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Abstract: This paper examines the application of project management system by exploring the cost slope graph to reduce project period at minimum cost. The study adopts the use of interview, personal observation and empirical approach for data collection, to arrive at normal cost, a project bill of quantity for a specific project was obtained and its corresponding project duration for all the activities was obtained through a “network” diagram, the Critical Path Method (CPM). This total project duration was therefore shortened, starting with the activities with the least cost slope unit continuously to obtain an optimum cost lesser than the initial cost of the project. The study further revealed that the total project duration of 169 time unit obtained was reduced to 162 time unit at an optimum cost of ₦38,896 which represent, additional savings and other overhead cost. A graphical representation of project cost time graph was obtained from the least cost scheduling table by duration to show the optimum cost. The study therefore, recommended that shortening project duration should be used when delay sets in.
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1.0 Introduction

Project execution involves time, cost and quality management. Therefore, close management control of them is required if they are to be completed within the established time and cost limitations. Project Management Institute (PMI, 1996) defines “project management” as the overall planning, control and coordination of building project from inception to completion stage, aimed at meeting a client’s requirement in order that the project is completed on time within a target cost as planned and to required quality standard.

Many of the construction industries influential clients are hiring a project manager to be the most effective way to procure a new facility, whether it is a multi storey office block, housing development, health or educational building etc. it is essential that in ensuring effectiveness and time conscious service, the project should be under the management system and control of the competent practitioners with a proven track record developed from practical involvement on site execution of construction project.

This study came up as a result of project time overrun of building production from inception to the completion stage. As a result of this, this paper described a procedure for identifying the cost of reducing project time so that comparism can be made with the benefit of getting the project completed sooner. The method requires gathering direct and indirect cost for specific project duration. Critical activities are searched to find lowest direct cost activities that will shortened the project duration. Total cost for specific project duration are computed and then compared with the benefit of reducing project time before the project begins or at the course of the project. The concept will be introduced and applied. Application of this principle to construction will result in the development of techniques for the management, control of construction cost and time treating the entire system as a unified.

1.1 Significance of the study
This paper was necessitated by the escalating increase in construction time with the spirit of establishing a practical method of reducing a project period of some activities along the probable critical path CP that will be undergone during the course of the project. This paper will therefore, provide the general management techniques in reducing project time which will provide an effective strategy for client’s objective and construction industry at large.

1.2 Aim and Objectives

This paper aims at using management techniques, by exploring the cost slope graph, to reduce project time as occasionally needed and how the associated studies are conducted.

The objectives of the study are:

(i) To examine critically, the planning tools (CPM) to solve time problem

(ii) To examine the rational for reducing project time

2.0 Literature Review

One of the major criteria for project success is timely completion of such project, and this indicates how effective a construction industry is. Chan and Kumara swamy (1997) ascertained that a project is considered successful if it is to be completed on time, budget and to the quality standard specified by the client at onset of the project. Excessive and rampant cases of time overrun on building project are found to be common phenomenon of abandoned projects. Ajankekoko (1987) noted that the performance of the Nigerian construction industry time wise is poor and that is why it is difficult to find a project on which the initial contract period is not exceeded.

2.1 Project Period Explanation

As defined by Odeyinka (2000) project period is the time required for execution of project from start to completion and is normally agreed upon at the time of signing the contract. Izam and Kolawole (1998) opined that some section of The Standard Form of Contract for Building and Housing Projects in Nigeria (SFBCN 1990) makes provision for the contractor to state duration for carrying out proposed work. Bamisile (2004) Opined that most building construction projects are time oriented. Hence, Builders must come up with the optimum construction methodology. Odeyemi (2002) reveals that the basic techniques employed in the determination of contract period are job listing, work measurement, bar chart, and network analysis.

2.2 The Critical Path Method

According to Clough (1979) the CPM is an expedient and constitutes the basis for ensuring treatment of project time control. This is based on a graphical model called a “Network”. This network presents a diagrammatic form for all the job activities that must be carried out and their mutual time independencies. It serves as a basis for the calculation of work scheduled and provides a mechanism for controlling project time as the work progresses.

2.2.1 The CPM Procedures

Bamisile (2004) opined that the critical path procedures consist of three phases namely:

- Planning, construction operations which involve determination of what must be done, and the sequential order in which it will be carried out.
- Scheduling, determines calendar date for the start and completion of the project components
- And lastly, is the time monitoring and control, which is the process of comparing actual job progress with the program scheduled.

2.3 Need for Shortening Project period
Chan and Kumara Swamy (1997) observed that almost 70% of building projects in Hong Kong were completed behind schedule whereas limited surveys of the performance of the building project in Nigeria by Izan and Kolawole (1998) have indicated that building project in Nigeria overrun initial duration by 115-300%. The reason for this can be traced to the effect of numerous contract period inflating factors on construction projects. Due to this time overrun in projects delivery there might be need to reduce project time for a specific project. According to Gray and Larson (1986) needs for shortening project period are as follows:

- One of the common reason is known as “imposed” project duration date, for example, a politician makes a public statement that a new law building will be delivered in two years, such statement is too often become imposed project duration date without any detailed consideration of the problem or cost of meeting such date.
- Incentives contract and continuous improvement incentives in partnering arrangement can make reduction of project time rewarding, for example, a contractor finish a bridge across a lake 18 month early to reduce traffic grid lock may receive more than N600,000 for early completion.
- Unforeseen delay, for example adverse weather condition, design flaws, and equipment break down. Which cause substantial delays midway in the project, getting back on schedule usually requires compressing the time on some of the remaining critical activity.

