Synthesis and Characterisation of Some New Organoantimony (V) Aryloxyacetates

Kiran Singhal, Dharmendra K. Srivastava, Prem Raj, A. Pandey

Abstract— A series of new tri and tetra-organoantimony (V) aryloxyacetates of the general formula $R_3Sb(OCOCH_2OR')_2$; and $R_4Sb(OCOCH_2OR')$ [where $R = p-OCH_3C_6H_4$ -, C_6H_5 - & $R' = p-CH_3C_6H_4$ -, $m-CH_3C_6H_4$ -, $o-CH_3C_6H_4$ -, $\beta-C_{10}H_7$ -, $o-CIC_6H_4$ -], have been synthesised and characterised. These complexes are monomeric in benzene and non-electrolyte in acetonitrile. IR, NMR spectral data suggest the hepta-coordination with weak secondary interaction in between antimony and carbonyl oxygen of aryloxyacetate ligands.

Keywords— Tri and tetra-organoantimony (V) aryloxyacetates, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³CNMR spectra, monomeric, non ionic, secondary interaction.

---- 🌢

1 INTRODUCTION

The great variety of structural possibilities offered by organometal carboxylates perhaps is the main factor for the continued interest in this class of compounds [27-34]. Despite a considerable interest in organometallic carboxylates and the variety of metals for which such derivatives have been synthesized, corresponding aryl oxyacetates are mainly confined to tin. The etheral oxygen may or may not involve in coordination with metal atom and thus play an important role in changing the geometry of the complex. Studies on organotin complexes of aryloxy acetic acids indicate intramolecular O-Sn coordination particularly in case of alkyl tin derivatives with monomeric constitution in solid state. In sharp contrast to this triphenyltin derivatives having pentacoordination around tin were found to be polymeric with bridging carbonyl group. A perusal of literature reveals that the role of pentacoordination, partucularly in case of group 14 and group 15 elements in reaction mechanism is potentially one of the best method to explore the possibility of conformation extended from the ideal trigonal bipyramidal to the square or rectangular bipyramidal geometry and the compounds of pentacoordinated phosphorus, arsenic and antimony are probably the most interesting [1-10].

In case of thioacetate secondary bonding takes place through chelation [2, 6, 7]. Another important group of ligand which has not attracted much attention, but closely resembles to carboxylates, is aryl oxy acetates. However unlike acetate or thioacetate, aryl oxy acetates has one extra donor site i.e.; Ar—O—, in addition to the — C = O functionality.

It is note worthy that despite such a great variety of structural possibility, as discussed above, exhibit by organom

et. al carboxylates and the variety of metals for which such derivatives have been synthesized corresponding aryloxyacetates are mainly confined to transition metal derivatives [11] with an occasional reference to organotin ¹² and organo antimony [12, 13]derivatives. It has been found that etheral oxygen of aryloxyacetates group may or may not involve in coordination with metal atom and thus play a great role in shaping the geometry of the complex.

A perusal of the literature further reveals that the complexes of aryloxyacetic acids in case of organotin possess intermolecular $O \rightarrow Sn$ co-ordination, particularly for alkyltin derivatives and the compounds are monomeric in solid state. In sharp contrast to this triaryltin derivative having penta coordination around the tin were found to be polymer with bridging carboxylic group [12]. Although the aryloxy acetate of organophosphorous and little known but the compound containing P-O, Sb-O, As-O have been studied to an appreciable extent [14, 15]. On the basis of ultraviolet and infrared spectra it has been concluded that aryloxyacetate behave as monodentate legend toward antimony (V) but slight decrease in IR frequencies for carbonyl group indelicate toward secondary interaction.

A comparison of spectral data of the organoantimony acetate with those of free legends both in solid state and in solution rules out the likelihood of co-ordination of antimony through etheral oxygen of the aryloxy group [9]. However, there seems to be no systematic and comparative study of aryloxyacetate derivative of antimony in +5 oxidation state as yet. In conclusion, in the solid state it indicates that sterically disfavored conformations of TBPY antimony (V) complexes may be stabilized by secondary bonding interaction involving diaxially coordinated ester legends. The complex geometry accompanied by the expansion of the co-ordination sphere from five to seven [23].

