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ABSTRACT

AIM OF THE STUDY: To test the efficacy of autologous platelet rich plasma in chronic diabetic foot ulcers in comparison to conventional dressing.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY
1. To assess the incidence of complete wound closure in the experimental and control groups.
2. To compare the rate of wound healing during the study in either group.
3. To evaluate the incidence of complications among the healing ulcers including adverse events and serious adverse reactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS From January 2018 to June 2019 patients presented with chronic diabetic foot ulcers recruited in this study. This was a prospective study conducted at ESIC Medical College PGIMSR, Bangalore. Total of 100 patients were assigned. They were grouped into two groups. Control group patients were treated with conventional dressing and study group patients were treated with autologous platelet rich plasma (PRP) dressing and observed for reduction in the wound size in a span of 21 days.

BACKGROUND: Chronic non healing diabetic foot ulcers are a common cause of amputation. Accepted therapeutic objectives and standards of care for diabetic foot ulcers include wound debridement, off-loading , etc. Apart from these conventional methods to facilitate wound healing various new methods are emerging such as cellular therapies which include platelet-rich plasma (PRP). Platelets releases multiple growth factors. These factors act locally on wound and hasten the healing process. This study intends to demonstrate the therapeutic role of autologous platelet rich plasma in healing of diabetic foot ulcers.

Results: The study group patients showed higher reduction in wound size of about 40.12% as against 27.45% of the control group with P value < 0.005.

Conclusion: Platelet rich plasma dressing significantly increases incidence of wound healing in chronic diabetic foot ulcers.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic wounds are characterized by a long inflammatory phase that hinders regenerative wound healing. Chronic wounds, especially in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM), are a major health challenge. The goal of wound care in chronic ulcers is to facilitate healing and prevent lower extremity amputations using standardized protocols of wound care. The standard treatment algorithm includes a complete patient and wound assessment, history, physical examination, and a variety of diagnostic tests that determine the need for infection control intervention, revascularization, excision and debridement, skin graft/flap, wound protection, and education.¹

Diabetic foot is defined as ‘Infection, ulceration or destruction of tissues of the foot associated with neuropathy and/or peripheral artery disease in the lower extremity of a person with (a history of) diabetes mellitus.’²

Non-healing diabetic foot ulcers are a common cause of amputation and represent significant costs to health care system and reduce patient quality of life. The goal of diabetic foot ulcer treatment is to obtain wound closure as expeditiously as possible. Accepted therapeutic objectives and standards of care for diabetic foot ulcers include wound debridement, pressure relief in the wound area, appropriate wound management (e.g., moist wound healing), infection management, ischemia management, medical management of co-morbidities, and surgical management as needed.³

Apart from these conventional methods to facilitate wound healing various new methods are emerging such as cellular therapies which include platelet-rich plasma (PRP). This can have an adjunctive role in a standardized, quality treatment plan.⁴

Platelets release certain growth factors from alpha granules which are located in thrombocyte cell membrane which
include platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet derived angiogenesis factor and platelet factor. These factors act locally on wound and hasten the healing process. Platelet extract has been used in many studies and has shown impressive results in healing of chronic non-healing diabetic foot ulcers. Since not all patients can afford commercially available recombinant platelet gel for dressing, platelet extract from the patient's own blood has been used in trials on chronic wound.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate how autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) affects initial wound healing trajectories of chronic, non-healing diabetic foot wounds in a hospital care setting.

Hence, this study intends to demonstrate the therapeutic role of autologous platelet rich plasma in healing of chronic non-healing diabetic foot ulcers.

**AIM OF THE STUDY**

To compare the efficacy of autologous platelet rich plasma in chronic non-healing ulcers in comparison to conventional normal saline dressing.

**OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

1. To assess the incidence of complete wound closure in the experimental and control groups.
2. To compare the rate of wound healing during the study in either group.
3. To evaluate the incidence of complications among the healing ulcers including adverse events and serious adverse reactions.

**Type of study:** prospective, time bound study.

**Duration of study:** 18months (January 2018 to June 2019)

**Sampling procedure:** simple random sampling

**SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION**

100 patients of diabetic foot ulcer divided into 2 groups, 50 patients each. 1 group will undergo autologous platelet rich plasma dressing and other group will undergo normal saline solution dressing. The sample size has been calculated by considering the mean duration of healing (in weeks) in autologous PRP group (8.2±1.19) and in normal saline method (10.2±2.0, assumed) from published literature.

The sample size was calculated using G*Power version 3.1.9.2 software:

t tests – Independent t test,

Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size

Input: Effect size f = 0.6 (1.2 weeks)

α err prob = 0.05

Power (1-β err prob) = 0.90

Number of groups = 2

Output: Noncentrality parameter λ = 13.1351351

Critical t = 3.0647607

Numerator df = 2.0000000

Denominator df = 94

Total sample size = 94

Actual power = 0.9043519

Calculated sample size was 94, it was round off to 100 (50 in each group).

