# ASSESSMENT OF TREE BIODIVERSITY OF TWO TROPICAL RAINFOREST IN CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA

Aigbe H.I, Adeyemo T. O and Oyebade B. A

**Abstract**— In this study, the status of biodiversity conservation was assessed. Multistage sampling technique was used for the study. The sampling entailed primary, secondary and tertiary sampling units. Tree identification and detailed growing stock were determined within the tertiary sampling plots (40m x 50m). Biodiversity status was assessed using Shannon-Wiener diversity (H'), species evenness (E) and Simpson dominance index. In addition, species similarity index of the two forest reserves was determined using Sorensen's indix (based on qualitative and quantitative data). The study revealed that dominant families in Afi River Forest Reserve are Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae, exploribaceae and Meliaceae while for Oban Forest Reserve, Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae, Moraceae and Papilionoideae were the dominant families. The species similarity index of the two forest reserves was 84%, implying very high floristic similarity in their constituent tree species. The species richness index were 10.44 and 10.61 for Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves respectively while the values of Shannon-Wiener diversity index (HI) for Afi River Forest Reserve and Oban Forest Reserve were 3.827 and 3.795 respectively. The species diversity was higher in Afi River Forest Reserve than Oban Forest Reserve. More studies aimed at providing qualitative and quantitative assessments are required in order to further close the existing information gap in the study area.

Index Terms— Tropical rainforest, species diversity, species richness, tree species, Afi River Forest Reserve, Oban Forest Reserve

## INTRODUCTION

The tropical rainforests often are recognized internationally as biodiversity hotspot ( Oates *et al.* 2004) and as the home of twothirds of all plants and animals living on land, the tropical rainforest are the most biodiverse of all terrestrial ecosystems (FAO, 2010, and IUCN, 2010). Their immense biodiversity generates a variety of natural resources which help sustain the livelihood of local communities (Khan *et al*, 1997 and Kumar *et al*, 2002).

Biodiversity has drawn the attention of world biological scientists as a result of the danger posed on it by the high rate of natural resources exploitation. Changes in the diversity of a particular plant population will affect the changes in the diversity of all other organisms present within the ecosystem (Ihenyen *et al.*, 2010). Biodiversity has recently emerged as an issue of both scientific

• Aigbe H. I: Lecturer at Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. E-mail: <u>igaigbe@yahoo.com</u>

\_\_\_\_\_

- Adeyemo T. O: Lecturer at Department of Forestry and Wood Technology, School of Agriculture & Agricultural Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.
- Oyebade B.A: Lecturer at Department of Forestry and Wildlife Manaoement. Facultu of Asriculture. University of Port Harcourt. and political concern, primarily because of an increase in extinction rates caused by human activities (Ehrlich and Wilson 1991).

Loss of biodiversity has been recognized as one of the main threats to the world's forests, and there is a general growing concern for developing new global, regional and national programmes for conserving and managing forest biodiversity (Köhl *et al*, 1998). Biodiversity as an irreplaceable value in itself since the

diversity of the biosphere creates a genetic bank, crucial for functioning of ecosystems and the recovery of ecosystems after disturbance and temporal changes in ecosystem functions as well as in environmental factors (Köhl *et al*, 1998). Hence, apart from the immense economic, ethical and aesthetical benefits, biodiversity is essential for the ecosystem function and stability (Ehrlich and Wilson, 1991; Holdgate, 1996; Tilman, 2000).

Biodiversty measures (i.e. species diversity and species richness ) have been widely used as indicators of ecosystem status, and play a critical role in studies dealing with the assessment of human impact on ecological sytems (Leitner and Turner, 2001). Forest managers rely on knowledge from forest assessments to make informed management decisions. Understanding species diversity and its status is important for helping managers evaluate the complexity and resources of these forests. Trees form the major structural and functional basis of tropical forest ecosystems and can serve as robust indicators of changes and stressors at the landscape scale. This paper focused on assessing the status of biodiversity conservation in two tropical rainforest reserves of International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 6, Issue 6, June-2015 ISSN 2229-5518

Cross River State, Nigeria. It is aimed at ensuring the conservation of its rich biodiversity to fashioning out realistic and effective conservation strategies.

### Methodology

## Study Area

This study was conducted in the Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves which are located in Cross River State (Fig. 1).





