Evaluation of Water Quality Indices for the Drinking water of Engineering Colleges in Kolhapur city.

Y. S. Vatkar, S.V. Kore, V.S. Kore and G.S.Kulkarni

Department of Technology, Environmental science and technology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur.

ABSTRACT

The present work is aimed at evaluating the water quality index (WQI) for the drinking water of engineering colleges in Kolhapur city. This has been determined by collecting a monthly for period of one year from Feb 2011 to Jan 2012 of four engineering colleges of Kolhapur city and subjecting the samples to a comprehensive physico-chemical and biological analysis. For calculating the water quality index, nine critical parameters have been considered. The WQI for these fourty eight samples ranged from 66.26 to 98.80 with an average value of 84.14. The analysis reveals that the overall drinking water of the sampling sites, in general, can be considered fit for educational institutions of the student community and sampling sites B are slight to moderate water pollution and their water can be used for drinking purposes only after proper treatment.

Key Words: Drinking water, Water quality, Quality rating, Water quality index

INTRODUCTION

Water is essential for the existence of life on this

planet. Most human activities involve the use of water in one way or other. It may be noted that man's early habitation and civilization sprang up along the banks of rivers. Although the surface of our planet is nearly 71% water, only 3% of it is fresh. Of these 3% about 75% is tied up in glaciers and polar icebergs, 24% in groundwater and 1% is available in the form of fresh water in rivers, lakes and ponds suitable for human consumption. (Firmal, 2009)

The status of quality of water that is supplied today in most of the areas worries the people. The quality of water depends on the location of the sources and the state of environment. All biological reactions occur in water and it is the integrated system of biological metabolic reactions in an aqueous solution that is essential for the maintenance of life. (Mishra, 2005)

Due to increasing industrialization on one hand and exploding population on the other, the demands of water supply have been increasing tremendously. Moreover considerable part of this limited quality of water is polluted by sewage, industrial waste and a wide range of synthetic chemicals. Fresh water which is a precious and limited vital resource needs to be protected, conserved and used wisely by man. But unfortunately such has not been the case, as the polluted lakes, rivers and streams throughout the world testify. According to the scientists of National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur, India, about 70 % of the available water in India is polluted. (Pani, 1986) Improper waste management, unscientific sewage waste disposal and carelessness of the industries deteriorate ground water quality in and around Kolhapur city. It is essential to monitor the water quality that is supplied to the colleges around Kolhapur city. Hence, the present study was undertaken to analyze the physicochemical and biological quality of drinking water and to evaluate the Water Quality Index (WQI) of colleges of Kolhapur city.

Water quality index is commonly used for the detection and evaluation of water pollution and may be defined as a rating reflecting the composite influence on the overall quality of a number of quality parameters. (Tambekar, 2007)

The main objective of WQI is to turn complex water quality data into information that is understandable and usable by the public. WQI based on some important parameters can provide a simple indicator of water quality. It gives the public, a general idea of the possible problems with water in a particular region. Thus, a water quality index synthesizes complex scientific data into an easily understood format. (Shankar et al, 2008)

MATERIALSAND METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Details of the study area:

Kolhapur is a city situated in south-east at 16.41°N 74.13°E, Maharashtra. It is situated on banks of the sacred river Panchganga flowing in south-west part of Maharashtra, India. (**Fig. 1**.) Kolhapur's has an elevation of 569 meters (1867 ft) which is higher than that of Pune. Hence its climate is usually pleasant. The population of Kolhapur city was 493,167, as per the 2001 census and is

expected to grow further on account of rapid urbanization. The city receives abundant rainfall from June to September due to its proximity to the Western Ghats. The heavy rains often lead to severe flooding in these months. Temperatures are low in the rainy season and range between 19°C to 30°C. Kolhapur experiences winter from November to February.

The quality of water depends on the location of the source and the state of environment. The sources of water supply to Kolhapur city are mainly surface water and ground water sources. The demand is met by municipal supply distribution to 135 MLD of the city from Bhogavati river (Balinga), Panchganga river (Shingapur), Kasaba Bavada and Kalamba talav. Total number of bore wells constructed by municipalities is 200 in different localities.