2.3.1 Methods of Shortening Project Period

According to Gray and Larson (1986) method of shortening project time of activities on the critical path are limited.

(i) Reducing project quality is one alternative that may reduce the time of an activity on the critical path. However sacrificing quality is rarely an acceptable or used method.
(ii) Another method of shortening the project period is to sub contract an activity. Sub-contracting also free up resources that can be assigned to the critical activities and can result in shorter project duration. However, it is likely this alternative was considered in the early planning stages. So it may not be a viable means for shortening the scheduled at a later time.
(iii) The most common method of shortening project time is to assign additional manpower and equipment to the remaining activities.
(iv) Another common method for meeting critical dead line is to reduce the scope of the project.
(v) Finally, if all these alternatives area ruled out, shortening project time comes down to reducing specific critical activities; times to reduce project time. This alternatives means paying a premium cost to cut activity time. A logical method for evaluating this cost/ time trade off conditions was utilized and applied in solving time problem in this paper work.

2.4 Project Cost

Oxford English dictionary (2005) defined cost as the amount of money needed to buy do or make something. According to Ashworth (1999) cost of buildings are seen from the cost of labour, material and machinery. Cost is seen as the estimated amount of the physical production of building, it is also the amount of expenditure incurred to satisfy a need or activity. Sidwell (1984) also emphasized that cost of building project is mostly set at the beginning of the project and this is usually call “initial price”.

2.4.1 Types of Project cost

Project costs are subdivided into two categories namely:

(i) **Project Direct Cost**: Direct cost or commonly represent labour, materials, equipment and sometimes subcontractors, Direct cost are assigned directly to a work package and activity hence the term.
ideal assumption is that direct cost for an activity time represents normal cost, which typically means low cost, efficient method for a normal time.

(ii) **Project Indirect Cost**: Indirect cost generally represents overhead costs such as supervision, administration, consultants and interest. Indirect cost cannot be associated with any particular work package or activity, Hence the term. Indirect cost varies differently with time. That is any reduction in time should result in a reduction of indirect cost. Reduction in project time represents a very real savings.

(iii) **Project Total Cost**: The sum of the cost of all the work packages or activities represents the total direct cost for the project. i.e addition of the direct cost and the indirect cost gives the proceeding project total cost.

### 2.5 Cost Time Optimization Procedure

As recommended by Rangwala (1998) if for some reason it is desired that the normal completion period is reduce. The project or part of the project is constructed under a crash program the crash program is to be carried out for optimum cost of the project through the following procedures:

(i) Check the normal completion time of the project

(ii) Total new completion time for the project must be less than the calculated normal completion time.

(iii) As the project time is calculated by the sum of durations of all the critical activities under normal program, some of these critical activities should be crashed.

(iv) Crashing of one activity is to be carried out at a time, unless any other links up activities is affected due to this crashing.

(v) The activities with the minimum cost slope should be crashed first.

(vi) “All crash” solution as to be obtain step by step procedure of crashing

(vii) During this procedure, it may be found that for some overall project time, the cost is minimum; this project time is the optimized project time for optimum cost.

(viii) Keeping the same optimum project time the cost of the project is further reduce by crashing non critical activities without changing critical path.

#### 2.5.1 Construction of Project Cost Time Graph

As emphasized by Larsson (1986) there are three major steps required for the construction of a project time graph

(i) Find total direct costs for the selected project duration

(ii) Find total indirect costs for selected project duration

(iii) Sum the direct and indirect cost for these selected project duration hence, the graph is then use to compare additional cost alternatives for benefits.

### 3.0 Methodology

The information for this paper was collected through oral interview, site visitation and empirical approach. The empirical approach deals with the analysis of data obtained from the use of working drawings and price bill of quantities for a specific project. In order to get sufficient data for this paper the quantity surveyor that was directly involved on the project was interviewed and relevant information on the cost where obtained. The cost information
include project direct cost, project indirect cost, also from the bill of quantity the time taken to complete each item of work where computed based on synthetic data of work output per man hour which help to develop the normal time required to complete each activity, the additional of three working hours i.e (overtime) to the normal working hour per day also helps to determine the crash time to complete each of the activities, mathematical expression known as cost slope where applied and the activity on the critical path was crashed starting with the activity with least cost slope to obtain the optimum cost lesser than the initial cost of the project.