Afi River Forest Reserve lies approximately between latitudes 6° 08' and 6° 26'N and longitudes 8° 50' and 9° 05'E and covers a total land area of 383.32 km<sup>2</sup> including the area known as Afi Mountain. The topography ranges between 200m - 1200m above sea level. The reserve is characterized by large tracts of rock outcrops especially on the North-East axis. The fast moving and high gradient streams drain the Afi River Forest Reserve, constituting an important watershed. The geological and soil components can be described as crustaceous sedimentary sandstone occupying a significant area of the study site. In a few places, there are volcanic eruptions through the sedimentary surface and this sometimes comprises columnar basalt (Nsor, 2004).

Generally the soils vary from clayey-loam to loamy-clay and normally red with high content of iron oxide. The soils are generally acidic and of low nutrient status, thus not suitable for arable crop production (Agbor, 2003). The entire area falls within a broad annual rain fall zone of 3,000 mm - 3,800 mm but, with a variation increasing from lowland to uphill (Agbor, 2003). The mean temperatures on Afi Mountain was 22.2°C, and in the lowland 27.4°C. Daily minimum temperatures on Afi Mountain averaged 18.7°C and in the lowland 22.1°C while an average of 25.8°c and 32.8°c were recorded as daily maximum temperatures for Afi Mountain and its lowland respectively. The work of Balogun, (2003) indicates that the mean annual relative humidity is 78% at 7.00 Hr.

#### **Oban Forest Reserve**

Oban Forest Reserve lies within longitude 8°20' E and 8°55' E and

latitudes 5°00' N and 6°00' N. Presently, it cover an area of 742.55km<sup>2</sup>. Topographically, the terrain is rugged and its elevation rises from the river valleys to over 1,000 m in mountainous areas (Jimoh et al., 2012). Most of the area is characterized by hilly terrain ranging from 100 to over 1,000m. Oban Forest Reserve consists of dominant rock types that are ancient metamorphic rocks of the Basement Complex which cover 50% of Nigeria. Derived from sedimentary rocks and Precambrian in age these rocks are interspersed with smaller areas of intrusive igneous rocks. (Holland et al, 1989; Schmitt, 1996). Less sandy soils are found in areas with igneous rocks and deeper soils prevail in the plains of the southern part of the park whilst on steeper slopes they are increasingly stony, shallow and erodible (Holland et al, 1989). The annual rainfall is generally, between 2,500mm- 3,000mm. At times, it can be up to 4,000mm. Temperatures are generally high (average around 27°C) and vary little throughout the year with the annual range of the monthly average temperature varying only between 3º and 3.5º C. Mean monthly relative humidity varies between 78% and 91% with an average of 85%. (Holland et al, 1989; Schmitt, 1996).

### **Data Collection**

Multistage sampling method (Aigbe *et al*, 2014; Aigbe and Omokhua, 2015) was adopted in this study. This sampling procedure was made up of primary, secondary and tertiary sampling units. 1000m by 1000m, were randomly chosen, which constitute the primary units. The primary units were divided into secondary 20 units of rectangular plots (otherwise known as strip plot) of 50 m by 1000 m (5 ha in size), out of which about 4 plots were randomly chosen. Each selected secondary units (strip plot) were then divided into 25 equal tertiary plots of 40 m by 50 m (0.20ha) in size, out of which 4 plots were randomly selected. Consequently, the total numbers of sampling plots (tertiary units) for this study were 24 sampling plots. Tree identification and detailed growing stock assessment of trees  $\geq$  10cm were undertaken within the tertiary sampling units.

#### **Computation of Biodiversity indices**

The Shannon-Wiener diversity (H<sup>1</sup>) and Evenness (E) indices are calculated as a measure to incorporate both species richness and species evenness (Magurran 1988). Species richness index, the Shannon-Wiener diversity (H<sup>1</sup>), Evenness (E) and Simpson dominance indices were all calculated to analyse the biodiversity conservation status of each forest reserve.