In Kolhapur city there are many types of colleges. For the study of drinking water quality of engineering colleges are selected as sampling sites. Engineering colleges are very important in educational institute.

Local students are adapted to local drinking water quality. This is not the case with students from engineering colleges of other district and states and hence they may be affected. But in case of engineering colleges most of the students are admitted from other district and states. So, they face the difficulty of adapting to local drinking water.

As the quality of drinking water plays an important role in maintaining sound health, its monitoring is essential for water that is supplied for drinking. The educational institutions should seriously monitor the quality of water that is supplied to the student community. Hence, an attempt has been made to study the quality of water supplied to the engineering colleges in Kolhapur city. The water quality indexing will help to indicate bad or fair quality which provides guidelines to protect the water quality and identifying the threatened and impaired sites.

Sampling Sites.

A) D.Y.Patil college of Engineering and Technology, Kolhapur.
B)Bharati vidyapeeth college of engineering, Kolhapur.
C)Kolhapur Institute of Technology, Kolhapur.
D)Department of Technology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur.



Figure 1. Map of Kolhapur City.



Sample Collection and analysis of water:

The drinking water samples from sampling sites of Kolhapur city are collected in one-L clean polythene bottles monthly for a period 1 year from Feb 2011 to Jan 2012. The physio-chemical and biological analysis of drinking water comprised determination of the following parameters. pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity, total dissolved solids (TDS), Total alkalinity, total hardness, Chlorides, nitrates and MPN coliforms for calculating water quality index (WQI). These parameters determined by standard methods and compared with the standards (**Table 1 and Table 2**)

Determination of water quality index (WQI):

For the purpose of present investigation, we have selected 9 physio-chemical and biological characteristics of drinking water. These parameters are pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity, total dissolved solids (TDS), Total alkalinity, total hardness, Chlorides, nitrates and MPN coliform. To calculate the water quality index, we have followed an approach similar to that of Horton (1965), who made one of the earliest attempts in formulating water quality index.

These parameters maximum contribute for the quality of drinking water. The steps for WQI are:

Weightage

For water quality index calculation, we first have to know the weightage of each factor (**Table 1**) Factors which have higher permissible limits are less harmful because they can harm quality of drinking water when they are present in very high quantity. So weightage of factor has an inverse relationship with its permissible limits. Therefore,

		Wi α1/ Vi
		Or
		Wi = K /Vi
Where,	К	= constant of proportionality.
	Wi	= unit weight of factor.

Vi =maximum permissible limits as recommended by Indian Council of Medical Research/ Public Health Environmental engineering Organization Value of K was calculated as:

K =
$$1_{\sum_{i=1}^{9} 1/Vi}$$

The weightage of all the chemical factors are calculated on the basis of this equation.

Rating Scale

Rating scale (**Table 2**) was prepared for range of values of each parameter. The rating varies from 0 to 100 and is divided into five intervals. The rating Vr = 0 implies that the parameter present in water exceeds the standard maximum permissible limits and water is severely polluted. On the other hand Vr = 100 implies that the parameter present in water has the most desirable value. The other ratings fall between these two extremes and are Vr = 40, Vr = 60 and Vr = 80 standing for excessively polluted, moderately polluted and slightly less polluted

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 4, Issue3, March-2013 ISSN 2229-5518

respectively. This scale is modified version of rating scale given by Tiwari and Mishra (1985).

Water Quality Index Calculation

Essentially, a WQI is a compilation of a number of parameters that can be used to determine the overall quality of drinking water. WQI is calculated for each month and is given in **Table 5**. The numerical value is then multiplied by a weighting factor that is relative to the significance of the test to water quality. The sum of the resulting values is added together to arrive at an overall water quality index. Water Quality Index is equal to the product of rating (Vr) and unit weight (Wi) of all the factors.

WQI = WixVr

Wi x Vr = Wi(pH) x Vr(pH) + Wi(TDS) x Vr(TDS) + Wi(Total Hardness) x Vr(Total Hardness) + Wi(Total Alkalinity) x Vr(Total Alkalinity) + Wi(DO) x Vr(DO) + Wi(Turbidity) x Vr(Turbidity) + Wi(Chlorides) x Vr(Chlorides) + Wi(Nitrates) x Vr(Nitrates) + Wi(MPN) x Vr (MPN)

The values of Vi, Wi and Vr are given in **Table 1** and **Table 2**. Hence by multiplying Wi and Vr. We can get the value of WQI. It is basically a mathematical means of calculating a single value from multiple test results.

