that of graphite free composites and indicated degree of subsurface deformation [10]. When Graphite is inserted in to Al matrix, they dissolve in Al matrix and tend to float during solidification because of inherent difference in density between Al and graphite and also results in non uniform distribution and poor wettability. A common solution to the above problems is to coat the SiC & Gr with copper [11-12] or nickel [2] to increase its wettability, density and its uniform distribution in the matrix. Another alternative to increase the wettability is to heat the Graphite at 400 °C in order to eliminate gaseous elements, but this method is less efficient than coating the graphite with thin layers. Copper coating using electroless method is a versatile process to coat metallic copper on ceramic surfaces without consuming any external electric power. Successful coating of particles such as mica [13], iron [14] and fly ash [15] by electroless method has been reported earlier in the literature. In the present investigation in order to improve wettability and uniform distribution of SiC and Gr, Electroless copper coating technique was used. Hybrid composites were manufactured with uncoated and Cu coated reinforcements using vortex technique. Microstructure and mechanical properties of manufactured composites were evaluated and it was found that mechanical properties improved when coated reinforcements were used instead of uncoated reinforcements.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1. Electroless copper coating on silicon carbide particles and Graphite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cleaning</td>
<td>Deionised water</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sensitization</td>
<td>SnCl₂:HCl</td>
<td>20g/l 80ml/l</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rinse</td>
<td>Deionised water</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Activation</td>
<td>PdCl₂:HCl</td>
<td>1g/l 5ml/l</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rinse</td>
<td>Deionised water</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Copper coating</td>
<td>See table 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2. Electroless copper solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper sulphate (CuSO₄·5H₂O)</td>
<td>20g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide</td>
<td>20g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sodium tartrate</td>
<td>100g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂EDTA</td>
<td>20g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>20ml/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Electroless copper coating on silicon carbide particles and Graphite powder relies on sequence of cleaning, sensitising, activating and plating. The procedure and chemicals used are indicated in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

2.2 Scheme of reactions for Electroless deposition of copper.

The overall reaction for electroless deposition of copper, with formaldehyde (HCHO) as the reducing agent, is

\[ \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{HCHO} + 4\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Cu} + 2\text{HCOO}^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2 \]  

……..(1)

Where HCOO\(^-\) is formic acid is the oxidation product of the reducing agent.

The fundamental aspects of this reaction are presented in Equation (2) and (3) which interpretate electroless deposition of copper on SiC and graphite. The overall reaction, given in equation (1), can be explained in to a simple reduction reaction, the cathodic partial reaction and oxidation reaction, the anodic partial reaction.

Reduction of copper ion.

Catalytically activated surface (PdCl₂ + SnCl₂)

\[ \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2e \rightarrow \text{Cu} \text{ (lattice)} \]  

……..(2)

Oxidation of reducing agent HCHO.

\[ \text{HCHO} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{HCOO}^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2 \]  

………..(3)

2.3 Processing:

The Experimental setup used for preparing the composite consists of Electrical resistance furnace and a stirrer. About 3kg of Al alloy was melted in a crucible. The metal was superheated to 800° C and then degassing was carried out using degassing tablet (exo chloroethylene) to remove oxygen and scum pow-
der was added to remove slag. The mechanical stirrer was inserted into it and rotated at a desired rpm to create necessary vortex. Preheated uncoated or coated reinforcements were added into the vortex. Melt was stirred for at least 10 minute. Stirring was stopped and stirrer was taken out of crucible. The crucible was taken out of the furnace and melt was poured into permanent moulds.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

3.1 Effect of concentration of Palladium Chloride (PdCl₂)

Fig 1 shows the effect of PdCl₂ concentration on the weight percent of copper deposited on the SiC particle surface. It is observed that the weight percent of copper deposited changes from 15 to 30 with a change in PdCl₂ concentration from 0.25 to 1 g l\(^{-1}\) of PdCl₂.

Fig.1 weight percent copper deposited as a function of concentration of PdCl₂ Solution.