## **Results and Discussion**

## **Biodiversity Indices**

1,419 individual trees were encountered in the 24 sample plots

from the two forest reserves. A total of 69 tree species distributed among 29 families and 62 genera were encountered in Afi River Forest Reserve while a total of 72 tree species distributed among 30 families and 67 genera were encountered in Oban Forest Reserve (Tables 1 and 2). The order of family dominance varied in each forest reserve. The first four dominant families in Afi River Forest Reserve are Caesalpinioideae (9), Mimosoideae (7), Euphorbiaceae (6) and Meliaceae (4) while for Oban Forest Reserve, Caesalpinioideae (10), Mimosoideae (7), Moraceae (6) and Papilionoideae were the dominant families. Dominant families in the two reserves were slightly different than those reported for tropical rainforest ecosystems in southwestern Nigeria (Adekunle, 2006; Onyekwelu et al., 2008). For example, Onyekwelu et al. (2008) reported members of the Euphorbiaceae, Sterculiaceae, Meliaceae, Mimosoideae and Apocynaceae families to be dominant in three rainforest ecosystems in southwestern Nigeria, which are different from the dominating families in Afi River and Oban forest reserves. Adekunle et al., (2013b) also reported Caesalpinioideae, Sterculiaceae, Meliaceae and Moraceae as dominant families. However, in a similar study, Meliaceae, Euphorbiaceae and Moraceae were reported as the families that dominated the tropical rainforest of Doi Inthanon, Thailand (Kanzaki et al. 2004), some sites in southeast Asia (Kessler et al. 2005), Andaman Giant evergreen forest in India (Rajkumar and Parthasarathy 2008) and the Xishuangbanna forest in southwest China (Lu et al. (2010).

Of the 68 species documented in Afi River Forest Reserve, Pycnathus angolensis, Staudtia stipitata, and Brachystegia eurycoma had the highest density with 29, 16, and 15 trees per hectare, respectively, which accounted for 9.5%, 5.7% and 4.9% of the total tree density per hectare, respectively. Some few species have one tree per hectare, indicating that these species might be under threat of extinction due probably to anthropogenic factor. FORMECU (1999) reported that tropical tree species (less than 10 individual per hectare) that are vulnerable and threatened with extinction are endangered species. Ihenven et al., (2010) and Alamu and Agbeja (2011) also reported that one tree species per hectare is endangered. In Oban Forest Reserve, out of 72 tree species, Staudtia stipitata, Uapaca heudelotii, Carapa procera, and Diospyros crassiflora had the highest density with 22 trees, 19 trees, 15 trees and 15 trees per hectare respectively, accounting for 7.6%, 6.5%, 5.2% and 5.2% of the total tree density per hectare, respectively. Dominant species in the two reserves were different from those reported for some tropical rainforest ecosystems in other part of Nigeria. For example, Adekunle et al., (2013b), reported Mansonia altissima and Triplochiton scleroxylon as the dominant species in Akure Forest Reserve and Ihenven et al., (2009), reported Brachystegia nigerica, Pentaclethra macrophylla, Baphia nitida, Ricinodendron heudelotti and

*Uvariopsis dioica* as the dominant species in Ehor Forest Reserve, Nigeria, which are different from the dominating species in Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves.

 Table 1: Family and Tree Species in Afi River Forest Reserve

 and their relative densities/Ha

		Average	Relative densi-
Family	Species name	tree/Hectare	ty/Hectare
Anisophylleaceae	Poga oleosa	5	0.01471
Annonaceae	Monodora myristica	4	0.01307
Annonaceae	Xylopia aethiopica	2	0.00654
Apocynaceae	Alstonia boonei	3	0.00817
Apocynaceae	Alstonia congensis	3	0.0098
Apocynaceae	Futumia elastic	6	0.01961
Bombacaceae	Bombax buonopozense	4	0.01307
Bombacaceae	Ceiba pentandra	2	0.00654
	Canarium schwein-		
Burseraceae	furthii	1	0.00327
Caesalpinioideae	Afzelia Africana	5	0.01634
Caesalpinioideae	Berlinia grandiflora	10	0.03268
	Brachystegia eury-		
Caesalpinioideae	coma	15	0.04902
Caesalpinioideae	Daniellia ogea	3	0.00817
_	Detarium macro-		
Caesalpinioideae	carpum	1	0.00163
	Distemonathus ben-		
Caesalpinioideae	thamianus	4	0.01144
*	Erythrophleum		
Caesalpinioideae	suaveolens	2	0.0049
_	Gossweilerodendron		
Caesalpinioideae	balsamiferum	2	0.0049
Caesalpinioideae	Oxystigma manni	5	0.01634
Combretaceae	Terminalia ivorensis	5	0.01471
Combretaceae	Terminalia superba	6	0.01961
Ebenaceae	Diospyros crassiflora	6	0.01961
Euphorbiaceae	Claoxylon hexandrum	1	0.00163
Euphorbiaceae	Drypetes gossweileri	1	0.00163
Euphorbiaceae	Drypetes preussii	1	0.00163
	Klainedoxa gabonen-		
Euphorbiaceae	sis	4	0.01307
	Ricinodendron afri-		
Euphorbiaceae	canum	3	0.0098
Euphorbiaceae	Uapaca heudelotii	8	0.02451
Flacourtiaceae	Homalium spp.	3	0.00817
	Allanblackia floribun-		
Guttiferae	da	5	0.01634
Guttiferae	Mamea Africana	9	0.02778
Irvingiaceae	Irvingia gabonensis	11	0.03595