Continuing our interest in the carboxylate derivatives of group 15 elements [16, 20], a series of aryloxyacetate derivatives of the general formula Ph₃Sb(OCOCH₂OR)₂ and (p-MeO-C₆H₄)₃Sb(OCOCH₂OR)₂ and Ph₄Sb(OCOCH₂OR) [where R = substituted aryl group; p-CH₃ C₆H₄ m-CH₃C₆H₄ – , O – CH₃ C₆H₄ – , β - C₁₀H₇ –, O–Cl–C₆H₄] has been synthesized and characterized.

The main objectives of this work were aimed at:

[•] Corresponding author is Dr. (Mrs.) Kiran Singhal, Associate Professor, Chemistry Department, University of Lucknow, Lucknow and is the thesis supervisor of Mr. Dharmendra K. Srivastava.

Email: <u>singhal.kiran@gmail.com</u> Ph: +91-9415159894

Contract Grant Sponsor: University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India Vide Letter No. 37-429/2009 SR.

[•] Dr. Prem Raj is Senior Professor Chemistry Department, Lucknow University, Lucknow, U. P., India.

29

- 1. To synthesise and isolate hitherto unreported organo antimony (V) aryloxyacetate derivatives.
- 2. To investigate the role of etheral oxygen of aryloxyacetate group, i.e., whether it participates in bonding or not and of carbonyl oxygen and thus raising the coordination number of antimony (V) beyond five.
- 3. To ascertain the mode of bonding of aryloxyacetate group toward antimony i.e., whether the acetate group (s) act as monodentate or bidentate group or as a both.

2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dual behavour of an acetate group as a monodentate or bidentate ligand has been well established in case of phenyl antimony (V) tetra acetate and dimethyl antimony (V) triacetate, where one acetate group is bidentate and the remaining act as a monodentate [15, 16]. Similarly, antimony in tetramethyl and –tetra phenyl antimony (V) acetate has been found to possess trigonal bypiramidal geometry in solution with the acetate group group acting as bidentate ligand. Thus it appears that it is the physical state and the nature and the number of organic groups attached to the antimony atom.

Under anhydrous oxygen-free conditions, with absolute methanol as solvent, the reaction of tri (p-methoxy) antimony dichloride, triphenyl antimony dichloride or tetraphenyl antimony chloride with silver salts of aryloxyacetic acids gave tri- and tetra-organo antimony (V) aryloxy acetates. The reactions with organoantimony (V) hailides with aryloxyacetic acids were also carried out in presence of triethyl amine as hydrogen halide acceptor in benzene. (Eq. 1-3).

$$(p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3SbCl_2 + 2ROCH_2COOH \xrightarrow{Et_3N} (p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OR)_2 + 2Et_3N.HCl (1) \xrightarrow{(p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OR)_2} + 2Et_3N.HCl (1)$$

$(p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3SbCl_2 + 2ROCH_2COOAg \xrightarrow{Benzene/THF} (p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OR)_2 + 2AgCl \xrightarrow{A} (p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3 + 2AgCl \xrightarrow{A} (p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3 + 2AgCl \xrightarrow{A} (p-MeO-C_6H_4)_3 + 2AgCl \xrightarrow{A} (p-MeO-C_6H_4) + 2AgCCH_2OCH_2OCH_2OCH_2OCH_2OCH_2OCH_2O$					
$\begin{array}{ccc} Ph_{3}SbCl_{2} + 2ROCH_{2}COOH & & \hline & Ph_{3}Sb(OCOCH_{2}OR)_{2} + & 3Et_{3}N.HCl \\ \hline & & abs. alcohol & Ph_{3}Sb(OCOCH_{2}OR)_{2} + & 3Et_{3}N.HCl \\ \hline & & & \\ \end{array}$	(3)				
Ph ₃ SbCl ₂ + 2ROCH ₂ COOAg Benzene/THF abs. alcohol Ph ₃ Sb(OCOCH ₂ OR) ₂ + 2 AgCl	(4)				
$Ph_{4}SbCl + ROCH_{2}COOH \xrightarrow{Et_{3}N} Ph_{4}Sb(OCOCH_{2}OR) + Et_{3}N.HCl$	(5)				

 $[\text{ where } R = p - CH_3C_6H_4\text{-}, \text{ m-}CH_3C_6H_4\text{-}, C_{10}H_7\text{-}, \text{ o-}ClC_6H_4, \text{ o-}CH_3C_6H_4\text{-}, \text{ Ph} = C_6H_5\text{-}]]$