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Age group of 18 to 80 years with long standing non-healing diabetic foot ulcers
2. Patients who had given written consent to be a part of study group
3. Ulcer ≥ 4 weeks duration.
4. Ulcer ≤ 15 cm² in size.
5. Hb ≥ 10 gm%.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Screening platelet count < 100 × 10⁹/l.
2. Patients with known or suspected osteomyelitis.
3. Patients with immunodeficiency.
4. Patients with serum creatinine above 1.5 mg/dl.
5. Severe infection (presence of visible pus or copious wound exudates).
6. Presence of cellulitis, inadequate perfusion, ischemia, gangrene.

**METHOD**

The present study was carried out at ESIC medical college PGIMSR, Bengaluru, where 100 patients with diabetic foot ulcer more than 4 weeks participated in the present study. Using a pretested and predesigned proforma the study population was randomized into either study group or control group using a computerized randomization chart. Out of 100, patients, 50 took treatment in the form of conventional normal saline dressings and 50 took treatment with autologous Platelet rich plasma dressing. Off-loading of pressure from the affected area were done in both the groups. Photographs of the ulcers before and after the dressings were taken, along with culture and sensitivity. After undergoing a detailed clinical examination, and relevant investigations, the initial wound area was recorded after sharp debridement by Measuring length x width. Both the groups were subjected to dressings. Platelet rich plasma dressing was done twice weekly. The patients were followed up a period of 3 weeks in both the groups. The outcome, that is the area of the target ulcer was
measured by using a metric tape. Results were calculated by using student ‘t’ test.

**DRESSING TECHNIQUE:**

**For conventional dressing:** The ulcer was cleaned with normal saline and saline soaked gauze piece was kept over the ulcer which was covered with pad and roller bandage.

**For platelet rich plasma dressing**

The ulcer was cleaned with Normal Saline. Platelet rich plasma was prepared from patients blood and applied over the wound area twice weekly. At the end of 21 days the wounds in both the groups were inspected and the wounds were compared based on the following parameters. They are:

1. To assess the incidence of complete wound closure in the experimental and control groups.
2. To compare the rate of wound healing during the study in either group.
3. To evaluate the incidence of complications among the healing ulcers including adverse events and serious adverse reactions.

The dressings were changed as described in both control and study groups for 21 days and appearance of healthy granulation tissue is observed and the final area is measured on 21st day by using a metric tape and subjected to statistical analysis.

**OBSERVATION AND RESULTS**

**TABLE 1: DISTRIBUTION OF TYPE OF ONSET OF ULCER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of ulcer</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Chi square</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic ulcer</td>
<td>34 (68.0)</td>
<td>33 (66.0)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous ulcer</td>
<td>16 (32.0)</td>
<td>17 (34.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (100.0)</td>
<td>50 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2: DEMOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 years</td>
<td>24 (48.0)</td>
<td>22 (44.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40 years</td>
<td>26 (52.0)</td>
<td>28 (56.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>45.0±11.0</td>
<td>45.8±13.6</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30 (60.0)</td>
<td>35 (70.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20 (40.0)</td>
<td>15 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 3: MEAN FASTING BLOOD SUGAR OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>105.100</td>
<td>14.8750</td>
<td>2.7158</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>104.167</td>
<td>13.7040</td>
<td>2.5020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4: MEAN INITIAL AREA OF ULCER BEFORE PRP APPLICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial area of ulcers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1211.3</td>
<td>419.9419</td>
<td>76.6706</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1400.2</td>
<td>477.4922</td>
<td>87.1777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5: MEAN FINAL AREA OF ULCER AFTER PRP APPLICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final area of ulcers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>725.50</td>
<td>166.3647</td>
<td>30.3739</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1015.8</td>
<td>400.8606</td>
<td>73.1868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 6: MEAN DIFFERENCE AREA OF ULCER AFTER APPLICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>485.867</td>
<td>415.5125</td>
<td>75.8618</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>384.400</td>
<td>643.9781</td>
<td>117.5738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 7: MEAN DURATION OF HEALING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks for healing</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.253</td>
<td>4.733</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>.8378</td>
<td>.6863</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Error Mean</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 8: PERCENTAGE % AREA REDUCTION IN TWO GROUPS OF GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% area reduction</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Chi square/t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>35(70.0)</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>10 (20.0)</td>
<td>10 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>40 (80.0)</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30 (100.0)</td>
<td>30 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>43.40±3.74</td>
<td>14.03±3.45</td>
<td>18.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 9: DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO SITE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Chi square</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>25 (50.0)</td>
<td>24 (46.6)</td>
<td>2.017</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
<td>04 (8.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>8 (16.0)</td>
<td>10 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>12 (24.0)</td>
<td>12 (24.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (100.0)</td>
<td>50 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Successful wound dressing should keep the wound moist and be devoid of any adverse reactions such as infection, maceration and allergy. It is every surgeon’s desire that after dressing the wound, it should heal without any complications. Diabetic foot ulcers are chronic wounds, stuck in inflammation phase and shows cessation of epidermal growth.

The present study was conducted at ESIC Medical college and PGIMSR, Bengaluru to study the effect on chronic diabetic wound healing dynamics.