3.2 Effect of stirring time in electroless bath

Basically coating reaction starts when SiC particles are activated by PdCl₂ and are dispersed in the coating solution. Palladium metal at the surface of SiC particles acts as a catalyst. In the electroless bath as the stirring time of SiC particles increases the percent of copper deposited also increases up to a stirring time of about 30 min as shown in fig 2. The colour of electroless solution changes progressively as reaction pro-
gresses due to depletion of copper and for stirring time of 30 mins, 29 to 30 wt% of copper is deposited. There is no increase in copper deposited on SiC particles after a stirring time of 30 mins because there is precipitation of copper in the solution instead of further deposition of the copper on SiC surface.

3.3 SEM analysis

![Fig.3 SEM image of uncoated SiC particles](image1)

![Fig.4 SEM image of Cu-coated SiC particles](image2)

Fig. 3 & 4 shows the surface of uncoated and Cu coated angular silicon carbide particles.

![Fig.5 SEM image of Uncoated Graphite powder](image3)

3.4 XRD analysis

Fig. 5 & 6 shows the surface of uncoated and Cu coated Graphite powder.

![Fig.6 SEM image of Cu-coated Graphite powder](image4)

Fig. 7. XRD of copper-coated silicon carbide particles

![Fig.7 XRD of copper-coated silicon carbide particles](image5)

From fig 7 & 8, an X-ray diffraction study clearly indicates the presence of copper on SiC and Gr. Hence it can be concluded that after Electroless coating process, Cu has been successfully deposited on SiC particle surface and graphite powder.

![Fig.8 XRD of copper-coated Graphite powder](image6)
3.5 Microstructure

The microstructure of uncoated SiC and Gr based Al composites examined under optical microscope are shown in fig 9a-d. Microstructure shows SiC particles are distributed randomly throughout the matrix in compare to graphite.

Fig 10a – c shows the microstructure of both Cu coated SiC and Gr based Al composites. It is observed that there is uniform distribution of Cu coated reinforcements within the matrix since Cu coating using electroless technique enhances the interfacial bonding between the coated reinforcements and the matrix.

Fig 9 (a) Al6061
(b) Al6061-2% Sic-1% Gr
(c) Al6061-4% Sic-1% Gr
(d) Al6061-8% Sic-1% Gr

Fig 10 (a) Al6061 + Cu-2% Sic + Cu-1% Gr
(b) Al6061 + Cu-4% Sic + Cu-1% Gr
c) Al6061 + Cu-8% Sic + Cu-1% Gr
3.6 Density

Generally, the rule of mixtures was used to calculate the theoretical densities. Experimental density of manufactured composites was found by the Archimedes principle. The composite samples were first weighed in air and then tied with string and weighed while hanging in water. The density was determined using the following formula:

$$\rho_c = \frac{(m_a \times \rho_w)}{(m_a - m_w)}$$

Where,

$$\rho_c = \text{Density of specimen (Kg/m}^3)$$
$$\rho_w = \text{Density of water (Kg/m}^3)$$
$$m_a = \text{Weight of sample in air (kg)}$$
$$m_w = \text{Weight of sample in water (kg)}.$$

The experimental density was also calculated by measuring the weight and volume of the specimens. The volume was determined by measuring the accurate dimensions of the specimen. Figures 11 and 12 show the theoretical and experimental densities of manufactured composites having uncoated and Cu-coated SiC and Gr. Figure 11 shows linear increase in the experimental densities, the values are lower than that of the theoretical densities (as expected from the rule of mixtures). The increase in density of composites is due to the presence of increasing content of SiC particles which are hard and brittle and leads to the dispersion hardening of matrix. Figure 13 shows the experimental density of manufactured composites having uncoated and Cu-coated reinforcements. It is revealed that there is rise in density when Cu-coated reinforcements are used in compare to uncoated reinforcements because it forms Al-Cu liquid eutectic and its flow in to porous areas helps in reducing the pores. In addition when SiC and Gr are coated with copper it forms Al2Cu phase which have high density values in compare to density of pure aluminium. D. Mandal et al. [14] in their work on Effect of wt% reinforcement on microstructure and mechanical properties of Al–2Mg base short steel fibre composites state that density of composites was higher compared to Al–2Mg matrix and also increases with increasing wt% of steel fibres. The porosity values are obtained from the theoretical density values calculated using the rule of mixtures. It is observed from figure 14 that % of porosity increases with increasing wt% of Cu coated reinforcements in Al matrix.