All the reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled under an atmosphere of nitrogen from sodium benzophenone. Silver salts of carboxylic acids were prepared from the corresponding sodium salt by reaction with AgNO₃. The products were recrystallized from petroleum ether ($40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$ C) or benzene. The complexes are off white to light brown solid and are generally obtained as a sticky man which on treatment with sodium dried benzene. Solidified and subsequently crystallized with benzene/pet-ether. The complexes are fairly stable to air and moisture and have sharp melting point. There is no regular trend of the melting point of the complexes and they melt without decomposition. Complexes are soluble in chloroform and acetonitrile. They can be stored at room temperature without decomposition for several weeks. The constancy in

melting points after repeated crystallization as well as TLC run in chloroform – hexane mixture (1:1) with the observation of a single spot excluded the presence of mixture of reactants. The molar conductance of 10^{-3} M solution were recorded in methanol and were in the range of 15-25 ohm⁻¹, mole⁻¹ cm² indicating the absence of ionic species in solution [21]. The complexes were found to be monomeric in nitro benzene.

2.1 INFRARED SPECTRA

As expected infrared absorptions inherent to phenyl group (substituted i.e. p-tolyl) bound to antimony do not different appreciably from those values reported from parent (ptolyl)₃Sb compounds, and hence not discussed here [22]. Infrared absorptions of diagnostic value for Phenyl antimony and p-tolyl antimony aryloxyacetates, related to the ligands have be identified which on preliminary stage indicate mode of bonding of aryloxy ligand. The data are presented in Table 3. The Characteristic v(OH) absorption bond of ligands which appeared around 3400 cm⁻¹ in the free acid, was found mission in the newly synthesised complexes. A medium strong intensity bond appearing at 1580-1690 cm⁻¹ can confidently be assigned to v_{asy} (OCO) mode whilst the comparatively weaker bond in the range 1360-1390 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to v_{sym} (OCO) bond. The deformation mode as a medium intensity bond was found in the range 770 – 800 cm⁻¹. The absorption associated with the antimony – oxygen appears in the range between 400 – 440 cm⁻¹ and the absorption due to antimony carbon corresponding to y-mode occurs in the range 450-470 cm⁻¹. These values clearly indicate the formation of phenyl; pmethoxyphenyl antimony (V) aryloxyacetates.

The comparison of IR spectra of the compounds with those of respective ligand in solid and solution shows a very slight shift in v_{asym} (C = O), v_{sym} (C - O) and v(C - O - C) deformation band which in turn showed the lack of co-ordination antimony through - C = O center of the ligands but it represents a slight presence of secondary interaction which indirectly provides strength to the sterically congested TBPY geometry. Further it has been reported earlier that separation between $[v(v_{asy}(OCO) - v_{sym}(OCO)]$ is smaller, around 150 cm⁻¹ in the case of linear polymeric moieties and is considerably larger (around 250 – 350 cm⁻¹) for monomeric compounds [16 - 20]. Since the separation observed in the present compounds is fairly large (2300 cm⁻¹), monomolecular constitution seems to be most plausible where antimony would be having a coordination number five. This observation is in sharp contrast to organo tin complexes of aryloxyacetates which have been found to be polymeric involving carboxylic bridges [12].

2.2¹H NMR SPECTRA

¹H NMR spectra (Table 5) of the compounds were recorded in CDCl₃ using TMS as an internal reference. The disappearance of -OH proton signal (δ 9.2 ppm) present in the ligand indicate the formation of aryloxy acetate derivative. The appearance of singlet for –CH₂– protons in compounds at δ 4.80–4.8: ppm showed that both the ligands are equivalent and seemed to be in one plane. The protons of phenyl group directly attached with antimony appears as multiplet in the range δ 7.70 – 7.30 ppm, protons of o-tolyl group directly attached with antimony

IJSER © 2013 http://www.ijser.org also appears as multiplet in the range $\delta 7.35 - 7.00$ ppm and of CH₃ – group attached to oxygen atom of methoxy group appears to shielded at δ 3.85 ppm. Protons of β -naphthyl group also appears as multiplet in the range $\delta 8.00 - 7.20$ ppm and $-CH_2-$ group of acetate moiety appears at $\delta 5.14$ ppm. Protons of ortho, Meta and para-cresol appears as multiplet in the range δ 2.10 – 7.25 ppm and of – CH₂ – appears at δ 5.10 ppm. Protons of Ortho – Chlorophenoxy acetate appears as multiplet in the range δ 6.90 – 7.55 ppm and of – CH₂ – at δ 5.12 ppm.