IJSER © 2015 http://www.ijser.org International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 6, Issue 6, June-2015 ISSN 2229-5518

	Petersianthus macro-				staudtii		
Lecythidaceae	carpus	1	0.00327	Annonaceae	Xylopia aethiopica	2	0.00741
	Anthocleista djalonen-			Apocynaceae	Alstonia boonei	1	0.00371
Loganiaceae	sis	4	0.01144	Apocynaceae	Futumia elastica	9	0.02842
Meliaceae	Carapa procera	7	0.02288	Apocynaceae	Voacanga africana	2	0.00618
	Entandrophragma				Bombax buo-		
Meliaceae	cylindricum	3	0.0098	Bombacaceae	nopozense	3	0.00865
Meliaceae	Khaya ivorensis	5	0.01471	Bombacaceae	Ceiba pentandra	1	0.00371
Meliaceae	Lovoa trichilioides	2	0.0049		Canarium schwein-		
Mimosoideae	Albizia ferruginea	4	0.01307	Burseraceae	furthii	5	0.0173
Mimosoideae	Albizia gumifera	2	0.0049	Caesalpinioideae	Afzelia Africana	7	0.02224
Mimosoideae	Albizia zygia	13	0.04085	Caesalpinioideae	Berlinia grandiflora	2	0.00618
	Cylicodiscus gabunen-				Brachystegia eury-		
Mimosoideae	sis	4	0.01144	Caesalpinioideae	сота	4	0.01483
Mimosoideae	Parkia bicolor	6	0.01961	Caesalpinioideae	Daniellia ogea	8	0.02719
	Piptadeniastrum afri-				Detarium macro-		
Mimosoideae	canum	10	0.03105	Caesalpinioideae	carpum	1	0.00247
Mimosoideae	Tetrapleura tetraptera	2	0.00654		Distemonathus ben-		
Moraceae	Antiaris welwitschii	3	0.00817	Caesalpinioideae	thamianus	1	0.00124
Moraceae	Ficus mucuso	4	0.01144		Erythrophleum suave-		
Moraceae	Milicia excelsa	4	0.01144	Caesalpinioideae	olens	3	0.00989
Moraceae	Treculia obovoidea	3	0.00817		Gossweilerodendron		
Myristicaceae	Coelocaryon preussii	3	0.00817	Caesalpinioideae	balsamiferum	7	0.02472
Myristicaceae	pycnathus angolensis	29	0.09477	a	Hylodendron		0.00.010
Myristicaceae	Staudtia stipitata	18	0.05882	Caesalpinioideae	gabunense	2	0.00618
Ochnaceae	Lophira alata	1	0.00327	Caesalpinioideae	Oxystigma manni	2	0.00618
Olacaceae	Strombosia pustulata	1	0.00163	Combretaceae	Terminalia ivorensis	8	0.02842
	Amphimas pterocar-			Combretaceae	Terminalia superba	6	0.01977
Papilionoideae	poides	5	0.01634	Ebenaceae	Diospyros crassiflora	15	0.05067
Papilionoideae	Pterocarpus osun	9	0.02941	Ericaceae	Agauria salicifolia		0.00124
Papilionoideae	Pterocarpus soyauxii	2	0.00654	Englishter	Klainedoxa gabonen-	2	0.01112
Rhizophoraceae	Anopyxis Klaineana	1	0.00327	Euphorbiaceae	sis	3	0.01112
Rubiaceae	Mitragyna stipulosa	4	0.01144	Euchachia	Ricinoaenaron ajri-	2	0.00741
Rubiaceae	Nauclea diderrichii	4	0.01307	Euphorbiaceae	Uangag hau dalatii	2	0.00741
D.L.	Pausinystalia mac-		0.001.60	Euphorbiaceae	Homalium ann	20	0.0033
Rubiaceae	rocera	1	0.00163	Flacourtiaceae	Allanhlackia floribur	/	0.02224
Putacasa	Laninoxylum zan-	2	0.00917	Guttiferae	da	1	0.00124
Sanotaceae	Raillon alla torian arma	3	0.00817	Guttiferae	Mamea Africana	3	0.00865
Sterculiaceae	Ptamaota macrocarna	1	0.02451	Irvingiaceae	Irvingia gabonensis	7	0.02472
Sterculiaceae	Staroulia oblorga	1	0.02431	Lauraceae	Hypodaphnis zenkeri	1	0.00371
Stereunaceae	Triplochiton sclerory-	1	0.00105		Petersianthus macro-	_	
Sterculiaceae	lon	5	0.01634	Lecythidaceae	carpus	1	0.00124
Illmaceae	Celtis zenkeri	8	0.02451		Anthocleista dialonen-		
Verbenaceae	Vitex gradifolia	1	0.00163	Loganiaceae	sis	2	0.00494
	Spathodea campanula-	1	0.00105	Meliaceae	Carapa procera	15	0.05067
Bignoniaceae	ta	1	0.00163		Entandrophragma		
Source: Field w	ork, Aigbe <i>et al.</i> 2014	L *	0.00105	Meliaceae	cylindricum	2	0.00494
Source, mere w	,			Meliaceae	Guarea thompsonii	2	0.00741
T.1.1. 4 T ''			d Daran 1	Meliaceae	Khaya ivorensis	1	0.00247
Table 1: Famil	y and Tree Species	in Oban Fore	st Reserve and	Meliaceae	Lovoa trichilioides	1	0.00247
their relative d	ensities/Ha			Mimosoideae	Albizia ferruginea	3	0.00989
		Average	Relative	Mimosoideae	Albizia gumifera	2	0.00741