Table 1: Water Quality Factors: ICMR/CPHEEO Standards and Assigned Unit Weights

Water Quality Factors	ICMR/CPHEEO Standards (Vi)	Unit Weight (Wi)		
рН	7.0-8.5**	0.180		
TDS	<1500**	0.001		
Hardness	<600**	0.002		
Total Alkalinity	<120*	0.012		
Dissolved Oxygen	>5*	0.307		
Turbidity	5-25*	0.307		
Chlorides	250-1000*	0.006		
Nitrates	<50*	0.031		
MPN, coliforms/100 ml	10 or < 10 coliforms*	0.154		

*ICMR Standards (1975) ** CPHEEO Standards (1991)

Table 2: Rating Scale for Calculating WQI

Parameter	Range of value							
рН	7.0-8.5	8.6-8.7	8.8-8.9	9.0-9.2	>9.2			
		6.8-6.9	6.7-6.8	6.5-6.7	<6.5			
TDS	0-375	375.1-750	750.1-1125	1125.1-1500	>1500			
Hardness	0-150	150.1-300	300.1-450	450.1-600	>600			
Total Alkalinity	21-50	50.1-70	70.1-90	90.1-120	>120			
		15.1-20	10.1-15	6-10	<6			
Dissolved Oxygen	>7.0	5.1-7.0	4.1-5.0	3.1-4.0	<3.0			
Turbidity	<5.0	5.0-10.0	1017.5	17.6-25	>25			
Chlorides	0-50	51-100	101-150	151-250	>250			
Nitrates	0-13	14-26	27-39	40-50	>50			
MPN, coliforms/100 ml	≤1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10			
Vr	100	80	60	40	0			
Extent of Pollution	Clean	Slight	Moderate	Excess	Severe			



International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 4, Issue3, March-2013 ISSN 2229-5518

Month &site	рН	D.O.	Turbidity	Alkalinity	TDS	Chlorides	Hardness	Nitrate	MPN
А	7.36	5.7	3.4	136	340	29.30	94	4.35	>23

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters have shown temporal and spatial variations. As shown in **Table 3**,

The pH value of sampling site A, B, C and D varied from (6.88 to 7.69), (6.83 to 8.09), (7.24 to 8.00) and (7.59 to 8.21) respectively. pH is very important in regulation of enzyme system. The sampling sites show distinct variations of pH in different months of the year. The pH of sampling site A, D, and B, C are found to be maximum in the months of January, December, and June, respectively. Minimum in August, May and March respectively. The observed pH value of sampling site C and D are within the permissible limit as per water quality standard. um D.O. value of sampling site A, B, C and D varied from 4.7, 4.9, 5.0 and 5.2 mg/L respectively. Rising temperature causes low solubility of oxygen and increase in MPN values in sampling site A and B, resulting in the decrease of D.O. content during May month.

Turbidity of drinking water of sampling site A, B C and D in range of (0.9 to 9.3) NTU, (1.0 to 5.1) NTU, (0.8 to 4.6) NTU and (0.3 to 2.8) NTU respectively. The maximum values of turbidity found in sampling site A, B in the months of September and august respectively. Turbidity is a measure of the amount of particulate matter that is suspended in water. Water that has high turbidity appears cloudy and opaque. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 4, Issue3, March-2013 ISSN 2229-5518