2.3¹³C NMR SPECTRA

The ¹³C NMR (Table 6) of all the compounds were recorded in CDCl₃ at 75 MHz on 300 MHz FT NMR (Bruker DRX – 300) instrument and the data are summarized in Table. In every case i – c δ (139.5 – 135.5) ppm of phenyl ring and i–c δ (129.5 – 135.5) ppm of p-MeO – phenyl ring (i.e. Sb – C) was found to be more deshielded than O – C; δ (135.5 – 137.5) ppm; m – C, δ (110.5 – 129.5) ppm; and p – c, δ (157.6 – 128.7) ppm. The methyl carbon of methoxy group of p-tolyl moiety directly attached to antimony appeared at δ (55.8 – 56.0) ppm. The β -naphthol aryloxy acetate moiety appears at δ (105.9 – 156.5) ppm. The ortho, meta, para cresol moiety of aryloxy acetate group appears in the range δ (110.5 – 160.5) ppm and CH₃ – group appears at δ (15.5 – 22.5) ppm, in both cases the – CH₂ – group of aryloxy acetate moiety appears in the range of δ (68.5 – 69.0) ppm.

3 EXPERIMENTAL

Triphenyl antimony (V) dichloride Ph₃SbCl₂, tri (p-tolyl) antimony (V) dichloride (p-MeO-C₆H₄)₃SbCl₂ were prepared by Grignard reaction followed by oxidative addition of chlorine and tetraphenyl stibonium (V) chloride was prepared by Quarternisation reaction as follow:

3.1 Tri (p-tolyl) antimony

A solution of antimony trichloride (22.8g, 0.1mol) and 4-bromo anisole (47.1g, 0.1mol) in benzene (200 ml) was added drop wise to a boiling suspension of sodium (13.8g, 0.6mol) in the same solvent (300 ml). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4h with occasional shaking and then filtered hot. The residue was extracted twice with hot benzene. The solvent was extracted twice with hot benzene. The solvent was completely distilled and the remaining residue was recrystallised from alcohol-petroleum ether (60-80°C) mixture.

M.P.: 120°C Lit.: 120-121°C [25] **3.2 Tris (p-tolyl) antimony (V) dichloride**

It was prepared by slowly passing freshly prepared chlorine for 30 minute through a solution of tris (p-tolyl) antimony (4.43g, 0.01mol) in carbon tetrachloride. Filtration and recrystalization from the same solvent gave tris (p-tolyl) antimony (V) dichloride; (p-CH₃O-C₆H₄)₃Sb.

M.P.: 156-157°C



Tetraphenyl stibonium (V) chloride

Tetraaryl stibonium halide, Ar₄SbX, was conveniently prepared by Quarternisation reaction of triaryl stibine, Ar₃Sb, with aryl halide, ArX, in the presence of Lewis acid catalyst anhydrous aluminium (III) chloride.

А

$$r_3Sb + ArX \xrightarrow{Neat/AlCl_3} Ar_4SbX$$

A mixture of triphenyl antimony (7.0g, 0.02mol), anhydrous aluminium (III) chloride (7.0g, 0.05mol) and chlorobenzene (2.86g, 0.02mol) was taken in a dry round bottom flask with an air condenser. The reaction mixture was heated gradually on an oil bath up to 225-230°C in half an hour, and this temperature was maintained for further 2h. The black oily mass was poured in one liter capacity beaker containing water (600ml) and boiled on wire gauge till complete black mass was disappeared In to water leaving only little residue. Solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to 1/4th of the original volume. Solution was cooled and then added KCl (5g, 0.04mol) to obtain white crystalline Tetraphenylstibonium chloride, which was dried between pads and recrystallised from absolute alcohol.