	Piptadeniastrum		
Mimosoideae	africanum	3	0.00989
Mimosoideae	Tetrapleura tetraptera	1	0.00371
Moraceae	Antiaris welwitschii	2	0.00494
Moraceae	Ficus lutea	2	0.00741
Moraceae	Ficus mucuso	3	0.00989
Moraceae	Milicia excelsa	4	0.01359
Moraceae	Treculia africana	2	0.00618
Moraceae	Treculia obovoidea	1	0.00124
Myristicaceae	Coelocaryon preussii	1	0.00247
Myristicaceae	pycnathus angolensis	12	0.04202
Myristicaceae	Staudtia stipitata	22	0.07662
Ochnaceae	Lophira alata	7	0.02348
Olacaceae	Strombosia pustulata	4	0.01236
	Amphimas pterocar-		
Papilionoideae	poides	3	0.00865
Papilionoideae	Baphia nitida	2	0.00494
	Pentaclethra myco-		
Papilionoideae	phylla	1	0.00124
Papilionoideae	Pterocarpus osun	5	0.01607
Papilionoideae	Pterocarpus soyauxii	1	0.00124
Rhizophoraceae	Anopyxis Klaineana	1	0.00247
Rubiaceae	Mitragyna stipulosa	2	0.00741
Rubiaceae	Nauclea diderrichii	1	0.00124
	Pausinystalia mac-		
Rubiaceae	rocera	2	0.00494
Simaroubaceae	Hannoa klaineana	2	0.00741
Sterculiaceae	Cola spp	1	0.00371
Sterculiaceae	Sterculia oblonga	12	0.04078
	Triplochiton scleroxy-		
Sterculiaceae	lon	4	0.01483
Ulmaceae	Celtis zenkeri	13	0.04572
Verbenaceae	Vitex gradifolia	8	0.02719