2011 C 7.75 6.2 1.0 200 615 39.10 120 14.90 <1.1
D 8.11 6.6 0.6 170 380 29.15 106 0.7 1.1 Mar A 7.53 6.0 2.3 154 300 35.60 98 3.75 5.1 Mar B 6.83 5.0 1.1 182 425 39.66 135 33.20 >23 C 7.24 5.8 0.8 180 455 35.83 131 15.50 7.2 D 8.08 6.3 0.3 130 300 30.42 91 0.85 1.1 A 7.12 4.8 2.0 78 520 30.00 132 3.40 >23 Apr B 7.27 5.2 1.6 78 648 53.00 108 32.64 >23 C 7.75 5.2 1.2 80 548 31.81 136 24.40 3.6 D 7.92 5.8 1.0 66 600
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Mar B 6.83 5.0 1.1 182 425 39.66 135 33.20 >23 2011 C 7.24 5.8 0.8 180 455 35.83 131 15.50 7.2 D 8.08 6.3 0.3 130 300 30.42 91 0.85 1.1 Apr 7.12 4.8 2.0 78 520 30.00 132 3.40 >23 Apr 6 7.75 5.2 1.6 78 648 53.00 108 32.64 >23 C 7.75 5.2 1.2 80 548 31.81 136 24.40 3.6 D 7.92 5.8 1.0 66 600 30.07 95 0.80 1.1 May B 7.50 4.9 1.4 96 665 74.25 152 33.25 >23 2011 C 7.75 5.0 1.3
2011 C 7.24 5.8 0.8 180 455 35.83 131 15.50 7.2 D 8.08 6.3 0.3 130 300 30.42 91 0.85 1.1 Apr 7.12 4.8 2.0 78 520 30.00 132 3.40 >23 Apr 7.27 5.2 1.6 78 648 53.00 108 32.64 >23 C 7.75 5.2 1.2 80 548 31.81 136 24.40 3.6 D 7.92 5.8 1.0 666 600 30.07 95 0.80 1.1 May B 7.50 4.9 1.4 96 665 74.25 152 33.25 >23 May C 7.75 5.0 1.3 77 465 39.75 167 26.60 <1.1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Apr 2011 B 7.27 5.2 1.6 78 648 53.00 108 32.64 >23 2011 C 7.75 5.2 1.2 80 548 31.81 136 24.40 3.6 D 7.92 5.8 1.0 66 600 30.07 95 0.80 1.1 May A 7.24 4.7 1.8 82 640 30.50 117 2.90 >23 May B 7.50 4.9 1.4 96 665 74.25 152 33.25 >23 C 7.75 5.0 1.3 77 465 39.75 167 26.60 <1.1
2011 C 7.75 5.2 1.2 80 548 31.81 136 24.40 3.6 D 7.92 5.8 1.0 66 600 30.07 95 0.80 1.1 May A 7.24 4.7 1.8 82 640 30.50 117 2.90 >23 May B 7.50 4.9 1.4 96 665 74.25 152 33.25 >23 2011 C 7.75 5.0 1.3 77 465 39.75 167 26.60 <1.1
D 7.92 5.8 1.0 66 600 30.07 95 0.80 1.1 May 2011 A 7.24 4.7 1.8 82 640 30.50 117 2.90 >23 May 2011 B 7.50 4.9 1.4 96 665 74.25 152 33.25 >23 C 7.75 5.0 1.3 77 465 39.75 167 26.60 <1.1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
May 2011 B 7.50 4.9 1.4 96 665 74.25 152 33.25 >23 2011 C 7.75 5.0 1.3 77 465 39.75 167 26.60 <1.1
2011 C 7.75 5.0 1.3 77 465 39.75 167 26.60 <1.1 D 7.59 5.2 0.9 74 480 33.80 99 1.00 5.1 Jun A 7.25 5.3 4.4 74 340 31.66 120 4.10 >23 Jun B 8.09 5.3 2.1 161 465 75.42 104 33.65 >23 2011 C 8.00 5.4 1.8 136 405 30.08 157 25.40 5.1 D 8.15 5.8 1.4 110 360 26.00 93 1.20 1.1 July A 7.11 5.4 3.3 82 440 40.80 96 4.40 >23 July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 Z011 C 7.84 </td