M.P.: 215°C Lit.: 208-218°C [24] Typical experimental details of the reactions are described below. Relevant IR and UV, NMR assignments and analytical

data are summarized in Table 1-6. 3.4 Reaction of Tri (p-tolyl) antimony (v) dichloride or Tris (4-methoxyphenyl) antimony (v) dichloride with O – cresol phenoxy acetate or (2 - (O - tolyl oxy) acetoxy)silver (1)

To a stirring solution of tris (4-methoxyphenyl) antimony (v) dichloride (0.514 g; 1 mmol was added (2 – (O – tolyl – oxy) acetoxy) silver (0.546g: 2 mmol) in presence of benzene as solvent was stirred in anhydrous. Oxygen free conditions for 6h, followed by refluxing for 2h to ensure completion of the reaction. A Flocculent white ppt. of AgCl was formed which was filtered off. The filtrate on concentration gave a light brown solid which was recrystallized by petroleum ether ($40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$ C). **3.5 Reaction of tris (4-methoxyphenyl) antimony (v) dichloride with (2-(2-chlorophenoxy) acetoxy silver (5)**

To a stirring solution of tris (4-methoxyphenyl) antimony (v) dichloride (0.514g; 1.0 mmol) in benzene was being added (2 – (2 – chlorophenoxy) acetoxy silver (0.586g; 0.2 mmol) in benzene and was stirred in anhydrous oxygen free conditions for 6h. It was later refluxed for 2h to ensure completion of the reaction. An off white precipitate of AgCl was formed which was filtered off. The filtrate on concentration gave a light brown solid which was crystallized from hexane and petroleum ether ($40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$ C) mixture.

4 FIGURES

Lit.: 157°C [26]

Figure 1 Showing Trigonal Bipyramidal arrangement of antimony (V) aryloxyacetates with possible weak secondary interaction:



USER © 2015 is: Sterically disfavoured conformation of TBPY antimony(V) stablised by weak secondary bonding Interaction involving diaxially co-ordinated aryloxy acetates



International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue 7, July-2013

ISSN:2229-5518-10 1 inter 3 (FERICALISHOOCHICEACE)-pt kor 10 LiddBorg 4 (+E)0000000000000000 Ar. Biter (4/4/0) 15 Diry Weite 5 perioral shore becaution 14 Vie Hoze-Roze 6 (3)500503-8 15 DorWas keer 7 (CB)/\$000E0CE(C-0) 8 Vie kee **5 TABLES** 14 Vie

li calentizacan. A Bellish 4 lie

15 Vie

Table 1: Preparation and Properties of Organoantimony (V)aryloxyacetates

SLN0,5(00000(2(0)-)		koz	Comptex	Recrystalization solvent	M.P.	Colour
			<u> </u>		(⁰ C)	
1	(p-CH ₃ C	C ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb	OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3)-O)2	Hexane + Pet. ether $(40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}C)$	132	Light Brown
2	(p-CH ₃ C	C ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb	OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3)-m)2	Hexane + Pet. ether $(40^0 - 60^0 C)$	128	Light Brown
3	(p-CH ₃ C	C ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb	OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3)-p)2	Benzene	110	Light Brown
4	(p-CH ₃ C	C ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb	OCOCH2OC10H7-B)2	Pet. Ether (400-60°C)	125	Dirty White
5	(p-CH ₃ C	C ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb	(OCOCH2OC6H4(Cl)-O)2	Hexane - Benzene	140	White
6	(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ S	b(OCOCI	$H_2OC_{10}H_7-\beta)_2$	Benzene	135	Dirty White
7	(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ S	b(OCOCI	$H_2OC_6H_4Cl - O)_2$	Benzene	88	White
8	(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ S	b(OCOCI	$H_2OC_6H_4(CH_3) - O)_2$	Benzene	148	White
9	(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ S	b (OCOC	$H_2OC_6H_4 (CH_3) - p)_2$	Hexane	145	White
10	(C ₆ H ₅) ₄ S	b(OCOCI	$H_2O C_6H_4(Cl) - O)$	Pet. Ether $(40^0 - 60^0)$	90	Light Brown
11	(C ₆ H ₅) ₄ S	b(OCO C	$H_2O C_6H_4(CH_2) - O)$	Pet. Ether $(40^0 - 60^0 \text{C})$ – Hexane	126	Light Brown
12	(C ₆ H ₅) ₄ S	b (OCO C	$H_2O C_6H_4(CH_3) - p)$	Benzene	200	Light Brown