Source: Field work, Aigbe and Omokhua, 2015

#### **Biodiversity Indices**

Summary of the results of various diversity indices for Afi River and Oban Forest Reserve are presented in Table 3. The species richness index were 10.44 and 10.61 for Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves, respectively. The results indicated that Oban Forest Reserve has higher tree species richness than Afi River Forest Reserve. This was collaborated by the result of t - test, which showed that species richness of Oban Forest Reserves was significantly higher than that of Afi River Forest Reserves. The values of the species richness is quite high when compared with the values (7.19-10.64) for Bwindi forest, (4.71-10.51) for Budonga forest, (6.36-8.08) for Kibale forest and (7.54-8.20) for Kasyoha-Kitomi forest, all located in Albertine rift, Uganda (Eilu et al., 2004). Several factors could influence why the present studies has high species richness when compared with other studies from tropical forests. Factors like anthropogenic activities and soil quality. The implication for high species richness for the two forest reserves is that the forest environments are stable, thus there is high likeli-

hood of sustainability if the forests are well managed. The values of Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') for Afi River Forest Reserve and Oban Forest Reserve were 3.827 and 3.795 respectively. The results of t-test revealed a significant difference (p < 0.05) in species diversity indices of the two forest reserves, and indicating that Afi River Forest Reserve is significantly (p < 0.05) more species diverse than Oban Forest Reserve. Afi River Forest Reserve had a higher diversity index value probably because it is more densely populated. The results of Shannon- Wiener diversity Index in the study area are higher compared to the 2.20-2.65 for the tropical forests of Kodayar in the Western Ghats of southern India (Sundarapandian et al, 2000), 2.74 and 1.63 for South Nandi and North Nandi Forest, Kenyan respectively (Gebresellasse, 2011). In Strict Nature Reserve in south western state of Nigeria and Akure Forest Reserve, the values were 3.74 (Adekunle et al., 2013a) and 3.037/3.16 (Adekunle et al., 2013b) respectively. While in some other tropical rainforest sites in southern Nigeria the values range between 3.34-3.66 as reported for by Adekunle (2006) and Adekunle and Olagoke (2008). The difference in species diversity in other tropical forest communities from this present study area could be attributed to anthropogenic activities, soil factor, sample plot sizes and ecological sub region.

The evenness of species in Afi River forest reserve is 0.907 while that of Oban forest reserve is 0.887. The t – test calculated shows that there is no significant difference (p > 0.05) between evenness indices in Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves (Table 3). The Simpson's Indices (D) obtained was 0.029 (D<sup>-1</sup> = 34.48) for Afi River Forest Reserve and 0.030 (D<sup>-1</sup> = 33.33) for Oban Forest Reserve. The values obtained for both forest reserves indicate high species diversity. And this is quite high when compare with the values of Simpson's Indices (17.43-18.41) in Abeku sector of Omo Forest Reserve, Nigeria and much more higher than the value of 0.1, as reported in lowland rainforest of Los Tuxtlas, Mexico by Bongers *et al.*, (1988).

## Table 3: Summary of the Various Diversity indices computed forAfi River and ObanForest Reserves

Characteristic	Afi	River	Forest	Oban	Forest
	Rese	rve		Reserve	
Species Richness (d)	10.444 <sup>b</sup> 10		10.6	05ª	
Shannon Wiener Index		3.827	ı	3.79	95 <sup>b</sup>
(H <sup>I</sup> )					
Evenness Index (E)		0.907	ı	0.88	37 <sup>a</sup>
Simpson's Index (D)		0.029	ı	0.03	30ª

Means followed by different superscripts are significantly different at 0.05 level of significance. Source: Field Work, (Aigbe *et al*, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 6, Issue 6, June-2015 ISSN 2229-5518 2014; Aigbe and Omokhua, 2015) est reser

#### **Species Similarity Index**

As shown in Table 4, the Sorenson's similarity index of the floristic comparison between Afi River and Oban Forest Reserve is 84%. This value indicates that there is little variation in the species composition of the two forest reserves. This implies that about 84% of the species in the two reserves are similar, which means that Afi River Forest Reserve and Oban Forest Reserve have very high floristic similarity. It has been shown that the higher the species similarity index values, the lower the variation in the species composition of two forest communities. The similarity of species in Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves is higher than what was reported for some tropical rainforest ecosystems in Nigeria. For example, Onyekwelu et al. (2008) reported lower species similarity indices of 63.4%, 58.3% and 47.4% between Queen's and Elephant forests, Queen's and Oluwa forests, Oluwa and Elephant forests, respectively in tropical rainforest ecosystems of southwestern Nigeria. Also, Gebreselasse (2011) reported similarity indices range of 25% to 39% for some tropical forest ecosystems in Kenya, which are lower than the results of present study.