D 7.59 5.2 0.9 74 480 33.80 99 1.00 5.1 Jun A 7.25 5.3 4.4 74 340 31.66 120 4.10 >23 Jun B 8.09 5.3 2.1 161 465 75.42 104 33.65 >23 C 8.00 5.4 1.8 136 405 30.08 157 25.40 5.1 D 8.15 5.8 1.4 110 360 26.00 93 1.20 1.1 July A 7.11 5.4 3.3 82 440 40.80 96 4.40 >23 July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 Z011 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Jun B 8.09 5.3 2.1 161 465 75.42 104 33.65 >23 2011 C 8.00 5.4 1.8 136 405 30.08 157 25.40 5.1 D 8.15 5.8 1.4 110 360 26.00 93 1.20 1.1 July A 7.11 5.4 3.3 82 440 40.80 96 4.40 >23 July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 2011 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
2011 C 8.00 5.4 1.8 136 405 30.08 157 25.40 5.1 D 8.15 5.8 1.4 110 360 26.00 93 1.20 1.1 July A 7.11 5.4 3.3 82 440 40.80 96 4.40 >23 July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
D 8.15 5.8 1.4 110 360 26.00 93 1.20 1.1 July A 7.11 5.4 3.3 82 440 40.80 96 4.40 >23 July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
A 7.11 5.4 3.3 82 440 40.80 96 4.40 >23 July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 2011 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
July B 7.73 5.3 3.2 177 485 56.66 108 29.15 >23 2011 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
2011 C 7.84 6.1 2.5 182 618 33.75 120 22.05 1.1 D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
D 8.20 5.9 1.5 96 380 28.08 95 1.35 1.1
A 6.88 6.1 6.8 98 460 38.00 104 5.60 5.1
Aug B 7.61 6.0 5.1 148 450 49.41 166 29.00 5.1
2011 C 7.58 6.6 3.5 88 660 37.28 146 19.15 <1.1
D 8.10 6.4 2.1 146 300 37.60 99 3.2 1.1
A 7.20 5.2 9.3 94 600 25.00 219 3.15 >23
Sep B 7.84 5.3 3.2 254 676 50.32 298 32.40 >23
2011 C 7.90 5.8 2.6 244 912 39.30 266 22.40 3.6
D 8.11 6.3 1.7 136 460 28.10 173 1.52 7.2
A 7.41 6.1 6.5 144 540 31.20 146 5.70 <1.1
Oct B 7.80 5.4 4.2 310 590 67.10 298 25.20 >23 2011 0 7.70 5.7 2.0 200 1000 1000 200 200 11
2011 C 7.73 5.7 3.8 268 1060 42.06 203 23.34 <1.1
D 8.12 5.4 1.7 180 420 35.00 152 1.67 >23
A 7.12 0.7 5.5 134 560 50.00 155 4.70 5.0 Nov R 7.70 6.4 4.0 207 610 69.06 252 21.60 51
100 D 7.70 0.4 4.7 277 010 00.70 233 21.00 5.1 2011 C 7.91 6.0 4.6 272 830 41.76 176 11.25 1.1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Dec B 804 60 40 261 512 36.82 232 18.32 \22
2011 C 7.93 7.3 3.5 218 856 36.23 181 11.08 <1.1
D 8.21 6.8 2.4 184 270 25.42 118 0.28 51
A 7.69 6.4 0.9 96 330 25.50 100 2.20 12
Jan B 7.89 5.4 1.0 200 505 43.80 205 18.20 >23
2012 C 7.91 7.5 0.9 168 625 63.03 151 11.45 <1.1
D 8.16 8.8 0.5 124 310 28.78 92 0.55 1.1