Table 2 Elemental Analysis data

S.No.	Complex	Molar Conductance (in MeOH) (Ohm ⁻¹ mole ⁻¹	Molecular Weigh Found (Calcd.)
		cm ²)	
1	(p-CH3OC6H4)3Sb(OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3) - 0)2	15.01	772.51 (773.48)
2	(p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb(OCOCH ₂ OC ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃) - m) ₂	16.5	771.51 (773.48)
3	(p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb (OCOCH ₂ OC ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃) - p) ₂	17.5	772.51 (773.48)
4	(p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb (OCOCH ₂ OC ₁₀ H ₇ - β) ₂	21.02	844.30 (845.55)
5	(p-CH ₃ O - C ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb (OCOCH ₂ O C ₆ H ₄ (Cl) -0) ₂	23.05	809.85 (814.32)
6	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb (OCOCH_2OC_{10}H_7 - \beta)_2$	17.05	745.40 (755.47)
7	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4Cl - 0)_2$	16.01	714.25 (724.24)
8	(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ Sb(OCOCH ₂ O C ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃) - o) ₂	20.15	682.89 (683.40)
9	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3)_3 - p)_2$	20.19	682.75 (683.40)
10	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb (OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(Cl) - o)$	17.61	612.78 (615.76)
11	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb (OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3) - 0)$	16.07	590.89 (595.34)
12	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb (OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3) - p)$	20.14	594.38 (595.34)

Table 4 IR Absorption frequencies of Organoantimony (V) aryloxy acetates Complex Page (CC) Version <th colspan="2"Ver

Table 5 ¹H NMR Spectra of Representative Organoantimony (V) Aryloxy Acetates In δppm

Comp.											_
No.	CH ₃ O-	-0-	C ₆ H ₄	C	H ₅	-CH2' -	CH ₃ -	-0-0	C6H4-	-O-C10H7	_C
		C2/C6	C3/C5	C2/C6	C3/C4/C5			C2/C6	C3/C5		-
1	3.80-3.85	6.80-	6.90-			4.90-5.10	2.00-	6.80-	7.10-	-	
	(S)	7.45	7.01	-	-	(s)	3.00	7.00	7.55		
		(m)	(m)				(s)	(m)	(m)		
4	3.75-3.90	6.75-	6.90-			4.90-5.15	-	-	-	7.20-7.99	
	(s)	7.35	7.00	-	-	(s)				(m)	
		(m)	(m)								
5	3.80-3.89	6.70-	6.89-			4.19-5.25	-	6.70-	7.10-	-	7.1
	(s)	7.50	7.12	-	-	(s)		7.15	7.85		
		(m)	(m)					(m)	(m)		
6	-	-	-	7.44-7	.50 (m)	4.94.5.25	-	-	-	7.15-8.10	
						(s)				(m)	
7	-	-	-	7.30-7	.55 (m)	4.91-5.05	-	6.77-	6.10-	-	7.1
						(s)		7.17	7.77		
								(m)	(m)		
8	-	-	-	7.45-7	.55 (m)	4.90-5.85	2.10-	6.00-	6.00-	-	
						(s)	2.55	7.10	7.15		
							(s)	(m)	(m)		
11	-	-	-	7.35-7	.55 (m)	4.85-5.25	2.10-	6.85-	6.15-	-	
						(s)	2.75	7.10	7.01		
							(s)	(m)	(m)		
Where,	S = singlet,	d = double	let, t = trip	plet, $q = qu$	atret and m	i = multiple	t				_

Table - 6¹³C NMR Spectra of Representative Organoantimony (V) Aryloxy Acetates

Comp. No.	R-Sb								Ligands				
	CH ₃ O-		-O-C ₆ I	I 4		C ₆ H ₅		-CH ₃ C	CH ₃	-O-C ₆ H ₄ -			-O-C ₁₀ H ₇
		i-C	C2/C6	C3/C5	i-C	C2/C6	C3/C4/C5	-	-	C2/C6	i-C	C3/C5	
1	54.8	130.5	136.5	112.5	-	-	-	65.5	15.8	112.5	155.5	130.5	-
4	55.6	132.5	136.8	118.5	-	-	-	67.5	-	-	-	-	155.8
5	54.9	133.5	138.5	115.8	-	-	-	60.8	-	110.5	156.5	139.5	-
6	-	-	-	-	135.5	136.5	128.5	70.1	-	-	-	-	158.9
7	-	-	-	-	139.5	140.5	130.5	69.5	-	114.5	158.5	126.8	-
8	-	-	-	-	140.5	132.5	125.9	68.5	18.5	120.5	160.5	126.9	-
11	-	-	-	-	138.5	135.5	130.1	68.7	16.10	118.5	162.5	135.5	-

where c=ortho, 0= oxygen atom $\begin{pmatrix} H_{1}CO - \sqrt{3} & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂: $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ Sb(OCOCH₂·R)₂:

Table 3 Elemental Analysis data of Organoantimony (v) Aryloxyacetates

S.No.	Complex	Complex Empirical				
		Formula	С	Н		
1	(p-CH3OC6H4)3Sb(OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3)-0)2	C39H39O9Sb	60.51(60.56)	4.05 (5		
2	(p-CH3OC6H4)3Sb(OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3)-m)2	C39H39O9Sb	60.01 (60.56)	4.15 (5		
3	(p-CH3OC6H4)3Sb(OCOCH2OC6H4(CH3)-p)2	C39H39O9Sb	59.80 (60.56)	4.98 (5		
4	(p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄) ₃ Sb(OCOCH ₂ OC ₁₀ H ₇ -β) ₂	C45H39O9Sb	62.87 (63.92)	3.95 (4		
5	(p-CH3OC6H4)3Sb(OCOCH2OC6H4(Cl)-0)2	C37H33Cl2O9Sb	53.12 (54.57)	4.00 (4		
6	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_{10}H_7-\beta)_2$	C42H33O6Sb	66.11 (66.77)	4.15 (4		
7	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4Cl - 0)_2$	C34H27Cl2O2Sb	55.19 (56.39)	3.61 (3		
8	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3) - 0)_2$	C36H33O6Sb	61.89 (63.27)	3.98 (4		
9	(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ Sb (OCOCH ₂ OC ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃) - p) ₂	C36H33O6Sb	61.89 (63.27)	3.98 (4		
10	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb(OCOCH_2O C_6H_4(Cl) - o)$	C32H26ClO4Sb	60.85 (62.42)	4.10 (4		
11	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb(OCO CH_2O C_6H_4(CH_2) - 0)$	C33H29O3Sb	65.51 (66.58)	3.98 (4		
12	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb$ (OCO CH ₂ O C ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃) - p)	$C_{33}H_{29}O_3Sb$	65.01 (66.58)	4.01 (4		

IJSER © 2013 http://www.ijser.org

6 CONCLUSION

Based on IR, UV and NMR spectral data enumerated above, it may tentatively be concluded that aryl oxyacetate under the present study behave as monodentate ligand having character of weak secondary interaction towards antimony in +5 oxidation state. Conductance measurement and molecular weight data showed that these aryloxyacetates have monomeric constitution and are nonconducting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to Head, Department of Chemistry, University of Lucknow, Lucknow for providing necessary laboratory facility and University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India for providing financial assistance through a Major Research Project vide letter No. 37-429/2009/ SR.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.C. Mehrotra and R. Bohra, Metal Carboxylates, *Academic Press*, *London*, 1983 and references there in.
- [2] S.P. Bone and D.B. Sowerby, J. Organo met. Chem., 184, 181, 1980.
- [3] M. Wieber, I. Fetzer-kremling, H. Reith and Burschka, Z. Natuforrch. Teil B, 42, 815, 1987.
- [4] R.G. Goel, Can. J. Chem., 47, 4607, 1969.
- [5] V.H. Schmidbouer, R.H. Mitschke and S. Weidlein, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 386, 146, 1971.
- [6] M. Hall, D.B. Sowerby and C.P. Falshaw, J. Organo met. Chem. 315, 321. 1986.
- [7] H.J. Frohn and H. Maurer, J. Fluorine Chem., 343, 129, 1986.
- [8] G.S. Harris, A. Khan and I. Lennon, J. Fluorine Chem., 37, 247, 1987.
- [9] A.K. Saxena, A. Ranjan and P.S. Venkataramani, J. Fluorine Chem., 64, 107, 1993.
- [10] H.A. Meinema and J.G. Noltes, J. organome chem., 313, 1972.
- [11] G. Smith, E.J. O' Reilly, C.H.L. Kennard, K. stadnaka & B Oloeksyn, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 47, 11, 1981.
- [12] T.K. Chattopadhyay, A.K. Kumar, B. Majee, Ind. J. Chem., 33A, 948, 1994.
- [13] N. Sharma, B.S. Golen, R.K. Mahajan, S.C. Chaudhri, *Polyhedron*, 10, 789, 1991; V.K. Jain, R. Bohra and R.C. Mehrotra, *Struct. Bond*, 52, 147, 1982.
- [14] Ashok Ranjan, A.K. Saxena, P.S. Venkataramani, Ind. J. Chem., 33A, 948, 1994.
- [15] Ajita Pandey, "Studies on Organoarsenic (v) and organo-antimony (v) derivatives", Ph.D. Thesis, Lucknow University, Lucknow, 1998; R.R. Holmes "Penta co-ordinated Phosphorous structure and spectroscopy" vol.1, ACS manager, Washington D.C. 1980.
- [16] Prem Raj, A.K. Aggarwal, Kiran Singhal, Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem, 22(5), 509, 1992.
- [17] Prem Raj, A.K. Saxena, Kiran Singhal, A.Ranjan, Polyhedron, 4(2), 251, 1985.
- [18] Kiran Singhal, Rajiv Rastogi, P.Raj, Ind. J. Chem., 26A, 146, 1987.
- [19] Prem Raj, Ashok Ranjan, Kiran Singhal, Rajiv Rastogi, Synth. Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem, 14(2), 269, 1984.
- [20] Prem Raj, K. Singhal, S. Agnihotri, Synth React Inorg, met. org. chem. 2001.
- [21] W.J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 7, 81, 1971.
- [22] E. Maslowsky (Jr.) Organomet. chem., 70, 153, 1974.