#### Table 4: Sorenson's Index of Afi River and Oban Group forest reserves

Site	Afi Forest	Oban Forest
Afi Forest	-	0.84
Oban Forest	0.84	-

## Conclusion

Afi River and Oban Forest Reserves are not only important in terms of plant biodiversity but they are also important destination point for rich timber resources. The commonest timber species in Afi River Forest Reserve are Pycnathus angolensis, Staudtia stipitata, Brachystegia eurycoma, Albizia zygia while in Oban Forest Reserve, the commonest tree species are Staudtia stipitata, Uapaca heudelotii, Carapa procera, and Diospyros crassiflora. Coincidentally, the presence of Thaumatococcus danielli, Musanga cecropioides and Aframomum latifolium in the study area, especially Oban Forest Reserve, is an indication that the vegetation has been disturbed in the recent past. However, there are strong signs of recovery in both reserves. Some tree species unique to Afi River Forest Reserve are Monodora myristica, Alstonia congensis, Baillonella toxisperma. While in Oban Forest Reserve, the unique species are Pachypodathium staudtii, Lannea welwitschii, Canarium schweinfurthii, Aguaria Salicifolia, Petersianthus macrocarpus, Anthocleista djalonensis, Guarea thompsonii. The floristic similarity between the two forest reserves was high judging from the high (84%) Sorenson's similarity indices. The species diversity of the two forest reserves was quite high, the implication is that, the biodiversity status is of high conservation value. The species richness shows high number of individual species.

## REFERENCES

- V.A.J. Adekunle "Conservation of tree species diversity in tropical rainforest ecosystem of Southwest Nigeria" *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*. 18(2): 91-101, 2006.
- [2] V.A.J. Adekunle and A. O. Olagoke "Diversity and biovolume of tree species in natural forest ecosystem in the bitumen-producing area of Ondo State, Nigeria: A baseline study" *Biodiversity and Conservation* 17 2735-2755, 2008.
- [3] V.A.J. Adekunle., A.O. Olagoke and S.O. Akindele "Tree species diversity and structure of a Nigerian Strict Nature Reserve" *Tropical Ecology*, 54(3): 275 – 289, 2013a.
- [4] V.A.J. Adekunle., A.O Olagoke and L.F. Ogundare "Logging impacts in tropical lowland humid forest on tree species diversity and environmental conservation" *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 11(3): 491 511, 2013b.
- [5] C. O. Agbor "An ecological basis for the management of Afi Mountain Wildlife Santuary, Cross River State" Unpublished PhD thesis, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Management, University of Ibadan.Pp 119-210, 2003.
- [6] L. O. Alamu and B. O. Agbeja "Deforestation and endangered indigenous tree species in South-West Nigeria" *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*. Vol. 3(7), Pp. 291-297, 2011.
- [7] O. Y. Balogun "Senior Secondary School Atlas, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition" p: 19. Longman Publishers, Lagos, 2003.
- [8] F. Bongers., J. Popmay., Meava del Castillo and J. Carabias "Structure and floristic composition of the lowland rainforest of Los Tuxtlas, Mexico" Vegetatio. 74(1), pp 55 – 80, 1988.
- [9] P. R. Ehrlich and E. O.Wilson "Biodiversity studies: science and policy" *Science* 253: 758-762, 1991.
- [10] G. Eilu., D. L. N. Hafashimana and J. M. Kasenene "Density and species diversity of trees in four tropical forests of the Albertine rift, Western Uganda" *Diversity and Distribution*. Vol. 10. P. 303-312, 2004.
- [11] FAO "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010" Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. FAO forestry paper. 163p, 2010.
- [12] FORMECU. "Forest Resources Study of Nigeria" Overview revised national report prepared for forestry management evaluation and coordinating unit. Volume one. 108p, 1999.
- [13] G. V. Gebreselasse "Plant communities' species diversity seedling bank and resprouting in Nandi Forest, Kenya" PhD Thesis, Universitat Koblenz-Landau, 2011.
- [14] M. Holdgate "The ecological significance of biological diversity" *Ambio* 25:409-416, 1996.
- [15] M. D. Holland., R. K. G. Allen., D. Barton and S. T. Murphy "Cross River National Park (Oban Division); Land Evaluation and Agricultural Recommendations" World Wide Fund for Nature, Godalming, United Kingdom. 140 pp, 1989.
- [16] J. Ihenyen, J. K. Mensah and E.E. Okoegwale "Tree/Shrubs Species Diversity of Ehor Forest Reserve In Uhunmwode Local Government Area of Edo State, Nigeria" *Researcher*: 2(2): P 37-49, 2010.
- [17] IUCN "Plants under pressure a global assessment. The first report of the IUCN Sampled Red List Index for Plants" Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, UK, Natural History Museum, London, and International Union for Conservation of Nature, 2010.
- [18] S. O. Jimoh., P. O. Adesoye., A. A. Adeyemi and E. T. Ikyaagba "Forest Structure Analysis in the Oban Division of Cross River National Park, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology B

1265

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 6, Issue 6, June-2015 ISSN 2229-5518

2 510-518, 2012.