4.0 Data presentation

Table 1. Description of activities on the Network diagram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>PREDECESSOR</th>
<th>SUCCESSOR</th>
<th>DURATION (DAYS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A Site clearance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B Sub structure</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C, I</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C Frame work</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>E, D, F</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D Roof work</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E Wall</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F Doors/ Windows</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G Fittings/ fixtures</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H Finishes</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I M and E</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>J External Works</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>K Cleaning/ Commissioning</td>
<td>J, G, H, I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author 2013

Fig 1. Presentation of Network diagram

Table 2. Summary of project Normal cost, Normal time, Crash cost and Crash Time
### Table 3. Cost slope of activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cost (₦)</th>
<th>Slope (per unit)</th>
<th>Max. Crash time</th>
<th>Time (Days) Cost (₦)</th>
<th>Cost (₦)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83,400</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>85,514,955</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>14,572</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>789,225</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>393,964</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16,572,155</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>37,885</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3,427,030</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>6,110</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5,890,025</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>2,663</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,884,250</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>16,595</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8,337,790</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>16,796</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>20,805,565</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>4,526</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4,012,805</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author 2013

The expression for determining the rate of increase or decrease on cost of an activity per unit decrease in time can be expressed mathematically as

\[
\text{Cost slope} = \frac{\text{Rise}}{\text{Run}} = \frac{\text{Crash Cost} - \text{Normal Cost}}{\text{Normal Time} - \text{Crash time}}
\]

### 4.1 Data Analysis

**Fig 2: TIME 169**
Fig 3: TIME 168

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,277,340.00
Activities changed A/6,000

Fig 4: TIME 167

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,291,912.00
Activities changed C/14,572

Fig 5: TIME 166

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,308,507.00
Activities changed H/16,595
**Fig 6: TIME 165**

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,355,277.00
Activities changed B/26,770

**Fig 7: TIME 164**

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,341,277.00
Activities changed A/6,000
Fig 8: TIME 163

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,355,849.00
Activities changed C/14,572

Fig 9: TIME 162

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,372,444.00
Activities changed H/16,595

Fig 10: TIME 161

Total Direct Cost = ₦70,399,214.00
Activities changed B/26,770
Table 4. Analysis of Least Cost Scheduling by Duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5X</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Direct Cost = N70,415,809.00
Activities changed H/16,595

Total Direct Cost = N70,434,381.00
Activities changed C/14,572

Fig 11: TIME 160

Fig 12: TIME 159
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration (Days)</th>
<th>Direct Cost (₦)</th>
<th>Indirect Cost (₦)</th>
<th>Total Cost (₦)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>70,271,340</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>77,271,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>70,277,340</td>
<td>6,980,000</td>
<td>77,257,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>70,291,912</td>
<td>6,960,000</td>
<td>77,251,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>70,308,507</td>
<td>6,940,000</td>
<td>77,248,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>70,335,277</td>
<td>6,920,000</td>
<td>77,255,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>70,341,277</td>
<td>6,900,000</td>
<td>77,241,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>70,355,849</td>
<td>6,880,000</td>
<td>77,235,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>162</strong></td>
<td><strong>70,372,444</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,860,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>77,232,444</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>70,399,214</td>
<td>6,840,000</td>
<td>77,239,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>70,415,809</td>
<td>6,820,000</td>
<td>77,235,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>70,434,381</td>
<td>6,800,000</td>
<td>77,234,381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author 2013

**Graph 1**: Project cost/time Graph (Direct Cost / Duration)

![Graph 1](image1)

**Graph 2**: Project cost/time Graph (Indirect cost/duration)

![Graph 2](image2)
4.5 Discussion of Results

The analysis from the table present the total direct cost and the project network duration of 169 time units with total cost of ₦77,271,340 was the anchor point to begin the procedure for shortening the critical path and finding the total direct cost for each activity. The network shows the critical path of the project A, B, C, E, H, K. Activity A is circled for it has the least cost candidate of 6,000 (unit) that is, its slope is less than other activities on the critical path. Activity C, H, and B (14,572, 16,595, 26,770). Reducing activity A onetime unit cuts the project duration to be 168 time unit but decrease the total direct cost to ₦77,237,340. The procedure for shortening continue for other
activities with least candidate i.e C, H, B respectively without change in critical path, until the duration of 159 period duration with the total direct cost for the array of specific project durations found, the next step was to input the indirect cost for these same durations, the least cost scheduling table by duration presents the total direct cost, the total indirect cost, and the project total cost. These same cost time duration is obtained at 162 time unit and (₦77,232,440). Though crashing can still continue for activities along the critical path and non critical path except for those carrying x symbols.

4.6 Findings and conclusion

The findings from the result of cost time trade off shows that the project period was however, reduced from normal project duration of 169 time unit with an initial total cost of (₦77,207,340) to a crash project duration of 162 time unit at an optimized cost of (₦77,232,444). In conclusion the paper reveals that the project normal duration was reduced by seven days ahead of project delivery at an optimum cost time point lesser than initial cost of the project. This represents a cost savings and elimination of some other overhead costs with absolute prevention of risks delay of project.

4.7 Recommendation

Based on the research findings, the following are recommended.

(i) All construction firms should adopt the use of shortening project durations when delays set in order to prevent paying liquidated and ascertained damages by contractors to their respective clients.

(ii) It should also be encourage since it represent additional costs savings and could attract incentive contract as result of time saving.
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