- [23] Hubert Baruki, Simon J. coles, J.F. Costello, T. Gelbrich and M.B. Hursthouse, *DALTON*, (2000).
- [24] J. Chatt and F. G. Mann, J. Chem. Soc., 1, 192, (1940).
- [25] S. P. Olifirenko, Chem. Abstr., 63, 8401, (1965).
- [26] J. Chatt and F. G. Mann, J. Chem., Soc., 1182, (1940).
- [27] K. Singhal, R. Rastogi, P. Raj, Indial Journal of Chemistry, v- 26A, pp. 146-150, 1987.
- [28] K. Singhal, P. Raj, Synth. React. Inorg. Met-Org. Chem., 23(6), pp. 1011-1020, 1993.
- [29] F. Jee, A. K. Aggarwal, P. Raj, Proc. Indian natn. Sci. Acad, 59, A, 3, pp 309-313, 1993.
- [30] G. B. Deacon, W. Roy, J. and J. M. Pfieffer, Aust. J. Chem., 37, pp 527-535, 1984.
- [31] T. Hasan, P. K. Singh, R. Mishra, P. Raj, N. Misra, Pramana Journal of Physics, v-68, 5, pp. 875-880, 2007.
- [32] Th. Klapotke, Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 331, pp. 299-307, 1987.
- [33] S. Agnihotri, P. Raj, K. Singhal, Synth. React. Inorg. Met-Org. Chem., 32 (3), pp. 449-464, 2002.
- [34] P. Raj, S. Agnihotri, K. Singhal, Synth. React. Inorg. Met-Org. Chem., 32 (3), pp. 569-581, 2002.



arvloxvacetates

S.No.	Complex	Recrystalization solvent	M.P.	Colour
			(°C)	
1	$(p\text{-}CH_3OC_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3)\text{-}O)_2$	Hexane + Pet. ether $(40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}C)$	132	Light Brown
2	$(p\text{-}CH_3OC_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3)\text{-}m)_2$	Hexane + Pet. ether($40^0 - 60^0$ C)	128	Light Brown
3	$(p\text{-}CH_3OC_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3)\text{-}p)_2$	Benzene	110	Light Brown
4	$(p\text{-}CH_3OC_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_{10}H_7\!\!-\!\beta)_2$	Pet. Ether (400-60°C)	125	Dirty White
5	$(p\text{-}CH_3OC_6H_4)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(Cl)\text{-}O)_2$	Hexane - Benzene	140	White
6	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_{10}H_7\!-\!\beta)_2$	Benzene	135	Dirty White
7	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4Cl-O)_2$	Benzene	88	White
8	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb(OCOCH_2OC_6H_4(CH_3)-O)_2\\$	Benzene	148	White
9	$(C_6H_5)_3Sb (OCOCH_2OC_6H_4 (CH_3) - p)_2$	Hexane	145	White
10	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb(OCOCH_2O\ C_6H_4(Cl)-O)$	Pet. Ether $(40^0 - 60^0)$	90	Light Brown
11	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb(OCO\ CH_2O\ C_6H_4(CH_2) - O)$	Pet. Ether (400 - 600C) - Hexane	126	Light Brown
12	$(C_6H_5)_4Sb$ (OCO CH_2O $C_6H_4(CH_3) - p$)	Benzene	200	Light Brown