- [19] M. M. Kanzaki, T. Hara, T. Yamakura, M. N. Ohkubo, G. K. Tamyra., P. Sri-Ngernyuan, S. Sahunalu, Teejuntuk and S. Bunyavejchewin. "Forest diversity and dynamism: findings from a large-scale plot network" pp. 474-481. *In*: E. C. Losos & E. G. Leigh (eds.) *The Diversity of Tropical Trees: Background*. Chicago University Press, Chicago, 2004.
- [20] M. Kessler., P. J. A Keber, S. R. Gradstein, K. Bach, M. Schmull and R. Pitopand "Tree diversity in primary forest and different land use systems in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia" *Biodiversity and Conservation* 14: 547-560, 2005.
- [21] M. L. Khan., S. Menon and K. S. Bawa "Effectiveness of the protected area network in biodiversity conservation: a case study of Meghalaya state" *Biodiver. Conserv.* 6, 853–868, 1997.
- [22] M. Köhl., J. Uuttera., P. Bachmann and R. Päivinen "Assement and plannig for forest biodiversity" A European initiative. In: Dallmeier, F., Comiskey, J. A. (Eds). Forest Biodiversity Research, Monitoring and Modeling: Conceptual background and old world case studies. UNSECO UNESCO and Parthenon Publishing Group, Paris, pp. 357-363, 1998.
- [23] A. Kumar., A. K. Gupta., B. G. Marcot., A. Saxena., S. P. Singh and T. T. C. Marak "Management of forests in India for biological diversity and forest productivity, a new perspective" Volume IV: Garo Hills Conservation Area (GCA). Wildlife Institute of India USDA Forest Service collaborative project report, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehra Dun, p. 206, 2002.
- [24] W. Leitner and W. R. Turner "Measurement and analysis of biodiversity" In: Levin S. A. (ed.) Ecyclopedia of biodiversity, Vol 4. Academic Press, Princeton, pp 123-144, 2001.
- [25] X. T. Lü., J. X. Yin and J. W. Tang "Structure, tree species diversity and composition of tropical seasonal rainforests in Xishuangbanna, south-west China" *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 22: 260-270, 2010.
- [26] M. E. Nsor "The geology of the Cross River Basin" Unpublished Msc thesis. Department of Soil Science, University of Calabar, Nigeria. Pp. 98, 2004.
- [27] J. C. Onyekwelu., R. Mosandl and B. Stimm, B (2008): Tree species diversity and soil status of primary and degraded tropical rainforest ecosystems in South-Western Nigeria. Journal of Tropical Forest Science, 20 (3): 193 – 204, 2008.
- [28] M. Rajkumar and N. Parthasarathy "Tree diversity and structure of Andaman giant evergreen forests in India" *Taiwania* 53: 356-368, 2008.
- [29] K. Schmitt "Zoological survey of the Oban division of Cross River National Park Oban Hill programme, Calabar. Pp 21, 1996.
- [30] S. M. Sundarapandian and P. S. Swamy "Forest ecosystem structure and composition along an altitudinal gradient in the Western Ghats" South India. J. Trop. For. Sci. 12, 104–123. 2000.
- [31] D. Tilman "Causes, consequences and ethics of biodiversity" Nature 405: 208-211, 2000.
- [32] H.I. Aigbe., S.O. Akindele and J.C. Onyekwelu "Tree species diversity and density pattern in Afi Forest Reserve, Nigeria" *International Jour*nal of Scientific & Tecnology Research 3 (10) 178 – 18567, 2014.
- [33] H.I. Aigbe., G.E. Omokhua "Tree composition and diversity in Oban Forest Reserve, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Studies*. 3 (1) 10 – 24, 2015. Doi: 10.5296/jas.v3il.6461.