Fig. 11 Theoretical & Experimental Density variation with wt% of SiC & Gr addition in Al6061/SiC Gr composite

Fig. 12 Theoretical & Experimental Density variation with wt% of Cu coated SiC & Gr addition in Al6061/SiC Gr composite

Fig. 13. Experimental Density variation with wt% of Uncoated & Cu-coated SiC & Gr addition in Al6061/SiC/G composite
3.7) Hardness

Hardness of manufactured composites with uncoated and Cu coated reinforcements are shown in fig 15. It is observed that as the SiC content is increased the hardness of composite also increases due to the increase in the percentage of the hard and brittle phase of the ceramic body in the alloy. Adding of Graphite does not positively contribute to the hardness of the composite. Maximum hardness was observed in case of Cu-8% SiC +Cu-1%Gr based Al composite. Diffusion of copper into the matrix increases the hardness of composite. Copper is one of the few elements that have relatively high solubility in Al and when reinforcements are coated with copper it forms Al$_2$Cu phase which is mechanically tougher than pure Al matrix. In case of copper-coated reinforcements cleaner and improved interface bonding leads to a higher microhardness value.

3.8 Tensile properties

Fig 16 shows the variation of ultimate tensile strength and yield strength for the manufactured composites for both uncoated and Cu coated reinforcements. It can be seen that the UTS and Yield strength of the composites reinforced by coated reinforcements is obviously higher than that by uncoated reinforcements because copper coating of SiC & Gr can enhance greatly the wettability and forms a good interface bonding between reinforcements and matrix.

Fig 17 shows the variation of % elongation for all the manufactured composites. It can be seen that ductility is enhanced when coated reinforcements are used instead of uncoated reinforcements in the composite because of improved wettability and also avoiding the formation of degradation products at interfaces.
3.9 Fracture surface

Fracture surface of manufactured composites with varying wt% of uncoated and Cu coated reinforcements are shown in fig 18 a-d & 19 a-c. It is observed that fracture mechanism of Cu coated reinforcements based composite are mainly dominated by dimple formation indicating the ductile nature of fracture. In composites containing uncoated reinforcements cracks are initiated at SiC & Gr with Al interface and propagate through the interface linking up with other cracks. In Al6061+8%SiC+1%Gr composite shows areas of brittle fracture, voids and fractured particles because of higher level of porosity at the interface associated with increased particle content.
promoting crack initiation and propagation. Baron et al. [15] have reported that steel perform reinforced composites show failure to occur within the interfacial intermetallic phase. Copper coating on reinforcements acts as barrier between SiC/Gr and matrix and also minimizes the formation of reaction phases. From the results of tensile test and fractography it is concluded that that intermetalics at Al with SiC & Gr interfaces makes composite more brittle but copper coating on SiC particles and Gr forms good interfaces which resist the crack propagation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

1) SiC particles and Gr powder were successfully coated with copper using electroless technique in a copper sulphate solution after suitable sensitization and activation treatment.
2) A uniform distribution of Cu coated reinforcements is obtained in the matrices of Al6061 using vortex method.
3) Cu coating improved the wettability of SiC & Gr and enhanced the interfacial bonding between the reinforcements and the matrix.
4) Hardness, ultimate tensile strength and ductility of manufactured composites increased when reinforcements were coated with copper in comparison to uncoated reinforcements.
5) Fracture mechanism of manufactured composites containing coated reinforcements are dominated by dimple formation indicating the ductile nature of fracture.
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