Table 3: Monthly variations of physio-chemical and bacteriological parameters data.

Alkalinity values are recorded as sampling site A, B, C and D varied from (74 to 154), (78 to 310), (77 to 272) and (66 to

184) mg/L respectively. The maximum alkalinity values of sampling site C and D found from the month of October

and November respectively. The higher values of alkalinity indicate presence of bicarbonate, carbonate and hydroxide in water body.

TDS values of sampling site A, B, C and D varied from (300 to 640), (425 to 676), (405 to 1060) and (270 to 600) mg/L respectively. Water containing high TDS concentration may cause laxative or constipation effects, (vyas, 2008).The low TDS values are found to be in sampling sites A, B, C and D as March-Dec, March, June and December respectively and maximum as May, September, October and April respectively. The period of some months the TDS values is above the permissible limit of water quality standard.

The Chlorides in the study area of sampling sites A, B, C and D are found from (25.50 to 40.80), (36.82 to 75.42), (31.81 to 63.03) and (25.42 to 37.60) mg/L respectively. From the observed results, the chloride content of drinking water is below permissible limit of water quality standard.

Total hardness of sampling sites A, B, C and D are varied from (94 to 219), (104 to 298), (120 to 266) and (91 to 173) mg/L respectively. The maximum value of hardness of all sampling sites is recorded during September month and minimum value of hardness of sampling sites A, B, C and D varied from February, June, Feb-July and March of month respectively. The rising of total hardness value due to increasing the solubility of calcium and magnesium salts.

The nitrate is recorded of the sampling sites A, B, C and D in the ranges of (2.20 to 5.70), (2.20 to 5.70), (18.20 to 33.65), (11.08 to 26.60) and (0.28 to 3.2) mg/L respectively. The nitrate values of sampling sites A and D is below the permissible limit and sampling sites B and C is above the permissible limit of water quality standard. MPN values of sampling site A, B, C and D in ranges of (<1.1 to >23), (5.1 to >23), (<1.1 to 7.2) and (1.1 to >23) respectively. The minimum values obtained only in sampling site C and maximum values in sampling site A, B and D. From the observed results, the MPN of drinking water is above permissible limit of water quality standard.

The ICMR/CPHEEO standards and unit weights for different water quality factors are shown in **Table 1**; rating scale for calculating WQI is given in Table 2. It is shown in **Table 1** that the parameter which is required in least amount has more unit weight. It is because the recommended water quality standard (Vi) is inversely proportional to the unit weight (Wi). The WQI rating (Table 2) shows excess and severe pollution when DO is low. Fig. 1 represents the sampling site and Fig. 2 represents graph showing the comparison of WQI for Feb-2010 to Jan-2011. The highest value of WQI is 98.80 at sampling site at D while the lowest value of WQI is 66.26 occurs at sampling site at B. In fact, the WQI and the number of parameters having values within the permissible range are directly related to each other. An examination of data shows that all of the sampling sites considered here show large values of MPN coliform and alkalinity. Thus all of these sites show slightly amount of pollution, thus the WQI calculated in this paper give us some idea of the overall pollution of drinking water of educational institutions of sampling sites. The values of WQI very much depend upon the value of dissolved oxygen in water. The higher the DO in water, the clearer the water. The value of DO at the sampling site is lesser than 5 mg/l except for two months indicating that the water is moderately polluted at the sampling site A, B and C. On the basis of the WQI, the quality of the water is categorized from very bad to excellent (Tiwari and Mishra, 1985).



Fig 2: Graph showing the comparison of WQI for the years of Feb-2011 to Jan-2012.

IJSER © 2013 http://www.ijser.org

Table 4. WQI ranges.

Value of WQI						

Quality of water

90-100	Excellent
70-90	Good
50-70	Medium
25-50	Bad
0-25	Very Bad

The higher value of WQI show that the water is very much clear i.e., it is free of any impurities. At the sampling site B The water quality index for months of year Feb-2011 to Jan-2012 is shown in **Table 5**.

except for 1 month when its values are less than 70. As average value of WQI is 84.14, thus the results that overall quality of drinking water is good at the sampling site A, B, C and D. The higher values of WQI indicated that the water is very much clear and free of any impurities, and the water is in good condition to support the biotic communities.

Samp-						W	21					
ling	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
21162												
Α	77.26	86.50	71.82	71.82	77.96	77.96	77.22	71.54	86.50	83.42	92.66	77.74
В	75.96	66.26	76.60	70.18	75.88	75.88	79.06	75.84	76.46	85.70	76.58	76.58
С	92.02	82.78	89.66	85.94	85.82	92.02	92.74	88.88	91.96	92.58	98.72	98.62
D	92.64	92.66	93.60	87.20	93.14	93.12	92.66	83.36	77.20	92.64	86.50	98.80

Table 5. Water Quality Index for the Feb-2011 to Jan-2012.