In the present study it was seen that the incidence of diabetic foot ulcers were more in males (65.00%) as compared to females (35.00%). The second national data source, NHDS documented higher hospital rates in males suffering from diabetic foot ulcer.

According to age, 54% cases are above 40 years of age. 46% cases are below 40 years of age. The prevalence of diagnosed diabetics increases with age (the diabetic foot).

In this study patients with vascular complications such as pulse less limb and the patients with osteomyelitis were excluded.

In this study, 67.00% of the ulcers were traumatic in origin, trauma being the triggering factor secondary to neuropathy. 33.00% were spontaneous in origin secondary to blister rupture or unnoticed trivial trauma.

49 patients had ulcer on the plantar surface of the forefoot and 24 patients had ulcer on the dorsum of foot. Study conducted by Edmonds et al in 1986, (Edmonds) showed more foot ulcers were on plantar and fore foot areas. Most of the diabetic foot ulcers are invariably shoe related and due to gait abnormalities. They can be prevented by appropriate sized footwear. However in our study the incidence of ulcers over the plantar aspect of the foot were not as high as postulated by Edmonds et al. Most of the patients (78.00%) were on insulin for control of sugar whereas only 22.00% were on Oral Hypoglycaemic Agents.

In our study it was observed that participants receiving PRP dressing had better wound contraction of 40.12%. As compared to the group receiving only conventional dressing (normal saline dressing) in whom the mean wound contraction was 27.45%, these were found to be statistically significant on unpaired Student t test (p<0.004) suggesting that PRP dressing enhances wound healing in diabetic wounds. No patients underwent major amputations in both the groups.

Feasibility of this study:

In the present study we have taken 100 patients suffering from Diabetes Mellitus with foot ulcers. Patients were taken up for study based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Out of 50 patients, 50 (30 males, 20 females) were study cases and 50 (35 males and 15 females) were control.

Participants included in the study group were treated with the PRP dressing biweekly for three weeks. All 50 patients selected for PRP treatment complied for the three weeks period of the study. The initial area measurement was taken on first week and final area measurement on third week was taken on transparent sheet. All 50 patients selected as a control complied for the three weeks duration period of the study. The initial area measurement on first week final area measurement on three week was taken on transparent sheet.

We have applied the following formula to calculate % reduction in area of wound after three weeks period in both cases and control groups.

\[
\text{Rate of contraction of wound after 21 days of treatment} = \frac{(\text{Initial area} - \text{Final Area}) \times 100}{\text{Initial area}}
\]

We have found 40.12% rate of contraction of wounds in the control groups as compared to 27.45% contraction of wounds in study group. Therefore, study groups are having 12.67% more wound contraction as compared to control group. On applying unpaired student t test p<0.004 which is significant.

CONCLUSION
From our study, we can conclude that PRP dressing therapy promotes wound healing in patients suffering from chronic non-healing diabetes foot ulcers. The wounds in subjects treated with PRP dressing contracted more faster than the wounds treated with (40.12% Vs 27.45% ; P = <0.005 Significant) which indicates PRP dressing is an effective modality to promote wound contraction in patients suffering from diabetic foot ulcers and can be used as an adjunct to conventional mode of treatment (conventional dressings and debridement) for healing of diabetic foot ulcers.

Fig 1.11- CENTRIFUGE

Fig 1.12- BLOOD COLLECTED IN TEST TUBE WITH ACD AND AFTER FIRST CENTRIFUGE

Fig 1.13- AFTER SECOND CENTRIFUGE AND PRP INJECTED IN WOUND SITE

PRP APPLICATION FOR 21 DAYS (Figure 1.16)
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NORMAL SALINE DRESSING FOR 21 DAYS (Figure 1.17)
SUMMARY

Number of diabetic foot ulcer cases are increasing day by day and Diabetic foot non-healing ulcers are a common cause of amputation and represent significant costs to health care system and reduce patient quality of life. The goal of diabetic foot ulcer treatment is to obtain wound closure as expeditiously as possible. Apart from these conventional methods to promote wound healing various new methods are emerging such as cellular therapies which include platelet-rich plasma (PRP). The purpose of this study is to evaluate how autologous platelet rich plasma (PRP) affects initial wound healing trajectories of diabetic foot non-healing wounds in a hospital care setting. This was a prospective study conducted at ESIC MC PGIMSR, Rajaji nagar, Bangalore -10. Total of 100 patients were included, 50 patients to each group. Control group patients were treated with normal saline dressing and study group patients were treated with autologous platelet rich plasma (PRP) dressing and observed for reduction in the wound size in a span of 21 days. Outcome was assessed in terms of the incidence of complete wound closure in the experimental and control groups, the rate of wound healing during the study in either group, incidence of complications among the healing ulcers including adverse events and serious adverse reactions. We have found 40.12% rate of contraction of wounds in the control groups as compared to 27.45% contraction of wounds in study group. Therefore, study groups are having 12.67 % more wound contraction as compared to control group. On applying unpaired student t-test p <0.004 which is significant. Also we concluded that autologous platelet rich plasma dressing significantly increases incidence of wound healing in diabetic foot ulcers.
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