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Abstract— In this paper, a comparison between different compressors is presented. A proper compressor selection approach to get minimum XOR delay is also shown. Again Booth’s Modified Algorithm can be use for signed multiplication to get reduced partial products after which these compressors or a network of compressors can be used. This paper will help to choose a proper compressor for higher multiplication.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Addition is one of the basic process in maximum operations. Also the various adders are available for large addition. But the result is not considered till all the bits have not been calculated, specially the MSB bits. Fortunately we have some fast adders which calculate the result in reduced time than the normal. Compressors are one of the ways to calculate/Reduce the partial products of multiplication during an addition process. We have many of the compressors available to calculate. We will here compare the optimized compressors amongst all. Currently, TDM multiplier is one of the faster ways of multiplication.

During the large operations like large multiplications for DSP applications, large chain of Full adders and half adders will need while following the large chain will lead to generate the larger and larger delays.

2 COMPRESSORS

2.1 Need of Compressors
The conventional adders are the chain of Full adders which generates carries and sum at each level. There was a delay while generating the Final MSB bits of result. During multiplication, Booth’s Algorithm or any conventional/Modified approach can be used. But during the partial product addition, the conventional adders are not enough to reach the time constraints. The normal adder structure for n bit addition is shown in figure 2 which adds two numbers with n number of bits but the output is not valid till the last MSB bit not appears. The carry travels through the adder to adder. This generates a delay which is consuming for carry propagation and ultimately efficiency of total circuit gets decreases.

2.2 3:2 compressor
3:2 compressors are internally used shown in figure 3, for the ternary add capability. The two 3-LUT implementation can be merged easily with surrounding logic. There are enough spare inputs to absorb the AND gates associated with the first stage of a multiplier. Programmable negation XOR gates are another likely application.

2.3 4:2 Compressor
The higher compression rate is having by 4:2 compressors
than 3:2 and shown in figure 4. The further work in improving the speed of a multiplier by optimizing Partial Product Reduction Tree (PPRT) was extended by Oklobdzija, Villeger and Liu. Their approach was to optimize the entire PPRT in one pass, thus the name Three Dimensional optimization Method (TDM) shown in figure 1. The very main portion of this method is hidden in sorting of fast inputs and producing fast outputs. It was realized that the most important step is to properly interconnect the elements used in the PPRT. Thus, appropriate counters (3:2 compressors in a particular case) were characterized in a way which identifies delay of each input to each output. Interconnecting of the PPRT was done in a way in which signals with large delays are connected to "fast inputs" and signals with small delay to "slow inputs" in a way that minimizes the critical paths in the PPRT. (Pallavi Devi Gopineedi, 2012)

2.4 5:2 Compressor
The block diagram of a (5:2) compressor shown in Figure 6 has seven inputs and four outputs. Five of the inputs are the primary inputs I1, I2, I3, I4 and I5 and two other inputs, Cinl and Cin2. The architecture is connected in such a way that five of the inputs come from the same bit position of the weight j while other two inputs (Cinl and Cin2) are fed from the neighboring position j-1 (known as carry-in). The outputs of 5:2 compressor consists of one bit in the position j (sum) and two bits in the position j+1 (cout1, cout2, carry).

The 4:2 compressor structures actually compress five partial products bits into three [1, 2, and 3]. The architecture is connected in such a way that four of the inputs are coming from the same bit position of the weight j while one bit is fed from the neighboring position j-1 (known as carry-in). The outputs of 4:2 compressor consists of one bit in the position j and two bits in the position j+1. This structure is called compressor since it compresses four partial products into two (while using one bit laterally connected between adjacent 4:2 compressors) of two 3-2 compressors (full adders) in series and involves a critical path of 4 XOR delays as shown in Figure 5. An alternative implementation is shown in Figure 5. This implementation is better and involves a critical path delay of three XOR’s, hence reducing the critical path delay by 1 XOR. The output Cout, being independent of the input Cin accelerates the carry save summation of the partial products.

A simple implementation of the (5, 2) compressor is to cascade three (3, 2) full adders in a hierarchical structure, as shown in Figure 6.
implementation shows that this design has a critical path delay of 4XOR + 1MUX unlike the conventional implementation with a delay of 5XOR.

2.5 Higher compressors
The higher compressors like 6:2 and 9:2 compressors can also be made with the use of such 4:2 or 3:2 basic modules. The 5:2 compressor also can be made from the basic two.

3 SIMULATION MODEL

3.1 Compressor Structure
Here is the structure of 3:2 compressors which shows the application of 2 XOR delays. 3 inputs will reduce to Sum and carry. We can here use simple full adders. In a single adder there is a single XOR delay so combination may generate two or more XOR delays.

4 SIMULATION FITTING ANALYSIS

From the figures shown in graph 2, the minimum delay for all the 3 compressors are about same. This is the delay that the compressor having in 2 pads for which it requires least time. The maximum delay from graph 2 shows gradual increment. Of course, when the size increases it affects the speed, but here the increment is not that much with respect to different compression rates. So higher is the compression rate may lead to higher delay but in exponential increment.
7 Conclusion

Different Counter and Compressor Families were compared. When the size compressor increases, the maximum delay associated with 2 pads will be higher than lower compressors but not greater than the ratio of the delay in them. Increase in size will cause the delay to increase exponentially. The best way is to build a compressor of the maximal size (i.e. the entire size of the multiplier).
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