CONCLUSION

The highest value of WQI is 98.80 at sampling site D (clean extent of pollution) while, the lowest value 66.26 occurs for sampling site B (Moderate pollution range). The WQI range of sampling site B varies between 66.26 to 85.70 which shows moderate to slight water pollution while WQI range of sampling site C between 82.78 to 98.72 which shows slight to clean water pollution. The Dissolved oxygen level is mostly responsible for the variations occurring in the WQI values. Fluctuations in the dissolved oxygen levels (beyond 7.0 and b/w 7.0-5.1) altered the rating factor (from 100 to 80) which had a direct implication on the WQI values. The drinking water quality of sampling sites varied from medium to excellent. On the basis of WQI it is suggested that as the MPN has greater value so proper treatment, like boiling, etc., should be strictly followed. So as to minimize the MPN and making it suitable for drinking purpose and it is advised not to consume water without proper treatment. As the quality of drinking water plays an important role in maintaining sound health, its monitoring is essential for water that is supplied for drinking. The overall drinking water of the sampling sites, in general, can be considered fit for educational institutions of the student community. However, WQI may be used as a tool to convey the information regarding the guality of water and to take up necessary measures so as to maintain the quality and life.

REFERENCES

1) APHA 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th edition, American Public Health Association, Washington D.C.

2) BIS 2003. Bureau of Indian Standards IS: 10500, Manak Bhavan, New Delhi, India.

3) CPHEEO (Central Public Health Environmental engineering Organization), 1991. Manual of water supply and treatment, Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi.

4) Dugan, P.R., 1972, Biochemical Ecology of Water Pollution, Plenum Press London, 159.

5) Firmal Shikhar., (2009), "A study on the water quality of NIT Rourkela", B.tech. Thesis submitted to NIT of Rourkela, Page 2.

6) Horton, R. K., 1965, An index number for rating water quality. Journal of Water Pollution

Control Federation, 37(3): 300-306.

7) Kumar ashwani and Dua anish. 2009, "Water quality index for assessment of water quality of river ravi at madhopur (India)", Global journal of environmental sciences vol. 8, no. 1, page 49 - 57

8) ICMR, 1975. Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies. Indian Council of Medical Research, Spe. Rep. No. 44: 27.

9) Mahesh Kumar Akkaraboyina and B.S.N. Raju, 2012, "Assessment of Water Quality Index of River Godavari at Rajahmundry", Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology, Euresian publication, volume 2, issue 3: 161-167 10) Magudeswaran P N and Ramachandran T, (2007), "Water quality index of river Noyyalat Tirupur, Tamilnadu, India" Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, Techno-science publications, vol.6, No.1, page 51-54.

11) Mishra P C, (2005), "Same Aspects of the quality of water in and around Rourkela", Ph.D. Thesis submitted to NIT of Rourkela, Page 2

12) Pandit B R and Forum B Oza., 2005, "Physico-chemical and bacteriological studies of ground waters in Bhavnagar city, Gujarat", Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, Techno-science publications, vol.4, No.3, page 453-454.

13) Pani B. S., 1986, "Outfall diffusers", In Abstract of the National seminar on Air and water pollution, April 1986, University college of engineering, Burla.

14) Rajkumar N and Gowri S., 2005, "Status of drinking water quality in schools in Erode District of Tamilnadu" Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, Technoscience publications, vol.4, No.3, page 467-468.

15) Shankar B.S. and Balasubramanya N., 2008, "Evaluation of quality indices for the ground waters of an industrial

area in Bangalore, India", Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, Techno-science publications, vol.7, No.4, page 663-666.

16) Tambekar D H, Hirulkar N B, Mule Y N and Dongre R S, 2007, "Water quality indexing and correlation coefficient of physico-chemical characteristics of ground water in Amravati city" Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, Techno science publications, vol.6, No.1, page 45-49.

17) Tiwari, T. N. and Mishra, M., 1985. A preliminary assignment of water quality index to major rivers. Ind J Environ Protect. 5: 276.

18) Nair Achuthan. C, Bohjuari Jalal Ahmed, Al-Mariami Muftah A. Attia Fathi Ali and El-Toumi Fatma F., 2006. "Groundwater quality of north-east Libya", Journal of Environmental Biology, 27(4), page 695-700.

19)Vyas H. V. and Sawant V. A., 2008. "Seasonal variations in drinking water quality of some Borewell waters in urban area of Kolhapur city ",Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, Techno science publications, vol.7, No.2, page 261-266.